

# Newcastle University e-prints

---

**Date deposited:** 1<sup>st</sup> October 2012.

**Version of file:** Published

**Peer Review Status:** Peer reviewed

**Citation for item:** Sibal L, Agarwal S, Home P. [Carotid intima-media thickness as a surrogate marker of cardiovascular disease in diabetes](#). *Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity: Targets and Therapy* 2011, **4**, 23-34.

**Further information on publisher website:**

<http://www.dovepress.com>

**Publisher's copyright statement:**

© 2011 Sibal et al, publisher and licensee Dove Medical Press Ltd.

This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, provided the original work is properly cited.

The definitive version of this article is available at:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/DMSO.S8540>

Always use the definitive version when citing.

**Use Policy:**

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not for profit purposes provided that:

- A full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- A link is made to the metadata record in Newcastle E-prints
- The full text is not changed in any way.

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

**Robinson Library, University of Newcastle upon Tyne, Newcastle upon Tyne.  
NE1 7RU. Tel. 0191 222 6000**

# Carotid intima-media thickness as a surrogate marker of cardiovascular disease in diabetes

Latika Sibal<sup>1</sup>  
Sharad C Agarwal<sup>2</sup>  
Philip D Home<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Wolfson Diabetes and Endocrine Clinic, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge, UK; <sup>2</sup>Institute of Cellular Medicine, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK

**Background:** Diabetes mellitus is associated with a high risk of cardiovascular disease. Carotid intima-media thickness (CIMT) is increasingly used as a surrogate marker for atherosclerosis. Its use relies on its ability to predict future clinical cardiovascular end points.

**Methods:** This review examines the evidence linking CIMT as a surrogate marker of vascular complications in people with type 1 and type 2 diabetes. We have also reviewed the various treatment strategies which have been shown to influence CIMT.

**Conclusions:** CIMT measurement is an effective, noninvasive tool which can assist in identifying people with diabetes who are at higher risk of developing microvascular and macrovascular complications. It may also help to evaluate the effectiveness of various treatment strategies used to treat people with diabetes.

**Keywords:** carotid, intima-media thickness, CIMT, diabetes

## Cardiovascular disease in diabetes

Diabetes mellitus is associated with a high risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) which is the most common cause of mortality in people with diabetes.<sup>1,2</sup> CVD accounts for more than 80% of deaths in people with diabetes.<sup>3,4</sup> A two- to fourfold increased risk of CVD in people with diabetes compared with the background population has been reported by various research groups.<sup>5,6</sup>

The risk of stroke is increased 150% to 400% in people with diabetes.<sup>7-9</sup> In the Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial (MRFIT), people taking medications for diabetes were three times as likely to develop cerebrovascular disease compared with those not receiving medications for diabetes.<sup>5</sup> In type 1 diabetes, the prevalence of cerebrovascular disease has varied from 4% to 21% depending on the duration of diabetes and the population studied<sup>10-13</sup> and was found to confer an increased risk of stroke (odds ratio 11.6; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.2–115.2) in a study of 201 people younger than 55 years who developed a stroke due to cerebral infarction.<sup>14</sup>

Diabetes is also associated with increased incidence and extent of peripheral arterial disease.<sup>15</sup> Thus, not only does atherosclerosis develop at a younger age in people with diabetes, it is also more diffuse and severe than that found in people without diabetes. People with diabetes have a two- to fourfold increased risk of peripheral arterial disease.<sup>16</sup>

Ultrasonographic assessment of endothelial function of brachial artery flow-mediated dilatation and evaluation of carotid intima-media thickness (CIMT) have been used as a surrogate marker of CVD in people with diabetes.

Correspondence: Latika Sibal  
Wolfson Diabetes and Endocrine Clinic,  
Institute of Metabolic Science, Box 281,  
Addenbrooke's Hospital, Hill's Road,  
Cambridge CB2 0QQ, UK  
Tel +44 7766445165  
Email latikasibal@hotmail.com

## Endothelial function and CVD

Endothelial dysfunction precedes the development of atherosclerosis and is believed to play a central role in its pathophysiology. Ludmer and colleagues first demonstrated impaired endothelial-dependent vasodilatation in the presence of atherosclerosis.<sup>17</sup> Endothelial dysfunction in the peripheral vessels are modestly correlated with the endothelial function in the coronary vessels.<sup>18,19</sup>

Flow-mediated dilatation in response to postocclusive reactive hyperemia has been used to noninvasively assess endothelial function in the peripheral vascular system.<sup>20</sup> Brachial flow-mediated dilation (FMD) has been found to be inversely associated with CIMT.<sup>21–23</sup> In the Cardiovascular Risk in Young Finns Study, FMD and CIMT were measured in 2109 healthy people aged 20 to 39 years.<sup>21</sup> Individuals were classified into subgroups as those with impaired, intermediate, and enhanced FMD if the FMD was <10th percentile, between 10th to 90th percentile, and >90th percentile, respectively. The number of cardiovascular risk factors was correlated with increased CIMT in those individuals with impaired or intermediate FMD, but not in those with enhanced FMD, which suggests a crucial link between CIMT and endothelial dysfunction, with the latter appearing to be essential for cardiovascular risk factors to be able to contribute to atherosclerosis in the arterial wall.

## CIMT

CIMT is the area of tissue starting at the luminal edge of the artery and ending at the boundary between the media and the adventitia (Figure 1).<sup>24</sup> It is measured using B-mode ultrasound as the composite thickness of the intima and media. The ‘double-line pattern’ is thus the distance between the two echogenic lines that represent the lumen–intima interface and the media–adventitia interface. CIMT in healthy middle-aged adults measures 0.6 to 0.7 mm and greater than 1.20 mm is considered abnormal.<sup>25</sup> CIMT is age-dependent and increases at a rate of 0.005 to 0.010 mm/year.<sup>26</sup> Thus, in younger individuals, a CIMT of greater than 1.00 mm would be considered abnormal.<sup>27</sup>

Iglesias del Sol and colleagues measured the CIMT at the common carotid, bifurcation, internal carotid, and combined CIMT and found that the area under the receiver operator characteristic (ROC) curves, as a predictor of coronary artery disease, for these segments were 0.67 (95% CI: 0.61–0.73), 0.69 (0.63–0.75), 0.67 (0.61–0.73), and 0.67 (0.61–0.73), respectively.<sup>28</sup> Thus the authors concluded that all the measurement sites had the same ability to predict future cardiovascular events.



**Figure 1** Carotid intima-media thickness measured at the far wall of the common carotid artery using the double-line pattern.

## Limitations of CIMT measurement

There is no standardized protocol for measurement of CIMT. This can result in inaccurate measurements of the progression or regression of CIMT during the follow up studies or in the assessment of any therapeutic intervention on the measured CIMT. Since the implementation of the edge detection software there has been improved reproducibility and reduced interobserver variation.<sup>29</sup>

Different portions of the carotid artery have been used to measure the CIMT, common carotid, bifurcation, internal carotid, and combined CIMT which may influence the value of the measured CIMT. However in the study by Iglesias del Sol and colleagues CIMT was measured at the common carotid, bifurcation, internal carotid, and combined CIMT and they found that all the measurement sites had the same ability to predict future cardiovascular events.<sup>28</sup>

Measurement of CIMT involves a combined measure of the intimal and medial layer of the arterial wall, whereas the atherosclerotic process is restricted in the intimal layer, particularly in its early phase of atherosclerosis. Furthermore, CIMT is only an indirect assessment of the possible atherosclerotic burden in the coronary arteries which is the commonest cause of cardiovascular death. In a systematic review, Bots and colleagues reviewed 34 studies on the relationship of CIMT to coronary atherosclerosis. Thirty of these studies showed a modest positive relationship, the magnitude of which was similar to that found in autopsy studies. The modest relationship between CIMT and coronary

atherosclerosis most likely reflects variability in atherosclerosis development between the vascular beds rather than limitations of CIMT measurements.<sup>30</sup>

Lastly, measured CIMT is not only a reflection of the atherosclerotic burden in the carotid arteries but also reflects age-related changes, and it is imperative that the age of an individual is taken into account when CIMT is measured.

## CIMT – ultrasound vs histology

Ultrasonographic measurements of CIMT compared with histological measurements at the far-wall have been found to provide an accurate estimation of the IMT.<sup>31–33</sup> Pignoli and colleagues compared pathological findings *in vitro* or *in situ* at autopsy with ultrasonographic measurement of intima-media thickness (IMT) of the aorta and the common carotid arteries.<sup>34</sup> The authors found an error of less than 20% for measurements in three-quarters of normal and pathological aortic walls. In addition, no significant difference was found between the ultrasonographic measurement in the common carotid arteries evaluated *in vitro* and that determined by this method *in vivo* in young subjects indicating that ultrasonography represents a useful approach for the measurement of IMT in human arteries *in vivo*.

## CIMT and CVD

CIMT is a surrogate marker of atherosclerosis and provides a noninvasive method for the risk assessment of CVD.<sup>35–38</sup> It is a strong predictor of future cardiovascular events and is associated with conventional markers of cardiovascular risk such as age, diabetes and serum cholesterol.<sup>39,40</sup>

CIMT is a well-established index of atherosclerosis that correlates with prevalent and incident coronary artery disease<sup>41,42</sup> and stroke.<sup>43,44</sup> Studies have shown a relationship between atherosclerosis in the carotid and coronary arteries.<sup>45,46</sup> Furthermore, statistically significant correlations (range 0.3–0.5) between CIMT and coronary atherosclerosis, the latter based on a coronary angiogram, coronary calcium studies, or intravascular ultrasound, have been noted.<sup>30,47,48</sup>

CIMT is associated with cardiovascular risk factors<sup>49</sup> and both prevalent and incident coronary artery disease and stroke.<sup>41,44,50,51</sup> Furthermore, the progression of CIMT is influenced by cardiovascular risk factors and is directly related to the risk of future cardiovascular events.<sup>48,52</sup> CIMT has therefore become a valuable research tool in clinical trials in the assessment of therapeutic agents directed against atherosclerosis. Thus, on account of these characteristics, CIMT has been used as an intermediate end point to assess the therapeutic efficacy of various interventions in a number of clinical studies.<sup>53</sup>

In a meta-analysis of 37197 individuals followed-up for a mean duration of 5.5 years, Lorenz and colleagues found that a 0.1 mm absolute difference in CIMT was associated with a relative risk of myocardial infarction of 1.15 (95% CI: 1.12–1.17) and a relative risk of stroke of 1.18 (95% CI: 1.16–1.21).<sup>54</sup>

Studies have also demonstrated association between cardiovascular risk and increased CIMT in people with type 1 diabetes.<sup>55–57</sup>

In the recent Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA), coronary artery calcium (CAC) scoring was compared to CIMT in predicting CVD incidence in 6698 individuals aged 45 to 84 years who were asymptomatic and free of CVD at baseline. The study found that compared with CIMT, CAC was more strongly associated with incident CVD in the overall population. In contrast, CIMT was found to be a modestly better predictor of stroke than CAC scoring, which could be perhaps the result of the difference between vascular territories targeted by the two measures.<sup>58</sup> Although the CAC estimation was a better predictor of incident CVD in this study, measurement of CAC has a major disadvantage of exposing people to ionizing radiation.

## CIMT and cardiovascular risk factors

A number of risk factors have been associated with the development of atherosclerosis in the carotid arteries. The findings that the risk factors that predict CIMT are those that also predict coronary artery disease is concordant with the evidence that atherosclerosis is a diffuse disease.<sup>59</sup> These risk factors include increasing age,<sup>60–62</sup> male sex,<sup>63</sup> smoking,<sup>61–64</sup> blood pressure,<sup>61–64</sup> measures of adiposity such as body mass index,<sup>60,65</sup> waist-to-hip ratio,<sup>66</sup> sedentary lifestyle,<sup>66</sup> family history,<sup>67</sup> ethnicity,<sup>68</sup> and the presence of diabetes or glucose intolerance.<sup>63,64,66</sup> CIMT has also been reported to be associated with serum cholesterol,<sup>60–64</sup> triglyceride levels,<sup>60</sup> high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol,<sup>60–64</sup> low-density lipoprotein LDL cholesterol,<sup>65</sup> high-sensitivity C-reactive protein,<sup>69</sup> and asymmetric dimethylarginine.<sup>70</sup>

A number of studies have evaluated the determinants of change in CIMT over time.<sup>71</sup> The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study among 15,792 individuals aged 45 to 64 years reported statistically significant associations of change in CIMT with baseline diabetes, current smoking, HDL cholesterol, pulse pressure, white blood cell count, and fibrinogen during the follow-up from 1987 to 1998.<sup>72</sup> Furthermore, significant associations were found between change in CIMT and change in LDL cholesterol, and serum triglyceride

and with onset of diabetes and hypertension, during the follow-up. Data from the Rotterdam study among 3409 men and women aged  $\geq 55$  years, in which CIMT was measured twice 6.5 years apart, indicated that moderate to severe progression of CIMT (above the 60th and 90th percentile of CIMT, respectively) was related to age, body mass index, male sex, current smoking, systolic blood pressure, and the presence of hypertension.<sup>73</sup> Lipid levels, however, were not related to increased progression of CIMT. Recently, the Carotid Atherosclerosis Progression Study among 3383 men and women found that age, male sex, hypertension, presence of diabetes, and smoking were related to increased progression of internal CIMT over 3 years, whereas no relation was found for common CIMT.<sup>74</sup>

These studies suggest that CIMT is increased in the presence of risk factors associated with CVD and furthermore, the progression of CIMT is associated with cardiovascular risk factors.

### CIMT in people with type 1 diabetes

Several research groups have found an association between type 1 diabetes and CIMT.<sup>55,57,75–79</sup> Yamasaki and colleagues evaluated CIMT to assess the carotid arteries in 105 young patients with type 1 diabetes, 529 patients with type 2 diabetes, and 104 nondiabetic healthy people subjects. People with type 1 diabetes had significantly higher CIMT than healthy controls, whereas people with noninsulin-dependent diabetes showed CIMT values equivalent to those in normal adults. They reported that on multiple regression analysis CIMT in insulin-dependent diabetes patients was positively related to the duration of diabetes as well as to age. No other possible risk factors, such as serum total cholesterol level, serum HDL cholesterol level, LDL cholesterol, serum triglycerides, serum lipoprotein(a) level, or systolic or diastolic blood pressure showed any significant correlations. However, non-HDL cholesterol, smoking, and systolic hypertension were independently responsible for increases in CIMT values of type 2 diabetes patients as well as age and duration of diabetes.<sup>55</sup> Larsen and colleagues reported higher CIMT values in people with type 1 diabetes. They also reported a significant association between the glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA<sub>1c</sub>) levels and CIMT ( $r^2 = 0.77$ ;  $P < 0.0001$  when adjusted for age) in women with type 1 diabetes, though no such correlation was seen in men. Among women, a significant association was also found between CIMT and the percentage of coronary vessel area stenosis, measured by intravascular ultrasound.<sup>57</sup> The Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications (EDIC) Research Group found that traditional

cardiovascular risk factors including increasing age, smoking, and LDL cholesterol were related to CIMT.<sup>80</sup> In a further study, 40 people aged 11 to 30 years with duration of type 1 diabetes of 3 to 25 years compared with 40 healthy controls confirmed a higher CIMT in the cohort with diabetes ( $0.6 \pm 0.1$  vs  $0.4 \pm 0.1$  mm;  $P < 0.001$ ). CIMT was found to correlate with age ( $r = 0.76$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ), body mass index ( $r = 0.82$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ), duration of diabetes ( $r = 0.66$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ), systolic blood pressure ( $r = 0.82$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ), diastolic blood pressure ( $r = 0.83$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ), HbA<sub>1c</sub> ( $r = 0.40$ ;  $P = 0.004$ ) and HDL ( $r = -0.88$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ).<sup>81</sup>

In an observational longitudinal study over a period of 2.5 years of 102 people with type 1 diabetes, CIMT increased by a mean of 0.033 mm per year.<sup>82</sup> Furthermore, CIMT was found to correlate with age ( $r = 0.34$ ;  $P < 0.01$ ), diabetes duration ( $r = 0.25$ ;  $P < 0.05$ ) and systolic blood pressure ( $r = 0.28$ ;  $P < 0.05$ ) at baseline. In addition, the maximum change in CIMT was observed in people who had hypertension and nephropathy. CIMT has been reported to be increased in children with type 1 diabetes compared with healthy controls.<sup>83,84</sup> Atherogenic risk factors such as systolic blood pressure, duration of diabetes, and body weight were positively correlated with CIMT in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes.<sup>84</sup>

In type 1 diabetes, the increase in CIMT has been shown to start in childhood and adolescence by some<sup>55,75</sup> but not all studies.<sup>85,86</sup> In a recent study of young children with type 1 diabetes with modest glycemic control, Margeirsdottir and colleagues reported increased CIMT despite intensive insulin treatment.<sup>86</sup> In another study, Schwab and colleagues reported increased CIMT in the pediatric population (body mass index), markers of sustained inflammation, endothelial dysfunction, and fibrinolytic activity were increased in diabetic versus nondiabetic children, none of these measures being significant correlates of CIMT. The authors reported that that in well-controlled type 1 diabetes, systolic blood pressure may be of greater importance than dyslipidemia in early atherogenesis.<sup>84</sup>

In a study of young people with type 1 diabetes without known macrovascular disease or microalbuminuria, CIMT was found to be increased by 25% ( $P < 0.001$ ) in type 1 diabetes compared with healthy controls.<sup>22</sup>

### CIMT in people with impaired glucose tolerance and type 2 diabetes

People with impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) have been shown to have endothelial dysfunction and are at increased risk of CVD. CIMT has been observed to be increased in people

who would subsequently develop diabetes.<sup>87</sup> Yamasaki and colleagues have reported that people with IGT had increased CIMT and there was no difference in CIMT among the people with IGT and age- and sex-matched people with type 2 diabetes.<sup>88</sup> In another study postchallenge glucose levels were strongly associated with CIMT in people at risk of diabetes or who were at the early stages of type 2 diabetes.<sup>89</sup> These studies suggest that people with IGT or at the early stages of type 2 diabetes are already at increased risk of CVD.

A review of 21 studies including 24,111 people with type 2 diabetes ( $n = 4019$ ) and IGT ( $n = 1110$ ) found that CIMT was higher in individuals with diabetes compared to the healthy controls. Compared with healthy controls, CIMT was increased in individuals with type 2 diabetes by 0.13 (95% CI: 0.12–0.14) mm and by 0.04 (95% CI: 0.01–0.07) mm in individuals with IGT.<sup>90</sup> Other research groups have found CIMT to be increased in type 2 diabetes.<sup>91–93</sup> Furthermore, CIMT has been demonstrated to be higher in people with diabetes and macrovascular disease.<sup>94</sup> In a prospective study, Bernard and colleagues reported that CIMT provides a similar predictive value for coronary events compared with the Framingham score, and suggested that the combination of these two indexes would significantly improve risk prediction in these patients.<sup>95</sup>

A study was conducted in 98 people with type 2 diabetes with no known CVD to ascertain the clinical usefulness of CIMT in identifying those individuals in whom the single-photon emission computed tomography myocardial perfusion imaging is abnormal.<sup>96</sup> An increased CIMT was found to be significantly related to the presence and extent of abnormal myocardial perfusion. In another study, the usefulness of CIMT in predicting the presence of coronary artery disease, as detected by noninvasive computed tomographic coronary angiography, in asymptomatic people with diabetes was investigated ( $n = 150$ , aged  $50 \pm 13$  years, 83 men).<sup>97</sup> Mean CIMT increased from  $0.58 \pm 0.08$  mm in those with normal coronary arteries ( $n = 59$ , 39%), to  $0.67 \pm 0.12$  mm in those with nonobstructive atherosclerosis ( $n = 54$ , 36%) and  $0.75 \pm 0.12$  mm in those with obstructive stenosis defined as a  $\geq 50\%$  narrowing of the luminal diameter ( $n = 36$ , 25%;  $P < 0.01$ ). Furthermore, a cut-off value of 0.67 mm for CIMT predicted obstructive coronary atherosclerosis with a sensitivity of 85% and specificity of 72%.

CIMT has been shown to be a predictor of incidence and recurrence of stroke.<sup>44,98</sup> Similarly, increased CIMT has been found to be associated with increased risk of ischemic stroke in people with type 2 diabetes.<sup>99,100</sup> Increased CIMT and plaque score have been demonstrated to correlate with acute ischemic stroke in patients with type 2 diabetes.<sup>99</sup>

Along with hyperglycemia, other metabolic factors associated with diabetes that are known to increase cardiovascular risk including obesity, insulin resistance, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, and increased inflammatory state have all been shown to contribute to progression of CIMT in people with diabetes.<sup>98,99,101,102</sup> The Relationship between Insulin Sensitivity and Cardiovascular disease (RISC) study conducted in 1326 European nondiabetic healthy individuals aged 30 to 60 years measured CIMT and its associations with fasting insulin and insulin resistance by performing standard oral glucose tolerance tests and hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamps.<sup>103</sup> CIMT was statistically significantly associated with fasting insulin in healthy people. In contrast, Kong and colleagues studied normotensive individuals with type 2 diabetes and found no association between CIMT and fasting insulin or insulin sensitivity as assessed with an insulin-modified frequently sampled intravenous glucose tolerance test.<sup>104</sup>

Thus CIMT is increased in people with diabetes from a young age. The progression of CIMT is associated with the traditional risk factors of CVD such as hypertension and dyslipidemia.

## CIMT and microvascular complications

CIMT has been shown to be increased in people with type 1 diabetes and retinopathy.<sup>105,106</sup> In a cross-sectional study, the severity of retinopathy was found to be associated with CIMT (odds ratio per 0.1 mm CIMT 1.09 [95% CI: 1.01–1.17;  $P = 0.01$ ]),<sup>107</sup> consistent with studies in people with type 2 diabetes.<sup>108,109</sup> In a recent study, Vigili de Kreutzenberg and colleagues studied the association between diabetic retinopathy and CIMT in people with type 2 diabetes. The authors reported that retinopathy either alone or in combination with nephropathy, is independently associated with CIMT in people with type 2 diabetes, and the severity of microangiopathy correlates with severity of carotid atherosclerosis.<sup>110</sup> In another study of people with type 1 diabetes, the association between CIMT and microangiopathic complications including retinopathy or nephropathy was reported.<sup>111</sup>

## Effect of therapeutic interventions on CIMT in people with diabetes

### Blood glucose lowering in type 1 and type 2 diabetes and CIMT

A 16-week intensive lifestyle modification program and subsequent monthly meetings during the 6-month study period in 58 people with type 2 diabetes was found to be associated

with a significantly reduced mean CIMT progression after 6 months ( $-0.040 \pm 0.136$  vs  $+0.083 \pm 0.167$  mm;  $P = 0.007$ ).<sup>112</sup> Furthermore, changes in HbA<sub>1c</sub> ( $r = 0.34$ ;  $P = 0.028$ ), fasting plasma glucose ( $r = 0.31$ ;  $P = 0.045$ ), and 2-hour postprandial plasma glucose ( $r = 0.37$ ;  $P = 0.015$ ) correlated with the mean CIMT change after adjustment for age and sex. Thus, in addition to improved blood glucose control, lifestyle measures have decreased progression of CIMT. Data analyses from 11 studies ( $n = 1578$ ) in people with type 2 diabetes and IGT evaluated the effect of interventions on change in CIMT. The annual increase of CIMT was  $0.034$  mm/y (95% CI:  $0.029$ – $0.039$ ) in people with type 2 diabetes without any specific interventions in which mean HbA<sub>1c</sub> was 7.86%. A significant close correlation of HbA<sub>1c</sub> with rate of CIMT change was found ( $r = 0.35$ ;  $P = 0.01$ ). Agents for lowering of blood glucose, platelet activation, or blood pressure significantly reduced the CIMT increase, independent of blood glucose control.<sup>113</sup>

As part of the EDIC study, the long-term follow-up of the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT), 1229 people with type 1 diabetes (intensive blood glucose lowering arm  $n = 618$ ; conventional blood glucose lowering arm  $n = 611$ ) underwent internal and common CIMT measurements in 1994 to 1996 and again in 1998 to 2000.<sup>56</sup> Although CIMT was not statistically significantly different between the people with diabetes and the healthy controls after 1 year of follow-up in the EDIC study,<sup>114</sup> CIMT was significantly greater in people with type 1 diabetes compared with the healthy controls after a follow-up of 6 years in the EDIC study.<sup>56</sup> Furthermore, the progression of CIMT in the common carotid artery was significantly less in the group that received intensive therapy than in the group that received conventional therapy during the DCCT ( $0.032$  vs  $0.046$  mm;  $P = 0.01$ ) after adjustment for other risk factors. Factors that were associated with progression of CIMT were age, the EDIC base-line systolic blood pressure, smoking, ratio of LDL to HDL cholesterol, urinary albumin excretion rate, and the mean HbA<sub>1c</sub> during the DCCT.

A Japanese study randomized individuals with type 2 diabetes without known macrovascular disease to pioglitazone with or without other oral glucose-lowering agents ( $n = 89$ ) or other oral glucose-lowering agents excluding thiazolidenediones ( $n = 97$ ), with treatment goal of HbA<sub>1c</sub>  $< 6.5\%$ . The authors found that pioglitazone induced regression of mean CIMT from  $0.839 \pm 0.1873$  to  $0.780 \pm 0.1571$  mm;  $P = 0.002$ , although the between-group difference did not reach statistical significance.<sup>115</sup>

The Pioglitazone in the Prevention of Diabetes (PIPOD) study assessed the effects of pioglitazone in Hispanic women with prior gestational diabetes mellitus who had previously completed the troglitazone in the Prevention of Diabetes (TRIPOD) study.<sup>116–118</sup> Thirty-one women came to PIPOD from the troglitazone arm while 30 came from the placebo arm of TRIPOD. During the 3-year follow-up, the 31 women who came to PIPOD from the troglitazone arm of TRIPOD were found to have a lower progression of CIMT of 38% during pioglitazone treatment than during troglitazone treatment, although this was not statistically significant ( $0.0037$  vs  $0.0060$  mm/year;  $P = 0.260$ ). The progression of CIMT was 69% lower during pioglitazone treatment than it had been during placebo in the 30 women who came to PIPOD from the placebo arm of TRIPOD ( $0.0031$  vs  $0.0100$  mm/year;  $P = 0.006$ ). The authors concluded that pioglitazone slows progression of CIMT in women who had been on placebo in the TRIPOD study and maintained a low rate of progression in those who had previously been treated with troglitazone. The low CIMT progression during treatment with the thiazolidenediones was speculated to be due to PPAR- $\gamma$  activation in the vasculature and change in proinflammatory and prothrombotic markers.<sup>119,120</sup>

A greater reduction in CIMT independent of improved glycemic control, after 12 and 24 weeks of pioglitazone treatment, compared to glimepiride in 173 people with type 2 diabetes has been reported.<sup>121</sup> These data were later confirmed by Mazzone and colleagues in 462 people with type 2 diabetes (mean age 60 years) during a 72-week study. The authors found that the mean change in CIMT was less with pioglitazone than with glimepiride ( $-0.001$  mm vs  $+0.012$  mm, respectively; difference  $-0.013$  mm;  $P = 0.020$ ).<sup>122</sup>

In another study, pioglitazone, but not glibenclamide or voglibose, was found to reduce CIMT in people with type 2 diabetes and diabetic nephropathy at 6- and 12-month follow-up.<sup>123</sup>

In the randomized, placebo-controlled, Study of Atherosclerosis with Ramipril and Rosiglitazone (STARR), the effect of ramipril and of rosiglitazone on CIMT in people with IGT or impaired fasting glucose (IFG) was investigated.<sup>124</sup> People with IGT and/or IFG but without CVD or diabetes ( $n = 1425$ ) were randomized to ramipril 15 mg/day or its placebo and to rosiglitazone 8 mg/day or its placebo with a  $2 \times 2$  factorial design. The annual change of the maximum CIMT and the mean common CIMT were measured after a median follow-up of 3 years. Rosiglitazone significantly reduced the mean CIMT (difference  $0.0043 \pm 0.0017$  mm/y,

$P = 0.010$ ) but not the maximum CIMT. In contrast, there was no statistically significant difference between the ramipril and placebo groups.

In another study, glibenclamide in combination with metformin was associated with reduced progression of CIMT ( $0.003 \pm 0.048$  mm) compared with glibenclamide alone ( $0.064 \pm 0.045$  mm) and gliclazide group ( $0.032 \pm 0.036$  mm) ( $P < 0.0001$  and  $P = 0.043$  respectively).<sup>125</sup> The annual progression of maximum CIMT in the gliclazide group ( $0.044 \pm 0.106$  mm) and the glibenclamide plus metformin group ( $0.041 \pm 0.105$  mm) was smaller than that of the glibenclamide group ( $0.114 \pm 0.131$  mm). Attenuation of the CIMT progression by metformin in people with type 2 diabetes has been confirmed by others.<sup>126</sup> Metformin has antithrombotic effects, modulates the generation of reactive oxygen species, and reduces systemic methylglyoxal concentration, all of which might contribute to the beneficial effect on CIMT.<sup>127,128</sup>

The Copenhagen Insulin and Metformin Therapy trial aims to assess the effect of an 18-month treatment with metformin versus placebo in combination with one of three insulin analog regimens, with CIMT being the primary outcome measured in 950 individuals with type 2 diabetes. The three insulin regimens compared are 1) insulin detemir before bedtime ( $n \sim 315$  patients), 2) biphasic insulin aspart 30 before dinner with the possibility to increase to 2 or 4 injections daily ( $n \sim 315$  patients), and 3) insulin aspart before the main meals (three times daily) and insulin detemir before bedtime ( $n \sim 315$  patients).<sup>129</sup>

In the prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled, Study to Prevent Non-Insulin Dependent Diabetes Mellitus (STOP-NIDDM) trial, an  $\alpha$ -glucosidase inhibitor, acarbose, delayed progression from IGT to overt type 2 diabetes and reduced cardiovascular events.<sup>130</sup> A subgroup analysis of the STOP-NIDDM study examined the efficacy of acarbose on progression of CIMT in people with IGT.<sup>131</sup> One hundred thirty-two individuals with IGT were randomized to placebo ( $n = 66$ ) or acarbose ( $n = 66$ ). After a mean follow-up of 3.9 years, significant reduction in the progression of CIMT was observed in the acarbose group versus placebo. CIMT increased by  $0.02 \pm 0.07$  mm in the acarbose group versus  $0.05 \pm 0.06$  mm in the placebo group ( $P = 0.027$ ). The annual increase of CIMT was reduced by approximately 50% in the acarbose group versus placebo. CIMT progression was significantly related to acarbose intake on multiple linear regression analyses. As the primary effect of acarbose is on meal-time hyperglycemia, these data supported the importance of postprandial hyperglycemia.

A substudy was performed in 175 of 401 individuals with type 2 diabetes who had participated in an epidemiological study to assess the relationship between postprandial hyperglycemia and surrogate markers of atherosclerosis.<sup>132</sup> The effects of repaglinide ( $n = 88$ ) and glyburide ( $n = 87$ ) on CIMT were compared after 12 months. Although,  $HbA_{1c}$  improved to a comparable extent in both groups ( $-0.9\%$ ), the postprandial glucose peak was lower in the repaglinide group ( $P < 0.010$ ). CIMT regression, defined as a decrease of  $>0.020$  mm, was noted in a greater proportion of people on repaglinide (52%) than on glyburide 18% ( $P < 0.010$ ). Furthermore, the reduction in CIMT was associated with changes in postprandial but not fasting hyperglycemia. These data add to recent research, which suggests that postprandial hyperglycemic excursions may be more important than basal hyperglycemia in triggering atherosclerosis.

### Antihypertensive agents and CIMT in people with diabetes

Post-hoc analyses of the association between antihypertensive treatment and CIMT in the Troglitazone Atherosclerosis Regression Trial (TART), which assessed CIMT progression in adults with insulin-treated type 2 diabetes, found that higher systolic blood pressure was associated with a higher CIMT progression rate ( $P = 0.03$ ). Furthermore, anti-hypertensive treatment reduced this association in a duration-dependent manner (interaction  $P = 0.035$ ).<sup>133</sup>

Hosomi and colleagues did a prospective randomized clinical trial of 98 patients with type 2 diabetes who were randomized to either enalapril 10 mg/d ( $n = 48$ ) or to a control group ( $n = 50$ ) for 2 years.<sup>134</sup> The enalapril-treated group was found to have reduced annual thickening of the common carotid arteries by  $0.01 \pm 0.004$  mm/y relative to the control group over the course of this study. These data concur with other data which showed that angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors led to a reduction in myocardial infarction, stroke, cardiovascular death, total mortality, revascularization, and overt nephropathy.<sup>135</sup> Importantly, the D allele of the ACE gene has been shown to be an independent risk factor for coronary artery disease and with CIMT in individuals with type 2 diabetes.<sup>136,137</sup>

### Lipid-lowering treatment and CIMT in people with diabetes

People with type 2 diabetes without prior cardiovascular events participated in the Stop Atherosclerosis in Native Diabetics Study (SANDS) trial and were randomized to a standard

group (target LDL cholesterol  $\leq 2.6$  mmol/L; non-HDL cholesterol  $\leq 3.4$  mmol/L; systolic blood pressure  $\leq 130$  mmHg) and an aggressive group with tighter targets (target LDL cholesterol  $\leq 1.8$  mmol/L; non-HDL cholesterol  $\leq 2.6$  mmol/L; systolic blood pressure  $\leq 115$  mmHg), and were treated with statins alone or statins plus ezetimibe.<sup>138</sup> The CIMT changes in both aggressive subgroups were compared with changes in the standard subgroups (target LDL cholesterol  $\leq 2.6$  mmol/L; non-HDL cholesterol  $\leq 3.4$  mmol/L; systolic blood pressure  $\leq 130$  mmHg). Within the aggressive group, mean CIMT at 36 months regressed from baseline similarly in the ezetimibe ( $-0.025$  mm, range  $-0.05$  to  $0.003$  mm) and nonezetimibe subgroups ( $-0.012$  mm, range  $-0.03$  to  $0.008$  mm) but progressed in the standard treatment arm ( $0.039$  mm, range  $0.02$ – $0.06$  mm; intergroup;  $P < 0.0001$ ). The authors concluded that reducing LDL cholesterol to aggressive targets resulted in similar regression of CIMT in patients who attained equivalent LDL cholesterol reductions from a statin alone or statin plus ezetimibe. CIMT increased in those achieving standard targets.

The Arterial Biology for the Investigation of the Treatment Effects of Reducing Cholesterol 6: HDL and LDL Treatment Strategies in Atherosclerosis (ARBITER 6-HALTS) study found that niacin resulted in a significant regression of mean and maximal CIMT whereas there was no significant change in CIMT in the ezetimibe-treated subgroup.<sup>139</sup> Although not powered for clinical outcomes, there were more major cardiovascular events in the ezetimibe arm than in the niacin arm (9 events vs 2 events, respectively;  $P = 0.040$ ).

### Anti-platelet therapy and CIMT in people with diabetes

Kodama and colleagues followed up 150 people aged 52 to 76 years with type 2 diabetes and without known CVD whose baseline CIMT was  $> 1.1$  mm.<sup>140</sup> Antiplatelet agents (aspirin 81 mg/day,  $n = 40$ ; ticlopidine 200 mg/day,  $n = 36$ ; no drugs  $n = 74$ ) were administered. Individuals without anti-platelet agents had an annual progression of CIMT of  $0.067$  mm/y. In contrast, low-dose aspirin or ticlopidine attenuated the progression of CIMT by 50% ( $0.033$  mm and  $0.034$  mm/year, respectively).

More recently, the prospective, randomized, open-label, blinded Diabetic Atherosclerosis Prevention by Cilostazol (DAPC) study showed that in people with type 2 diabetes suspected of peripheral artery disease, a phosphodiesterase inhibitor, cilostazol (100–200 mg/day) caused greater regression in the maximum and mean CIMT compared with aspirin (81–100 mg/day) during a 2-year observation period (mean left

CIMT  $-0.043 \pm 0.182$  vs  $0.028 \pm 0.202$  mm;  $P = 0.004$ ; mean right CIMT  $-0.024 \pm 0.182$  vs  $0.048 \pm 0.169$  mm;  $P < 0.001$ ).<sup>141</sup>

### Conclusions

Diabetes is associated with increased cardiovascular and cerebrovascular disease-related mortality. Early identification of people at higher risk can influence the treatment strategies to reduce the morbidity and mortality. CIMT measurement is a relatively easy, noninvasive technique to identify atherosclerosis. People with diabetes have higher CIMT than the healthy population. CIMT increases in the presence of micro- and macrovascular complications of diabetes. Several treatment strategies in diabetes which have been shown to reduce diabetic complications also cause regression of CIMT. Thus, routine measurement of CIMT may add value to risk stratification and facilitate better use of various treatment strategies in people with diabetes.

Assessment of CIMT provides an excellent opportunity to evaluate the atherosclerotic risk in people with diabetes and can further be used to facilitate better use of various treatment strategies in people with diabetes. Further randomized studies would be required to assess the role of CIMT in predicting the development of various complications and how various available treatment strategies could be incorporated to influence the outcome.

### Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

### References

- Abbott RD, Donahue RP, Kannel WB, Wilson PW. The impact of diabetes on survival following myocardial infarction in men vs women. The Framingham Study. *JAMA*. 1988;260(23):3456–3460.
- Gu K, Cowie CC, Harris MI. Mortality in adults with and without diabetes in a national cohort of the US population, 1971–1993. *Diabetes Care*. 1998;21(7):1138–1145.
- Savage PJ. Cardiovascular complications of diabetes mellitus: what we know and what we need to know about their prevention. *Ann Intern Med*. 1996;124(1 Pt 2):123–126.
- Webster MW, Scott RS. What cardiologists need to know about diabetes. *Lancet*. 1997;350 Suppl 1:SI23–SI28.
- Stamler J, Vaccaro O, Neaton JD, Wentworth D. Diabetes, other risk factors, and 12-yr cardiovascular mortality for men screened in the Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial. *Diabetes Care*. 1993;16(2):434–444.
- Kannel WB, McGee DL. Diabetes and cardiovascular disease. The Framingham study. *JAMA*. 1979;241(19):2035–2038.
- Jamrozik K, Broadhurst RJ, Forbes S, Hankey GJ, Anderson CS. Predictors of death and vascular events in the elderly: the Perth Community Stroke Study. *Stroke*. 2000;31(4):863–868.
- Folsom AR, Rasmussen ML, Chambless LE, et al. Prospective associations of fasting insulin, body fat distribution, and diabetes with risk of ischemic stroke. The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study Investigators. *Diabetes Care*. 1999;22(7):1077–1083.

9. Kuusisto J, Mykkanen L, Pyorala K, Laakso M. Non-insulin-dependent diabetes and its metabolic control are important predictors of stroke in elderly subjects. *Stroke*. 1994;25(6):1157–1164.
10. Donahue RP, Orchard TJ. Diabetes mellitus and macrovascular complications. An epidemiological perspective. *Diabetes Care*. 1992;15(9):1141–1155.
11. Maser RE, Wolfson SK Jr, Ellis D, et al. Cardiovascular disease and arterial calcification in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus: interrelations and risk factor profiles. Pittsburgh Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications Study-V. *Arterioscler Thromb*. 1991;11(4):958–965.
12. Orchard TJ, Dorman JS, Maser RE, et al. Prevalence of complications in IDDM by sex and duration. Pittsburgh Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications Study II. *Diabetes*. 1990;39(9):1116–1124.
13. Orchard TJ, Dorman JS, Maser RE, et al. Factors associated with avoidance of severe complications after 25 yr of IDDM. Pittsburgh Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications Study I. *Diabetes Care*. 1990;13(7):741–747.
14. You RX, McNeil JJ, O'Malley HM, Davis SM, Thrift AG, Donnan GA. Risk factors for stroke due to cerebral infarction in young adults. *Stroke*. 1997;28(10):1913–1918.
15. Jude EB, Oyibo SO, Chalmers N, Boulton AJ. Peripheral arterial disease in diabetic and nondiabetic patients: a comparison of severity and outcome. *Diabetes Care*. 2001;24(8):1433–1437.
16. Newman AB, Siscovick DS, Manolio TA, et al. Ankle-arm index as a marker of atherosclerosis in the Cardiovascular Health Study. Cardiovascular Heart Study (CHS) Collaborative Research Group. *Circulation*. 1993;88(3):837–845.
17. Ludmer PL, Selwyn AP, Shook TL, et al. Paradoxical vasoconstriction induced by acetylcholine in atherosclerotic coronary arteries. *N Engl J Med*. 1986;315(17):1046–1051.
18. Anderson TJ, Uehata A, Gerhard MD, et al. Close relation of endothelial function in the human coronary and peripheral circulations. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1995;26(5):1235–1241.
19. Sorensen KE, Kristensen IB, Celermajer DS. Atherosclerosis in the human brachial artery. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1997;29(2):318–322.
20. Celermajer DS, Sorensen KE, Gooch VM, et al. Non-invasive detection of endothelial dysfunction in children and adults at risk of atherosclerosis. *Lancet*. 1992;340(8828):1111–1115.
21. Juonala M, Viikari JS, Laitinen T, et al. Interrelations between brachial endothelial function and carotid intima-media thickness in young adults: the cardiovascular risk in young Finns study. *Circulation*. 2004;110(18):2918–2923.
22. Sibal L, Aldibbiat A, Agarwal SC, et al. Circulating endothelial progenitor cells, endothelial function, carotid intima-media thickness and circulating markers of endothelial dysfunction in people with type 1 diabetes without macrovascular disease or microalbuminuria. *Diabetologia*. 2009;52(8):1464–1473.
23. Ravikumar R, Deepa R, Shanthirani C, Mohan V. Comparison of carotid intima-media thickness, arterial stiffness, and brachial artery flow mediated dilatation in diabetic and nondiabetic subjects (The Chennai Urban Population Study [CUPS-9]). *Am J Cardiol*. 2002;90(7):702–707.
24. Touboul PJ, Hennerici MG, Meairs S, et al. Mannheim carotid intima-media thickness consensus (2004–2006). An update on behalf of the Advisory Board of the 3rd and 4th Watching the Risk Symposium, 13th and 15th European Stroke Conferences, Mannheim, Germany, 2004, and Brussels, Belgium, 2006. *Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2007;23(1):75–80.
25. Jacoby DS, Mohler IE, Rader DJ. Noninvasive atherosclerosis imaging for predicting cardiovascular events and assessing therapeutic interventions. *Curr Atheroscler Rep*. 2004;6(1):20–26.
26. O'Leary DH, Bots ML. Imaging of atherosclerosis: carotid intima-media thickness. *Eur Heart J*. 2010;31(14):1682–1689.
27. Mukherjee D, Yadav JS. Carotid artery intimal-medial thickness: indicator of atherosclerotic burden and response to risk factor modification. *Am Heart J*. 2002;144(5):753–759.
28. Iglesias del Sol A, Bots ML, Grobbee DE, Hofman A, Witteman JC. Carotid intima-media thickness at different sites: relation to incident myocardial infarction; The Rotterdam Study. *Eur Heart J*. 2002;23(12):934–940.
29. Gepner AD, Korcarz CE, Aeschlimann SE, et al. Validation of a carotid intima-media thickness border detection program for use in an office setting. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*. 2006;19(2):223–228.
30. Bots ML, Baldassarre D, Simon A, et al. Carotid intima-media thickness and coronary atherosclerosis: weak or strong relations? *Eur Heart J*. 2007;28(4):398–406.
31. Gamble G, Beaumont B, Smith H, et al. B-mode ultrasound images of the carotid artery wall: correlation of ultrasound with histological measurements. *Atherosclerosis*. 1993;102(2):163–173.
32. Graf S, Garipey J, Massonneau M, et al. Experimental and clinical validation of arterial diameter waveform and intimal media thickness obtained from B-mode ultrasound image processing. *Ultrasound Med Biol*. 1999;25(9):1353–1363.
33. Wong M, Edelstein J, Wollman J, Bond MG. Ultrasonic-pathological comparison of the human arterial wall. Verification of intima-media thickness. *Arterioscler Thromb*. 1993;13(4):482–486.
34. Pignoli P, Tremoli E, Poli A, Oreste P, Paoletti R. Intimal plus medial thickness of the arterial wall: a direct measurement with ultrasound imaging. *Circulation*. 1986;74(6):1399–1406.
35. Grobbee DE, Bots ML. Carotid artery intima-media thickness as an indicator of generalized atherosclerosis. *J Intern Med*. 1994;236(5):567–573.
36. Yamakado M, Fukuda I, Kiyose H. Ultrasonographically assessed carotid intima-media thickness and risk for asymptomatic cerebral infarction. *J Med Syst*. 1998;22(1):15–18.
37. Bots ML, Dijk JM, Oren A, Grobbee DE. Carotid intima-media thickness, arterial stiffness and risk of cardiovascular disease: current evidence. *J Hypertens*. 2002;20(12):2317–2325.
38. Oren A, Vos LE, Uiterwaal CS, Grobbee DE, Bots ML. Cardiovascular risk factors and increased carotid intima-media thickness in healthy young adults: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Young Adults (ARYA) Study. *Arch Intern Med*. 2003;163(15):1787–1792.
39. Crouse JR 3rd, Tang R, Espeland MA, Terry JG, Morgan T, Mercuri M. Associations of extracranial carotid atherosclerosis progression with coronary status and risk factors in patients with and without coronary artery disease. *Circulation*. 2002;106(16):2061–2066.
40. Espeland MA, Craven TE, Riley WA, Corson J, Romont A, Furberg CD. Reliability of longitudinal ultrasonographic measurements of carotid intimal-medial thicknesses. Asymptomatic Carotid Artery Progression Study Research Group. *Stroke*. 1996;27(3):480–485.
41. Bots ML, Hoes AW, Koudstaal PJ, Hofman A, Grobbee DE. Common carotid intima-media thickness and risk of stroke and myocardial infarction: the Rotterdam Study. *Circulation*. 1997;96(5):1432–1437.
42. Burke GL, Evans GW, Riley WA, et al. Arterial wall thickness is associated with prevalent cardiovascular disease in middle-aged adults. The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study. *Stroke*. 1995;26(3):386–391.
43. Chambless LE, Folsom AR, Clegg LX, et al. Carotid wall thickness is predictive of incident clinical stroke: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2000;151(5):478–487.
44. O'Leary DH, Polak JF, Kronmal RA, Manolio TA, Burke GL, Wolfson SK Jr. Carotid-artery intima and media thickness as a risk factor for myocardial infarction and stroke in older adults. Cardiovascular Health Study Collaborative Research Group. *N Engl J Med*. 1999;340(1):14–22.
45. Holme I, Enger SC, Helgeland A, et al. Risk factors and raised atherosclerotic lesions in coronary and cerebral arteries. Statistical analysis from the Oslo study. *Arteriosclerosis*. 1981;1(4):250–256.
46. Mitchell JR, Schwartz CJ. Relationship between arterial disease in different sites. A study of the aorta and coronary, carotid, and iliac arteries. *Br Med J*. 1962;1(5288):1293–1301.

47. Craven TE, Ryu JE, Espeland MA, et al. Evaluation of the associations between carotid artery atherosclerosis and coronary artery stenosis. A case-control study. *Circulation*. 1990;82(4):1230–1242.
48. Hodis HN, Mack WJ, LaBree L, et al. The role of carotid arterial intima-media thickness in predicting clinical coronary events. *Ann Intern Med*. 1998;128(4):262–269.
49. Dawson JD, Sonka M, Blecha MB, Lin W, Davis PH. Risk factors associated with aortic and carotid intima-media thickness in adolescents and young adults: the Muscatine Offspring Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2009;53(24):2273–2279.
50. Lorenz MW, von Kegler S, Steinmetz H, Markus HS, Sitzer M. Carotid intima-media thickening indicates a higher vascular risk across a wide age range: prospective data from the Carotid Atherosclerosis Progression Study (CAPS). *Stroke*. 2006;37(1):87–92.
51. Cao JJ, Arnold AM, Manolio TA, et al. Association of carotid artery intima-media thickness, plaques, and C-reactive protein with future cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality: the Cardiovascular Health Study. *Circulation*. 2007;116(1):32–38.
52. Johnson HM, Douglas PS, Srinivasan SR, et al. Predictors of carotid intima-media thickness progression in young adults: the Bogalusa Heart Study. *Stroke*. 2007;38(3):900–905.
53. Liu L, Zhao F, Yang Y, et al. The clinical significance of carotid intima-media thickness in cardiovascular diseases: a survey in Beijing. *J Hum Hypertens*. 2008;22(4):259–265.
54. Lorenz MW, Markus HS, Bots ML, Rosvall M, Sitzer M. Prediction of clinical cardiovascular events with carotid intima-media thickness: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Circulation*. 2007;115(4):459–467.
55. Yamasaki Y, Kawamori R, Matsushima H, et al. Atherosclerosis in carotid artery of young IDDM patients monitored by ultrasound high-resolution B-mode imaging. *Diabetes*. 1994;43(5):634–639.
56. Nathan DM, Lachin J, Cleary P, et al. Intensive diabetes therapy and carotid intima-media thickness in type 1 diabetes mellitus. *N Engl J Med*. 2003;348(23):2294–2303.
57. Larsen JR, Brekke M, Bergengen L, et al. Mean HbA1c over 18 years predicts carotid intima media thickness in women with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetologia*. 2005;48(4):776–779.
58. Folsom AR, Kronmal RA, Detrano RC, et al. Coronary artery calcification compared with carotid intima-media thickness in the prediction of cardiovascular disease incidence: the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). *Arch Intern Med*. 2008;168(12):1333–1339.
59. Mancini GB, Dahlof B, Diez J. Surrogate markers for cardiovascular disease: structural markers. *Circulation*. 2004;109(25 Suppl 1):IV22–IV30.
60. Davis PH, Dawson JD, Riley WA, Lauer RM. Carotid intimal-medial thickness is related to cardiovascular risk factors measured from childhood through middle age: The Muscatine Study. *Circulation*. 2001;104(23):2815–2819.
61. Bonithon-Kopp C, Scarabin PY, Taquet A, Touboul PJ, Malmejac A, Guize L. Risk factors for early carotid atherosclerosis in middle-aged French women. *Arterioscler Thromb*. 1991;11(4):966–972.
62. Ferrieres J, Elias A, Ruidavets JB, et al. Carotid intima-media thickness and coronary heart disease risk factors in a low-risk population. *J Hypertens*. 1999;17(6):743–748.
63. Mannami T, Konishi M, Baba S, Nishi N, Terao A. Prevalence of asymptomatic carotid atherosclerotic lesions detected by high-resolution ultrasonography and its relation to cardiovascular risk factors in the general population of a Japanese city: the Suita study. *Stroke*. 1997;28(3):518–525.
64. Kuller L, Borhani N, Furberg C, et al. Prevalence of subclinical atherosclerosis and cardiovascular disease and association with risk factors in the Cardiovascular Health Study. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1994;139(12):1164–1179.
65. Li S, Chen W, Srinivasan SR, et al. Childhood cardiovascular risk factors and carotid vascular changes in adulthood: the Bogalusa Heart Study. *JAMA*. 2003;290(17):2271–2276.
66. Folsom AR, Eckfeldt JH, Weitzman S, et al. Relation of carotid artery wall thickness to diabetes mellitus, fasting glucose and insulin, body size, and physical activity. Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study Investigators. *Stroke*. 1994;25(1):66–73.
67. Bensen JT, Li R, Hutchinson RG, Province MA, Tyroler HA. Family history of coronary heart disease and pre-clinical carotid artery atherosclerosis in African-Americans and whites: the ARIC study: Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities. *Genet Epidemiol*. 1999;16(2):165–178.
68. Li R, Duncan BB, Metcalf PA, et al. B-mode-detected carotid artery plaque in a general population. Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study Investigators. *Stroke*. 1994;25(12):2377–2383.
69. Wang TJ, Nam BH, Wilson PW, et al. Association of C-reactive protein with carotid atherosclerosis in men and women: the Framingham Heart Study. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2002;22(10):1662–1667.
70. Ayer JG, Harmer JA, Nakhla S, et al. HDL-cholesterol, blood pressure, and asymmetric dimethylarginine are significantly associated with arterial wall thickness in children. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2009;29(6):943–949.
71. Zureik M, Touboul PJ, Bonithon-Kopp C, et al. Cross-sectional and 4-year longitudinal associations between brachial pulse pressure and common carotid intima-media thickness in a general population. The EVA study. *Stroke*. 1999;30(3):550–555.
72. Chambless LE, Folsom AR, Davis V, et al. Risk factors for progression of common carotid atherosclerosis: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study, 1987–1998. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2002;155(1):38–47.
73. Van der Meer IM, Iglesias del Sol A, Hak AE, Bots ML, Hofman A, Wittman JC. Risk factors for progression of atherosclerosis measured at multiple sites in the arterial tree: the Rotterdam Study. *Stroke*. 2003;34(10):2374–2379.
74. Mackinnon AD, Jerrard-Dunne P, Sitzer M, Buehler A, von Kegler S, Markus HS. Rates and determinants of site-specific progression of carotid artery intima-media thickness: the carotid atherosclerosis progression study. *Stroke*. 2004;35(9):2150–2154.
75. Jarvisalo MJ, Putto-Laurila A, Jartti L, et al. Carotid artery intima-media thickness in children with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes*. 2002;51(2):493–498.
76. Frost D, Beischer W. Determinants of carotid artery wall thickening in young patients with Type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Diabet Med*. 1998;15(10):851–857.
77. Mohan V, Ravikumar R, Shanthi Rani S, Deepa R. Intimal medial thickness of the carotid artery in South Indian diabetic and non-diabetic subjects: the Chennai Urban Population Study (CUPS). *Diabetologia*. 2000;43(4):494–499.
78. Peppas-Patrikiou M, Scordili M, Antoniou A, Giannaki M, Dracopoulou M, Dacou-Voutetakis C. Carotid atherosclerosis in adolescents and young adults with IDDM. Relation to urinary endothelin, albumin, free cortisol, and other factors. *Diabetes Care*. 1998;21(6):1004–1007.
79. Yokoyama H, Yoshitake E, Otani T, et al. Carotid atherosclerosis in young-aged IDDM associated with diabetic retinopathy and diastolic blood pressure. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 1993;21(2–3):155–159.
80. Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications (EDIC) Research Group. Effect of intensive diabetes treatment on carotid artery wall thickness in the epidemiology of diabetes interventions and complications. *Diabetes*. 1999;48(2):383–390.
81. Abdelghaffar S, El Amir M, El Hadidi A, El Mougi F. Carotid intima-media thickness: an index for subclinical atherosclerosis in type 1 diabetes. *J Trop Pediatr*. 2006;52(1):39–45.
82. Frost D, Friedl A, Beischer W. Determinants of early carotid atherosclerosis progression in young patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes*. 2002;110(2):92–94.
83. Rabago Rodriguez R, Gomez-Diaz RA, Tanus Haj J, et al. Carotid intima-media thickness in pediatric type 1 diabetic patients. *Diabetes Care*. 2007;30(10):2599–2602.
84. Schwab KO, Doerfer J, Krebs A, et al. Early atherosclerosis in childhood type 1 diabetes: role of raised systolic blood pressure in the absence of dyslipidaemia. *Eur J Pediatr*. 2007;166(6):541–548.

85. Singh TP, Groehn H, Kazmers A. Vascular function and carotid intimal-medial thickness in children with insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2003;41(4):661–665.
86. Margeisdottir HD, Stensaeth KH, Larsen JR, Brunborg C, Dahl-Jorgensen K. Early signs of atherosclerosis in diabetic children on intensive insulin treatment: a population-based study. *Diabetes Care*. 33(9):2043–2048.
87. Hunt KJ, Williams K, Rivera D, et al. Elevated carotid artery intima-media thickness levels in individuals who subsequently develop type 2 diabetes. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2003;23(10):1845–1850.
88. Yamasaki Y, Kawamori R, Matsushima H, et al. Asymptomatic hyperglycaemia is associated with increased intimal plus medial thickness of the carotid artery. *Diabetologia*. 1995;38(5):585–591.
89. Temelkova-Kurktschiev TS, Koehler C, Henkel E, Leonhardt W, Fuecker K, Hanefeld M. Postchallenge plasma glucose and glycemic spikes are more strongly associated with atherosclerosis than fasting glucose or HbA1c level. *Diabetes Care*. 2000;23(12):1830–1834.
90. Brohall G, Oden A, Fagerberg B. Carotid artery intima-media thickness in patients with Type 2 diabetes mellitus and impaired glucose tolerance: a systematic review. *Diabet Med*. 2006;23(6):609–616.
91. Niskanen L, Rauramaa R, Miettinen H, Haffner SM, Mercuri M, Uusitupa M. Carotid artery intima-media thickness in elderly patients with NIDDM and in nondiabetic subjects. *Stroke*. 1996;27(11):1986–1992.
92. Temelkova-Kurktschiev TS, Koehler C, Leonhardt W, et al. Increased intimal-medial thickness in newly detected type 2 diabetes: risk factors. *Diabetes Care*. 1999;22(2):333–338.
93. Wagenknecht LE, D'Agostino RB Jr, Haffner SM, Savage PJ, Rewers M. Impaired glucose tolerance, type 2 diabetes, and carotid wall thickness: the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study. *Diabetes Care*. 1998;21(11):1812–1818.
94. Lee CD, Folsom AR, Pankow JS, Brancati FL. Cardiovascular events in diabetic and nondiabetic adults with or without history of myocardial infarction. *Circulation*. 2004;109(7):855–860.
95. Bernard S, Seruslat A, Targe F, et al. Incremental predictive value of carotid ultrasonography in the assessment of coronary risk in a cohort of asymptomatic type 2 diabetic subjects. *Diabetes Care*. 2005;28(5):1158–1162.
96. Djaberi R, Schuijff JD, Jukema JW, et al. Increased carotid intima-media thickness as a predictor of the presence and extent of abnormal myocardial perfusion in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2010;33(2):372–374.
97. Djaberi R, Schuijff JD, de Koning EJ, et al. Usefulness of carotid intima-media thickness in patients with diabetes mellitus as a predictor of coronary artery disease. *Am J Cardiol*. 2009;104(8):1041–1046.
98. Li C, Engstrom G, Berglund G, Janzon L, Hedblad B. Incidence of ischemic stroke in relation to asymptomatic carotid artery atherosclerosis in subjects with normal blood pressure. A prospective cohort study. *Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2008;26(3):297–303.
99. Lee EJ, Kim HJ, Bae JM, et al. Relevance of common carotid intima-media thickness and carotid plaque as risk factors for ischemic stroke in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2007;28(5):916–919.
100. Matsumoto K, Sera Y, Nakamura H, Ueki Y, Miyake S. Correlation between common carotid arterial wall thickness and ischemic stroke in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Metabolism*. 2002;51(2):244–247.
101. Davis TM, Millns H, Stratton IM, Holman RR, Turner RC. Risk factors for stroke in type 2 diabetes mellitus: United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) 29. *Arch Intern Med*. 1999;159(10):1097–1103.
102. Urbina EM, Kimball TR, McCoy CE, Khoury PR, Daniels SR, Dolan LM. Youth with obesity and obesity-related type 2 diabetes mellitus demonstrate abnormalities in carotid structure and function. *Circulation*. 2009;119(22):2913–2919.
103. De Rooij SR, Dekker JM, Kozakova M, et al. Fasting insulin has a stronger association with an adverse cardiometabolic risk profile than insulin resistance: the RISC study. *Eur J Endocrinol*. 2009;161(2):223–230.
104. Kong C, Elatrozy T, Anyaoku V, Robinson S, Richmond W, Elkeles RS. Insulin resistance, cardiovascular risk factors and ultrasonically measured early arterial disease in normotensive Type 2 diabetic subjects. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev*. 2000;16(6):448–453.
105. Distiller LA, Joffe BI, Melville V, Welman T, Distiller GB. Carotid artery intima-media complex thickening in patients with relatively long-surviving type 1 diabetes mellitus. *J Diabetes Complications*. 2006;20(5):280–284.
106. Glowinska-Olszewska B, Urban M, Urban B, Tolwinska J, Szadkowska A. The association of early atherosclerosis and retinopathy in adolescents with type 1 diabetes: preliminary report. *Acta Diabetol*. 2007;44(3):131–137.
107. Klein R, Sharrett AR, Klein BE, et al. The association of atherosclerosis, vascular risk factors, and retinopathy in adults with diabetes: the atherosclerosis risk in communities study. *Ophthalmology*. 2002;109(7):1225–1234.
108. Malecki MT, Osmenda G, Walus-Miarka M, et al. Retinopathy in type 2 diabetes mellitus is associated with increased intima-media thickness and endothelial dysfunction. *Eur J Clin Invest*. 2008;38(12):925–930.
109. Rema M, Mohan V, Deepa R, Ravikumar R. Association of carotid intima-media thickness and arterial stiffness with diabetic retinopathy: the Chennai Urban Rural Epidemiology Study (CURES-2). *Diabetes Care*. 2004;27(8):1962–1967.
110. Vigili de Kreutzenberg S, Coracina A, Volpi A, et al. Microangiopathy is independently associated with presence, severity and composition of carotid atherosclerosis in type 2 diabetes. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis*. 2010 Feb 15. [Epub ahead of print].
111. Gul K, Ustun I, Aydin Y, et al. Carotid intima-media thickness and its relations with the complications in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Anadolu Kardiyol Derg*. 10(1):52–58.
112. Kim SH, Lee SJ, Kang ES, et al. Effects of lifestyle modification on metabolic parameters and carotid intima-media thickness in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Metabolism*. 2006;55(8):1053–1059.
113. Yokoyama H, Katakami N, Yamasaki Y. Recent advances of intervention to inhibit progression of carotid intima-media thickness in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Stroke*. 2006;37(9):2420–2427.
114. Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications (EDIC) Research Group. Effect of intensive diabetes treatment on carotid artery wall thickness in the epidemiology of diabetes interventions and complications. *Diabetes*. 1999;48(2):383–390.
115. Yamasaki Y, Katakami N, Furukado S, et al. Long-Term Effects of Pioglitazone on Carotid Atherosclerosis in Japanese Patients with Type 2 Diabetes without a Recent History of Macrovascular Morbidity. *J Atheroscler Thromb*. 2010.
116. Xiang AH, Peters RK, Kjos SL, et al. Effect of thiazolidinedione treatment on progression of subclinical atherosclerosis in premenopausal women at high risk for type 2 diabetes. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2005;90(4):1986–1991.
117. Azen SP, Peters RK, Berkowitz K, Kjos S, Xiang A, Buchanan TA. TRIPOD (TROglitazone In the Prevention Of Diabetes): a randomized, placebo-controlled trial of troglitazone in women with prior gestational diabetes mellitus. *Control Clin Trials*. 1998;19(2):217–231.
118. Xiang AH, Hodis HN, Kawakubo M, et al. Effect of pioglitazone on progression of subclinical atherosclerosis in non-diabetic premenopausal Hispanic women with prior gestational diabetes. *Atherosclerosis*. 2008;199(1):207–214.
119. Hsueh WA, Law RE. PPARgamma and atherosclerosis: effects on cell growth and movement. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2001;21(12):1891–1895.
120. Kruszynska YT, Yu JG, Olefsky JM, Sobel BE. Effects of troglitazone on blood concentrations of plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 in patients with type 2 diabetes and in lean and obese normal subjects. *Diabetes*. 2000;49(4):633–639.

121. Langenfeld MR, Forst T, Hohberg C, et al. Pioglitazone decreases carotid intima-media thickness independently of glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: results from a controlled randomized study. *Circulation*. 2005;111(19):2525–2531.
122. Mazzone T, Meyer PM, Feinstein SB, et al. Effect of pioglitazone compared with glimepiride on carotid intima-media thickness in type 2 diabetes: a randomized trial. *JAMA*. 2006;296(21):2572–2581.
123. Nakamura T, Matsuda T, Kawagoe Y, et al. Effect of pioglitazone on carotid intima-media thickness and arterial stiffness in type 2 diabetic nephropathy patients. *Metabolism*. 2004;53(10):1382–1386.
124. Lonn EM, Gerstein HC, Sheridan P, et al. Effect of ramipril and of rosiglitazone on carotid intima-media thickness in people with impaired glucose tolerance or impaired fasting glucose: STARR (STudy of Atherosclerosis with Ramipril and Rosiglitazone). *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2009;53(22):2028–2035.
125. Katakami N, Yamasaki Y, Hayashi-Okano R, et al. Metformin or gliclazide, rather than glibenclamide, attenuate progression of carotid intima-media thickness in subjects with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetologia*. 2004;47(11):1906–1913.
126. Matsumoto K, Sera Y, Abe Y, Tominaga T, Yeki Y, Miyake S. Metformin attenuates progression of carotid arterial wall thickness in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2004;64(3):225–228.
127. Nagi DK, Yudkin JS. Effects of metformin on insulin resistance, risk factors for cardiovascular disease, and plasminogen activator inhibitor in NIDDM subjects. A study of two ethnic groups. *Diabetes Care*. 1993;16(4):621–629.
128. Bonnefont-Rousselot D, Raji B, Walrand S, et al. An intracellular modulation of free radical production could contribute to the beneficial effects of metformin towards oxidative stress. *Metabolism*. 2003;52(5):586–589.
129. Lundby Christensen L, Almdal T, Boesgaard T, et al. Study rationale and design of the CIMT trial: the Copenhagen Insulin and Metformin Therapy trial. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2009;11(4):315–322.
130. Chiasson JL, Josse RG, Gomis R, Hanefeld M, Karasik A, Laakso M. Acarbose for prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus: the STOP-NIDDM randomised trial. *Lancet*. 2002;359(9323):2072–2077.
131. Hanefeld M, Chiasson JL, Koehler C, Henkel E, Schaper F, Temelkova-Kurktschiev T. Acarbose slows progression of intima-media thickness of the carotid arteries in subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. *Stroke*. 2004;35(5):1073–1078.
132. Esposito K, Giugliano D, Nappo F, Marfella R. Regression of carotid atherosclerosis by control of postprandial hyperglycemia in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Circulation*. 2004;110(2):214–219.
133. Zheng L, Hodis HN, Buchanan TA, Li Y, Mack WJ. Effect of antihypertensive therapy on progression of carotid intima-media thickness in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Am J Cardiol*. 2007;99(7):956–960.
134. Hosomi N, Mizushige K, Ohya H, et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition with enalapril slows progressive intima-media thickening of the common carotid artery in patients with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Stroke*. 2001;32(7):1539–1545.
135. Heart Outcomes Prevention Evaluation Study Investigators. Effects of ramipril on cardiovascular and microvascular outcomes in people with diabetes mellitus: results of the HOPE study and MICRO-HOPE substudy. *Lancet*. 2000;355(9200):253–259.
136. Hosoi M, Nishizawa Y, Kogawa K, et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme gene polymorphism is associated with carotid arterial wall thickness in non-insulin-dependent diabetic patients. *Circulation*. 1996;94(4):704–707.
137. Ruiz J, Blanche H, Cohen N, et al. Insertion/deletion polymorphism of the angiotensin-converting enzyme gene is strongly associated with coronary heart disease in non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1994;91(9):3662–3665.
138. Fleg JL, Mete M, Howard BV, et al. Effect of statins alone versus statins plus ezetimibe on carotid atherosclerosis in type 2 diabetes: the SANDS (Stop Atherosclerosis in Native Diabetics Study) trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2008;52(25):2198–2205.
139. Taylor AJ, Villines TC, Stanek EJ, et al. Extended-release niacin or ezetimibe and carotid intima-media thickness. *N Engl J Med*. 2009;361(22):2113–2122.
140. Kodama M, Yamasaki Y, Sakamoto K, et al. Antiplatelet drugs attenuate progression of carotid intima-media thickness in subjects with type 2 diabetes. *Thromb Res*. 2000;97(4):239–245.
141. Katakami N, Kim YS, Kawamori R, Yamasaki Y. The phosphodiesterase inhibitor cilostazol induces regression of carotid atherosclerosis in subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus: principal results of the Diabetic Atherosclerosis Prevention by Cilostazol (DAPC) study: a randomized trial. *Circulation*. 2010;121(23):2584–2591.

## Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity: Targets and Therapy

Dovepress

### Publish your work in this journal

Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity: Targets and Therapy is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal committed to the rapid publication of the latest laboratory and clinical findings in the fields of diabetes, metabolic syndrome and obesity research. Original research, review, case reports, hypothesis formation, expert

opinion and commentaries are all considered for publication. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <http://www.dovepress.com/diabetes-metabolic-syndrome-and-obesity-targets-and-therapy-journal>