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Abstract

Background

The clinical condition of dementia is now recognised as a diagnosis that can only be applied too late in the disease process to be useful for therapeutic approaches centring on disease modification. As a result, in recent years increasing attention has been given to mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and the diagnosis of prodromal dementia. This paper reviews the evidence for the clinical presentation of prodromal dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB).

Methods

A MEDLINE search was carried out to identify papers with original data on the prodromal presentation of DLB.

Results

In MCI cohorts that progress to dementia, the proportion diagnosed with DLB is similar to that reported in dementia cohorts. Prodromal DLB may present as any MCI subtype, though visuospatial and executive domains may be most commonly affected. REM sleep behaviour disorder (RBD), autonomic symptoms, hyposmia, hallucinations and motor symptoms appear to be more common in prodromal DLB than prodromal Alzheimer’s disease. Some of these symptoms can precede the diagnosis of DLB by several years.

There has been little research into the use of biomarkers in prodromal DLB, though in RBD cohorts clinical and imaging biomarkers have been associated with the development of DLB.

Conclusions

The evidence available suggests that prodromal DLB may be differentiated from other dementia prodromes in most cases. Further research is needed to confirm this, and to assess
the utility of biomarkers such as $^{123}$I-FP-CIT and $^{131}$I-MIBG imaging.
Introduction

The clinical condition of dementia, by definition a global cognitive decline with functional impairment, is now recognised as a diagnosis that can only be applied too late in the disease process to be useful for current and future therapeutic approaches which centre on disease modification. As a result, in recent years increasing research attention has been given to mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and the diagnosis of prodromal dementia. Petersen et al. (1999) described MCI as an entity with clinical characteristics intermediate between dementia and healthy controls, and high rates of conversion to dementia (most commonly Alzheimer’s disease [AD], because of the amnestic weighting of the MCI definition). Later, the diagnosis was refined to subcategorise MCI into amnestic and non-amnestic MCI (aMCI and naMCI respectively), depending on whether memory was affected or not (Petersen et al., 2001, Winblad et al., 2004). aMCI was hypothesised to precede AD or vascular dementia, whereas naMCI was felt more likely to precede dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB), vascular dementia or frontotemporal dementia (Petersen, 2004).

More recently, criteria for the diagnosis of MCI due to AD (Albert et al., 2011) and prodromal AD (Dubois et al., 2010) have been put forward. These diagnostic criteria are similar to previous descriptions of aMCI but also include validated disease biomarkers indicative of brain amyloid deposition and/or neuronal injury.

The diagnosis of prodromal dementia has gained prominence following disappointing results in recent trials of anti-amyloid therapies and the hypothesis that such treatments may only be successful in the earliest stages of the disease (Aisen et al., 2013). AD, the most common type of dementia, has received significant attention in this regard. There are a wide variety of other causes of dementia, with vascular dementia, DLB and frontotemporal dementia being
among the most common. Less common subtypes account for a significant proportion of dementia cases, particularly in those under 65 years old (Harvey et al., 2003). Huntington’s disease has demonstrated a long prodromal phase that has been characterised in recent longitudinal studies (Paulsen et al., 2008, Tabrizi et al., 2012).

DLB is the second most common type of neurodegenerative dementia after AD, accounting for at least 4.2% of all diagnosed dementias in the community and 7.5% of those in secondary care (Vann Jones and O'Brien, 2014). There are validated consensus criteria for the clinical diagnosis of DLB (McKeith et al., 2005). These display high positive predictive value for the post-mortem neuropathological classification of intermediate- or high-likelihood DLB (Fujishiro et al., 2008). DLB requires different management from AD, most notably the avoidance of antipsychotic medications (Ballard et al., 1998).

The existence of a prodromal phase of DLB is to be expected, given the insidiously progressive nature of the disorder, as with AD. It may also be expected that prodromal DLB should display some of the features characteristic of established DLB (Table 1) (McKeith et al., 2005, Troster, 2008). The identification of a DLB prodrome would enable investigation of the early pathophysiology of DLB and the development of treatments to interrupt these pathophysiological processes. Prodromal DLB may require different management from other dementia prodromes. For example, DLB may potentially be more responsive to cholinesterase inhibition in its prodromal phase, given the early and widespread cholinergic losses seen in DLB compared with AD (Tiraboschi et al., 2002).

In this paper we review the evidence to determine if DLB has a characteristic prodromal phase that may allow its differentiation from other dementia prodromes.
Method

A MEDLINE (Web of Knowledge; 1950-present) search was carried out in October 2013. The search algorithm used was: [“MCI” OR “mild cognitive impairment” OR “mild dementia” OR “prodrom*”] AND [“Lewy”].

378 English-language results were found. Titles and abstracts were then screened by two reviewers (PD and AT). Case studies were excluded. In longitudinal cohorts where the same outcomes had been reported at different time points only the most recent results were included. A total of 54 relevant papers were identified. After reading these papers, it was apparent that the original search did not find a significant number of relevant papers investigating rapid eye movement sleep behaviour disorder (RBD) as a prodrome of DLB.

A second search was carried out using the terms [“RBD” OR “REM sleep behaviour disorder” OR “rapid eye movement sleep behaviour disorder”] AND [“Lewy”]. Of 108 further results, 15 papers of interest were identified.

After further assessment, 29 papers were found to contain original data on prodromal DLB. A further paper was found after searching the bibliographies of these papers (Postuma et al., 2011).

Results

Epidemiological studies of rates of conversion from MCI to DLB

Three studies have prospectively followed-up patients with MCI for the development of DLB. In a cohort of 581 75 year-olds (440 cognitively healthy, 48 with aMCI and 93 with naMCI) followed up for 30 months, possible DLB was found in 10 cases, all of whom also
fulfilled clinical criteria for possible or probable AD (Fischer et al., 2007). At baseline 4 were cognitively healthy, 2 had aMCI, and 4 had naMCI. In another group of 133 patients with MCI followed up for 6 years, 53.4% developed dementia and 5.6% (4/71) of these dementia cases were diagnosed as DLB (Palmqvist et al., 2012). One study that followed-up 170 consecutive cases of MCI at a memory clinic found high rates of DLB (22% of dementia cases were probable DLB, 6% were possible DLB) (Bombois et al., 2008). The heterogeneity of these results can at least partly be attributed to the recruitment of participants, with the highest proportions of DLB found in a study recruiting from a tertiary referral centre (Bombois et al., 2008), and the lowest in a study that recruited most patients from primary care units (Palmqvist et al., 2012).

In a post-mortem study of 134 patients who died with a diagnosis of MCI, 8 (6%) had cortical Lewy bodies (LBs); 5 of these 8 in the absence of vascular or AD pathology. A further 10% had nigral or limbic LBs (Schneider et al., 2009). Saito and Murayama (2007) found that, of 33 MCI cases showing degenerative pathology post-mortem, 6 (18%) had LB pathology, with half of these showing only DLB type changes. Another small study of an aMCI group found that 1/15 cases had transitional LB pathology post-mortem, along with some AD pathology (Petersen et al., 2006).

**Clinical studies of prodromal DLB**

Three studies (Auning et al., 2011, Chiba et al., 2012, Fujishiro et al., 2013) have asked patients with DLB and/or their carers to retrospectively report on the early symptoms of DLB (Table 2).
Auning et al. (2011) interviewed carers of patients newly diagnosed with mild DLB about the presenting symptoms of DLB (MMSE>20; n=61). Visual hallucinations (44%), gait problems (28%), tremor/stiffness (25%) and a tendency to fall (13%) were significantly more common in DLB compared with an AD control group, whereas memory problems were significantly less common. Fluctuating cognition was not offered as an option for presenting symptom. Carers did have the opportunity to report symptoms not on the preselected list, but any other reported symptoms were infrequent (<10%).

Chiba et al. (2012) asked patients and carers to fill in a survey of pre-defined symptoms without any additional instruction. They looked at the temporal onset of symptoms relative to memory loss. This was to allow comparison of DLB (n=34) and AD (n=32), both of which are associated with progressive memory impairment. The most common symptoms present in the same year as the onset of memory loss were sleep rhythm change (62%), crying/shouting in sleep (62%), anosmia/hyposmia (41%), constipation (47%) and limb movements in sleep (35%) (Table 2).

Of those symptoms that were more common in DLB than AD, the earliest to develop were constipation (mean = 9.4 years before memory impairment); crying/shouting during sleep (4.9 years); limb movements during sleep (3.9 years); anosmia/hyposmia (2.9 years) and nightmares (2.5 years).

The three symptoms taken to be most representative of DLB (due to high prevalence in DLB and relatively low prevalence in AD/controls) were crying/shouting during sleep, constipation and anosmia/hyposmia. One or more of these symptoms differentiated DLB from AD with a sensitivity of 0.71 and a specificity of 0.81. Increasing the threshold to two
or more symptoms resulted in a decrease of sensitivity to 0.38 but an increase in specificity to 0.97. The questionnaire did not enquire about parkinsonism, hallucinations or fluctuations.

The same group later assessed the presence and time of onset of core features and eight symptoms of Lewy body disease in 90 patients with probable DLB (Fujishiro et al., 2013). There was no comparison group. As with the previous study, the presence of each symptom at the onset of memory loss was recorded. This study found comparable rates of constipation, anosmia/hyposmia, RBD, depression and orthostatic dizziness to their previous study (Table 2). Lower rates of urinary incontinence were found (8%), and syncope was relatively rare (7%).

This study confirmed that constipation, anosmia and RBD often precede the onset of memory loss by several years. Visual hallucinations and extrapyramidal symptoms each were present in around one third of individuals at the onset of memory loss, though on average these symptoms developed 1.5 years after memory loss.

**Post-mortem studies of prodromal symptoms of DLB**

Some post-mortem studies of established DLB have retrospectively assessed the chronological development of symptoms. Ferman et al. (2011) examined 98 patients with intermediate-high likelihood of DLB on post-mortem examination who had been part of a longitudinal study. On average, RBD preceded dementia by 6 years (with wide variation (SD=12 years), possibly reflecting some cases with very early onset RBD). Conversely visual hallucinations and parkinsonism followed the estimated dementia onset by an average of 2.6 and 1.8 years respectively. Another Mayo Clinic post-mortem study examined 52 patients diagnosed during life with probable or possible DLB (Fujishiro et al., 2008). The authors
remarked that “RBD antedated the diagnosis of DLB in almost all cases in which RBD was noted”, whereas the presence of notable visual hallucinations followed the development of dementia by an average of 2.8 years.

Both of the above studies recruited subjects when they had already been diagnosed with dementia and prospectively collected information through regular clinical and neuropsychiatric assessments. Two further studies specifically recruited non-demented subjects for prospective follow-up. These studies reported a different pattern of symptom development in prodromal DLB.

Jicha et al. (2010) enrolled cognitively normal patients for regular clinical follow-up and brain donation following death. Nine patients with neocortical DLB post-mortem and no significant AD or vascular pathology had an identified MCI phase during their illness. This group was compared with 12 patients with a post-mortem diagnosis of AD. None of the AD-MCI group displayed parkinsonism, cognitive fluctuations or psychiatric symptoms (hallucinations/delusions/paranoia) during the MCI phase. 8/9 MCI-DLB demonstrated at least one of these features concurrent with the MCI diagnosis (parkinsonism n=5; fluctuations n=3; psychiatric symptom n=4).

DLB-MCI was associated with significant memory impairment, but the group performed better on immediate recall than AD-MCI. They were worse on phonemic fluency and tended toward being worse at trail-making, but were better at the Boston Naming Test. It must be noted that, due to the strict inclusion criteria, these findings only represent the MCI phase of patients with later neocortical LB deposition (i.e. a subset of all those who have DLB, some of whom will not have cortical involvement) and without significant vascular or AD pathology.
In a similar study, Molano et al. (2010) identified 8 patients from their research databases that had been prospectively followed up after a diagnosis of MCI, and later were found have LB disease post-mortem (limbic- or neocortical-predominant). In the year of MCI diagnosis, or preceding this, 5 displayed parkinsonism and 3 had visual hallucinations, whereas none displayed fluctuations. RBD was present in 7 cases at the diagnosis of MCI, preceding it by up to 47 years.

7 cases developed dementia before death. Of these 5 had parkinsonism, 5 had hallucinations and 2 had fluctuations before the development of dementia.

The patients had a variety of MCI subtypes. Attention/executive function (n=6) and visuospatial function (n=6) were the cognitive domains most commonly affected.

**Imaging findings in Prodromal DLB**

Six studies have performed imaging in MCI subjects that later developed DLB.

In the study discussed above (Molano et al., 2010), 3 cases had serial MRI. Compared with previously published data, hippocampal volumes at time of MCI and rate of hippocampal atrophy were within the range of cognitively normal subjects.

In a group of 170 patients with MCI, baseline subcortical hyperintensities on MRI were associated with an increased risk of developing mixed or vascular dementia, but not DLB or other dementia subtypes (Bombois et al., 2008).

One study has performed PET dopamine terminal imaging on subjects with MCI with follow-up for the development of DLB (Albin et al., 2013). Of 27 MCI subjects, two had markedly
reduced striatal $^{11}$C-dihydrotetrobenazine binding. Both developed dementia at follow-up; one was classified as DLB, the other as frontotemporal dementia. However, 3/25 MCI subjects with normal $^{11}$C-dihydrotetrafenazine scans also developed DLB.

Clerici et al. (2009) performed $^{18}$F-FDG PET on 16 patients with single domain aMCI and 14 patients with naMCI with executive dysfunction. These were compared with controls that were undergoing PET scans for cancer restaging. Of those who completed follow-up, 1/14 aMCI and 5/12 naMCI developed DLB. In a voxel-based analysis, the naMCI who developed DLB had heterogeneous patterns of hypometabolism compared to controls. The inferior and mesial frontal; anterior and posterior cingulate; superior temporal and inferior parietal areas were most frequently involved. Frontal hypometabolism may have been expected, given that executive dysfunction was one of the inclusion criteria for the naMCI group. This may not be representative of all prodromal DLB.

Pardo et al. (2010) followed 19 army veterans with MCI for 3 years following baseline FDG-PET scans. Two developed DLB; both had an ‘AD-like’ pattern of hypometabolism (hypometabolism in medial parietal and lateral parietal regions) on visual inspection. Neither displayed occipital hypometabolism.

Another study performed MR spectroscopy, diffusion weighted imaging (DWI) and perfusion imaging on 119 patients with MCI (Fayed et al., 2008). After follow-up subjects could be classified as AD (including mixed dementia, n=49); Lewy body dementia (LBD) (n=5; criteria not stated); MCI due to vascular disease (n=15); MCI due to depression (n=22); or MCI due to AD (n=28).
There were no differences between LBD and the other groups in baseline spectroscopy or perfusion findings.

On DWI in the right hippocampus, the LBD group higher baseline Apparent Diffusion Coefficient (ADC) values compared with the 3 MCI groups, indicating greater white matter disruption. The difference between LBD and AD approached significance (p=0.08). Values in the AD/mixed dementia group did not differ from the MCI groups. Baseline characteristics were not provided, so the findings could be due to differences between groups at baseline (e.g. age or severity of cognitive impairment).

**REM Sleep Behaviour Disorder**

RBD is associated with high rates of conversion to dementia. Longitudinal studies have estimated that over half of patients with RBD go on to develop a neurodegenerative disorder which is nearly always a synucleinopathy (e.g. Parkinson’s disease (PD), Parkinson’s disease dementia, DLB, multi-system atrophy) if followed-up for more than a decade, rising to up to 93% if followed-up over longer periods (Postuma et al., 2009, Iranzo et al., 2013, Schenck et al., 2013). In these studies, 14-39% of those who developed a neurodegenerative disorder were diagnosed with DLB.

Studies specifically looking at DLB with RBD have confirmed that RBD tends to precede cognitive symptoms by several years (Boeve et al., 1998, Boeve et al., 2003). In some cases the gap is over 25 years (Claassen et al., 2010). Core symptoms may develop earlier in DLB patients with RBD than those without RBD (Dugger et al., 2012).
**Imaging in RBD to predict the development of DLB**

Dang-Vu et al. (2012) performed $^{99m}$Tc-ECD SPECT perfusion scanning on 20 patients with RBD who did not have dementia (though 13 had MCI), and compared these to 10 healthy controls. After an average follow-up of 3 years, 5 RBD subjects developed DLB (criteria not stated) and 5 PD. All those who developed DLB had an initial diagnosis of MCI. The PD/DLB group had increased baseline hippocampal regional cerebral blood-flow (rCBF) compared to the RBD group that did not develop neurodegenerative disease. The 5 DLB patients had increased hippocampal rCBF compared with controls. There were no significant differences between the PD and DLB groups. The PD/DLB group was on average 4.8 years older than the RBD subjects that did not develop disease.

Iranzo et al. (2010) performed striatal dopamine terminal binding of $^{123}$I-FP-CIT and transcranial echosonography of the substantia nigra in 43 patients with RBD. Eight patients later developed neurodegenerative disease (5 PD, 2 DLB and 1 multi-system atrophy), all of whom had at least one abnormal imaging finding. 30% of those with an abnormal finding developed a neurodegenerative disease at 2.5 years, compared with 0% of those with 2 normal scans. Both DLB cases displayed substantia nigra hyperechogenicity and one had reduced striatal $^{123}$I-FP-CIT uptake at baseline.

**Other biomarkers in RBD to predict the development of DLB**

Postuma and colleagues commenced a longitudinal study of RBD in 2004. After several years they have been able to identify baseline symptoms and signs that were associated with the development of neurodegenerative disease. In their latest report, 32 of 91 RBD subjects in their cohort had developed neurodegenerative disease (11 probable DLB, 4 possible DLB, 17 parkinsonism) (Postuma et al., 2013).
The RBD group that developed neurodegenerative disease reported greater baseline levels of urinary dysfunction, erectile dysfunction and constipation than controls (Postuma et al., 2013). They did not report more symptoms of orthostatic hypotension, but did have a greater postural drop in blood pressure. These abnormalities were present four or more years before the development of neurodegenerative disease. The results of the RBD without neurodegenerative disease group were intermediate between the controls and the disease group, possibly reflecting that some of this group were in the process of developing a neurodegenerative disease. Baseline postural hypotension and urinary dysfunction were significantly more common in the disease than the non-disease RBD group. ECG measures of autonomic dysfunction did not predict the development of neurodegenerative disease in RBD (Postuma et al., 2010).

Motor abnormalities assessed by the UPDRS, the alternate-tap test, the Purdue Pegboard and the timed up-and-go were all found to be abnormal in DLB three or more years before the diagnosis of dementia (Postuma et al., 2012). These tests appeared to be abnormal for longer periods before the development of DLB than PD.

Patients that developed DLB or PD dementia had abnormal baseline colour vision and olfactory function, assessed using the Farnsworth-Munsell-100-Hue and University of Pennsylvania Smell Identification tests respectively (Postuma et al., 2011). These abnormalities were present at the first assessment, up to 5 years before the development of dementia. Those with both abnormal olfaction and colour vision had an estimated disease-free survival (i.e. no DLB, PD dementia or PD) of 18%, compared with 82% of those with normal function on both tests.
In general, each of the abnormalities discussed above had high specificity but low sensitivity in identifying those with RBD that would go on to develop neurodegenerative disease. They were present some years before the diagnosis of disease and tended to progress slowly.

**Discussion**

*Clinical presentation of prodromal DLB*

The above evidence suggests that DLB can be preceded by an MCI phase before the development of dementia. Two studies that followed up participants with MCI for the development of DLB (Fischer *et al.*, 2007, Palmqvist *et al.*, 2012), reported figures similar to reported rates of DLB in clinically diagnosed dementia samples (Vann Jones and O'Brien, 2014); though rates varied greatly between studies, most likely due to recruitment from different clinical populations. Although the data available are limited, the pattern of symptoms in prodromal DLB appears to differ from that of prodromal AD. Particular symptoms that are more frequent in prodromal DLB include RBD, autonomic dysfunction (including constipation and orthostatic dizziness), hyposmia, visual hallucinations and motor symptoms. Even without including core symptoms, prodromal DLB may be discriminated from prodromal AD reasonable sensitivity and specificity (Chiba *et al.*, 2012). The earliest symptoms of DLB are constipation, RBD and hyposmia. RBD has been demonstrated to precede DLB by decades in some cases. **Table 3** shows approximate temporal relationships between symptoms in prodromal DLB from the evidence currently available. The order of symptom development is similar to that reported in PD (Gaenslen *et al.*, 2011).

*[Insert Table 3 here]*
Prodromal DLB can present with either amnestic or nonamnestic cognitive impairment (Fischer et al., 2007, Clerici et al., 2009, Molano et al., 2010), though visuospatial and executive function may be particularly likely to be affected (Molano et al., 2010). This is supported by findings in a recent study comparing neuropsychological measures at initial presentation (including MCI and mild dementia cases) (Yoshizawa et al., 2013). Those with ‘pure’ DLB pathology at post mortem had greater visuospatial impairment and less memory impairment at initial assessment compared to ‘pure’ AD or mixed DLB+AD pathology groups.

There is conflicting evidence on when the core features of DLB develop. Two longitudinal post-mortem cohorts that recruited subjects with dementia found that core features developed after the onset of dementia (Fujishiro et al., 2008, Ferman et al., 2011). Conversely, two longitudinal post-mortem studies that recruited before the onset of dementia (Jicha et al., 2010, Molano et al., 2010) and two retrospective interview studies (Auning et al., 2011, Fujishiro et al., 2013) found that core symptoms commonly develop before the onset of dementia.

These studies differed greatly in design and selection criteria, which may account for the differences in findings. Duration of dementia before death was notably different between some of the studies (≤4 years in Molano et al. (2010) v. 8-10 years on average in the two cohorts that recruited dementia patients (Fujishiro et al., 2008, Ferman et al., 2011)). This suggests that the studies may have recruited cohorts that were not clinically similar, or that diagnostic thresholds were different between the studies.
From this evidence, it appears that most cases of prodromal DLB will display clinical and neuropsychological characteristics similar to established DLB. The exact proportion of cases that conform to this phenotype remains to be established. In those cases that do not, other biomarkers may be needed to identify prodromal DLB.

**Biomarkers of prodromal DLB**

There has been little investigation into the use of imaging and other biomarkers to identify prodromal DLB. Indeed, we did not find any studies that investigated CSF biomarkers in prodromal DLB. Autonomic symptoms are common in prodromal DLB (Chiba et al., 2012); objective biomarkers of autonomic function such as postural hypotension could potentially be useful in the diagnosis of prodromal DLB.

Dopamine terminal imaging can be abnormal in mild DLB in the absence clinical features of parkinsonism, suggesting that it may have a role in identifying prodromal DLB (Auning et al., 2011, Siepel et al., 2013). The only paper to investigate this (Albin et al., 2013) found that 1 of 2 MCI subjects with baseline striatal dopaminergic denervation later developed DLB. 3 other subjects that developed DLB had normal dopamine terminal scans in the MCI phase. The same authors had previously reported a case of rapid striatal dopaminergic denervation around the time of onset of DLB (Albin and Koepppe, 2006).

With regards to other imaging modalities, raised hippocampal diffusivity on DWI compared with controls was found in one study, but this was not significantly greater than the prodromal AD group (Fayed et al., 2008). Surprisingly, the typical DLB pattern of occipital hypometabolism was not found in the few patients who had FDG-PET in the prodromal stage of the illness (Clerici et al., 2009, Pardo et al., 2010).
In summary, it appears that striatal dopaminergic innervation is abnormal in some, but not all patients with prodromal DLB; occipital hypometabolism may be a less sensitive marker of DLB in the prodromal phase. Further research is needed to evaluate the usefulness of these imaging modalities, and others known to be abnormal in established DLB, such as cardiac $^{123}$I-MIBG scintigraphy.

**RBD as a prodrome of DLB**

RBD patients represent a particular cohort at risk for developing DLB. Poor olfaction and colour vision; autonomic and motor dysfunction; reduced striatal dopaminergic innervation on SPECT; substantia nigra hyperechogenicity and increased hippocampal perfusion may all help to predict those with RBD that will go on to develop DLB or PD (Iranzo et al., 2010, Postuma et al., 2010, Postuma et al., 2011, Dang-Vu et al., 2012, Postuma et al., 2012, Postuma et al., 2013). None of these markers differentiate between those who will develop DLB from those who will develop PD. The evidence for these biomarkers is generally based on small DLB samples and none of the findings have been replicated. Findings in RBD groups may not be generalizable to the wider prodromal DLB population.

**Limitations**

With the exception of three retrospective symptom questionnaire studies (Auning et al., 2011, Chiba et al., 2012, Fujishiro et al., 2013), most of the evidence above relates to small groups of DLB patients. Few findings have been replicated. In general, the evidence is from clinical studies, without post-mortem verification of diagnosis. In some cases, this may have led to false positive or false negative results due to the misclassification of study subjects and such misclassification would in turn affect the apparent performance of biomarkers. Due to the heterogeneity of the data available, it is not
possible at this stage to combine the data or objectively compare the reliability of conflicting findings. This prevents us from objectively testing whether or not DLB has a distinct prodrome using this data. Longitudinal studies will be required, first to develop criteria for the prodrome of DLB, and then to test their validity.

**Conclusions**

The evidence available, though limited, suggests that DLB has an identifiable prodromal phase in most cases. It may be possible to differentiate prodromal DLB from prodromal AD based on the presence of core and suggestive features of DLB, autonomic dysfunction and other biomarkers.

$^{123}$I-FP-CIT and $^{131}$I-MIBG SPECT findings are abnormal in established DLB. It remains to be ascertained at what point in the evolution of the disease these findings become abnormal, and if these scans will be clinically useful in the identification of prodromal DLB. Longitudinal studies are certainly needed to further characterise the clinical presentation of prodromal DLB and investigate the utility of biomarkers (including CSF biomarkers) in its identification. Interesting findings in RBD suggesting that olfactory, visual, autonomic and motor dysfunction; hippocampal hyperperfusion and substantia nigra hyperechogenicity may predict the development of DLB should be investigated in a ‘normal’ MCI group, not recruited in a specialist sleep disorders centre.

Characterisation of the DLB prodrome is vital to enable the identification of DLB patients in the prodromal stage. This will facilitate research into the pathophysiology of prodromal DLB and the development of treatments aimed at halting or reversing these pathophysiological processes.
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Table 1. Diagnostic features of DLB (adapted from McKeith et al. (2005))

| Pattern of cognitive deficits – impairments of attention, executive and visuospatial function |
| Core features – spontaneous parkinsonism, complex visual hallucinations, fluctuating cognition |
| Suggestive features – REM sleep behaviour disorder (RBD), neuroleptic sensitivity, reduced dopamine transporter density in the striatum |
| Supportive features – repeated falls/syncope, transient unexplained loss of consciousness, autonomic dysfunction, depression, hallucinations, delusions |
| Imaging findings and other biomarkers – preservation of medial temporal lobe structures on structural imaging, reduced occipital perfusion, abnormal MIBG myocardial scintigraphy, EEG abnormalities |
Table 2. The Prevalence of key symptoms in three clinical studies of prodromal DLB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Auning et al. 2011 (% of DLB patients with symptom as a presenting symptom; n=61)</th>
<th>Chiba et al. 2012 (% of DLB patients with each symptom in year of onset of memory loss; n=34)</th>
<th>Fujishiro et al. 2013 (% of DLB patients with each symptom in year of onset of memory loss; n=90)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cognitive Problems</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problem-solving</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluctuations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Neuropsychiatric Symptoms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual Hallucinations</td>
<td>44*</td>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td></td>
<td>26*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of motivation</td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Locomotor Symptoms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tremor/stiffness</td>
<td>25*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gait problems</td>
<td></td>
<td>27*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falls</td>
<td></td>
<td>13*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extrapyramidal signs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Autonomic symptoms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constipation</td>
<td></td>
<td>47*</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthostatic dizziness</td>
<td></td>
<td>24*</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary incontinence</td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased salivation</td>
<td></td>
<td>21*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sleep symptoms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep rhythm change</td>
<td></td>
<td>62*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crying/shouting in sleep</td>
<td></td>
<td>62*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limb movements</td>
<td></td>
<td>35*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nightmares</td>
<td></td>
<td>27*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anosmia/hyposmia</td>
<td></td>
<td>41*</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* = significantly more common than AD comparison group (no comparison group in Fujishiro et al.)
Table 3. Temporal order of symptom development in prodromal DLB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very Early</th>
<th>Early</th>
<th>Late</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(developing before cognitive symptoms)</td>
<td>(developing during MCI)</td>
<td>(developing around the time of conversion to dementia or later)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td>RBD</td>
<td>Memory impairment</td>
<td>Cognitive fluctuations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Constipation</td>
<td>Parkinsonian symptoms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hyposmia</td>
<td>Visual hallucinations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Urinary dysfunction</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Erectile dysfunction</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signs/neuropsychological findings/biomarkers</td>
<td>Orthostatic hypotension/dizziness</td>
<td>Attention/executive dysfunction</td>
<td>Occipital hypometabolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Minor motor abnormalities</em></td>
<td>Visuospatial dysfunction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Impaired olfactory function</em></td>
<td>Striatal dopaminergic denervation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Impaired colour vision</td>
<td>Substantia nigra hyperechogenicity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased hippocampal rCBF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Items in italics reflect evidence from cohorts with RBD at baseline that may not be applicable to prodromal DLB as a whole.