Raised adolescent body mass index predicts the development of adiposity and a central distribution of body fat in adulthood: a longitudinal study.
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Summary:

Objectives: To test the hypothesis that adolescent body mass index (BMI) tracks into adulthood and can be used as a predictor of obesity and/or central adiposity in adulthood.

Method: A prospective cohort study following up 111 females and 84 males who participated in dietary and anthropometric surveys when aged 12 years (in 1979-81) and 33 years (in 2000-01). At both time-points height and weight were measured and BMI calculated. At 33 years, waist circumference (WC) and hip circumference were also measured and waist-to-hip ratio (WHR) calculated.

Results: In males and females, BMI at 12 years was associated significantly with BMI at 33 years (R=0.58 and 0.53, respectively, both \( P<0.01 \)) and WC at 33 years (R=0.58 and 0.53, both \( P<0.01 \)). The probability of being an obese adult increased with increasing adolescent BMI: ‘normal’ weight males (BMI <20.89) and females (BMI <21.20) at 12 years, respectively, had a 20% and 7% chance of being obese at 33 years; the probabilities for obese males (BMI \( \geq 25.58 \)) and females (BMI \( \geq 26.05 \)) were 83% and 64%. The corresponding probability of becoming centrally obese (by WC) increased from 17% and 16% in males and females of a ‘normal’ weight to 58% and 59% in those obese.

Conclusions: Adolescent BMI is a good predictor of adult BMI and WC and the likelihood of becoming obese and/or centrally obese in adulthood.
Introduction

Obesity is the accumulation of excess adipose tissue and is most commonly classified as a body mass index (BMI) of 30kg/m$^2$ and above. Its prevalence is increasing at an alarming rate throughout the world and in England has tripled over the last two decades [1,2]. If current trends continue, around a third of adults and a fifth of children in England will be obese by 2010 [3].

The distribution of body fat is also important. Accumulation of fat intra-abdominally increases the risk of Type 2 diabetes, hypertension and hyperlipidemia and may be a greater cardiovascular disease risk factor than raised BMI per se [4]. Whilst waist circumference (WC) alone is considered to be the best anthropometric predictor of visceral fat [4], and is positively associated with an increased risk of several types of cancer, most convincingly colo-rectal cancer [5], the ratio of waist circumference to hip circumference (WHR) has been shown to be a strong predictor of overall mortality, particularly in women [6].

Adult obesity is notoriously difficult to treat [7] so intervention programmes are increasingly focusing on early preventive measures. Screening is one option but relies on the ability to identify at an early age individuals ‘at risk’ of becoming adults who are obese, and/or have high levels of central adiposity. Adiposity in childhood may be one indicator of ‘risk’. While it is recommended that BMI be used to estimate general adiposity in children, the use of WC is not advised [8]. We aimed to test the hypothesis that adult BMI, WC and WHR are associated with BMI in early adolescence, and to quantify any such association.

Methods
Participants

The potential participants of the ASH30 Study were the 405 12 year olds who participated in a dietary and anthropometric survey carried out between 1979 and 1981 in south Northumberland, North East England [9]. These participants were 33 years old in 2000-01 and all those traced and who agreed to participate were included except those known to be pregnant at the time of measurement.

Anthropometric measurements

Height and weight were measured at both time-points, and waist circumference (WC) and hip circumference (HC) at 33 years old only. All measurements were taken in light indoor clothing. At 12 years, height was measured to the nearest 0.5cm using a sliding headpiece and weight to the nearest 0.5kg using a Seca scale [9]. At 33 years, height was measured to the nearest 0.1cm using a portable Soehnle electronic vertical stadiometer and weight was measured to the nearest 0.1kg using Tanita digital scales. BMI (weight (kg)/height (m)^2) was calculated at both time-points. International definitions were used to classify individuals according to their BMI at 12 and 33 years into ‘Normal weight’, ‘Overweight’ or ‘Obese’ [10,11]. At 12 years old the definitions for the closest age category (11.5 years) for boys and girls, respectively, defined overweight as a BMI at or above 20.89kg/m^2 and 21.20kg/m^2, and obesity as a BMI at or above 25.58kg/m^2 and 26.05kg/m^2 [11]. At 33 years old, overweight was defined as a BMI at or above 25.0kg/m^2 and less than 30.0kg/m^2 and obesity as a BMI at or above 30.0kg/m^2 [10]. At 33 years only, waist and hip circumferences were measured to the nearest 0.1cm [12]. Subjects were classified as ‘centrally obese’ according to the World Health Organisation’s criteria for WC (women ≥ 88cm, men ≥ 102cm) and WHR (women ≥ 0.85, men ≥ 1.00) [10].
Statistical methods

Factors influencing whether or not an individual (out of the original 405 subjects) was available for measurement at age 33 were assessed using summary statistics and logistic regression. For those who participated in both surveys, the BMI data at 12 and 33 years and WC data at 33 years followed log-normal distributions, therefore all analyses on these data were performed after taking the logarithm to base 10. Associations between measurements at ages 12 and 33 were assessed using correlation and regression. The latter assumes normally distributed residuals and this assumption was checked using probability plots. In addition, the difference in regression parameters between males and females was assessed using appropriate tests of interaction. The estimated regression equation was used to calculate the probability of being overweight or obese as functions of BMI at 12 years. The chances of being obese, overweight or normal weight at ages 33 or 12 given a particular status at the other age were calculated using the joint normality of the logged values.

Ethical approval

Ethical approval was obtained from the U.K. Multi-centre Research Ethics Committee and from fifty Local Research Ethics Committees.

Results

Subjects

Of the 405 original participants [9], 195 participated fully in the 2000-01 survey (111 females and 84 males): a further seven agreed to participate but were pregnant at the time of measurement. Of the remaining 203 subjects, 96 either declined to participate or failed to complete the study. The locations of a further 18 were traced but they could
not be contacted (e.g. in the armed forces). The remaining 89 could not be traced.

The age and anthropometric measurements recorded at each time-point are presented in table 1. All subjects were from the same ethnic group: Caucasian. At baseline, both males and females were on average aged 11.6 years and were not significantly different in terms of height, weight or BMI. Ten percent (n=8) of boys and 9% (n=10) of girls were overweight whilst 4% (n=3) of boys and 1% (n=1) of girls were obese. At follow up, males were on average aged 32.6 years and females 32.5 years. Males were significantly taller, heavier and had a higher BMI (all \(P<0.01\)) than females. This was reflected in the proportions overweight and obese: 48% (n=40) of men and 33% (n=37) of women were overweight; 26% (n=22) of men and 15% (n=17) of women were obese.

Association between BMI at 12 years and adiposity at 33 years:

**Obesity and Overweight**

BMI at 33 years old was strongly associated with the BMI at 12 years old; the correlations being very similar for males and females (both \(P<0.001\)) (table 2). The slope of the regression of \(\log_{10}\) BMI at age 33 on the \(\log_{10}\) BMI at age 12 was similar in males and females (test for interaction \(P=0.55\)). The data can thus be described most succinctly by a regression of \(\log_{10}\) BMI at age 33 on \(\log_{10}\) BMI at age 12, with different intercepts for males and females (fig. 1). For any given BMI at age 12, the geometric mean BMI at age 33 was 7% smaller for females than for males (95% confidence interval 4%, 11%).

The probability that an individual at age 33 was obese, or overweight but not obese, depended on the sex of the individual and on their BMI at age 12 (table 3). Females were less likely than males to become obese regardless of BMI at 12 years old and the
The probability of becoming obese increased with increasing BMI at 12 years old. For example, for an individual with a ‘normal’ BMI [11] at age 12, the probability of being obese at age 33 was 20% for a male but only 7% for a female. The corresponding probabilities for an individual who was overweight but not obese [11] at age 12 was 56% for a male and 32% for a female, and for an individual who was obese at age 12, it was 83% for a male and 64% for a female. The way the probability of being obese (or overweight but not obese) at age 33 varies with BMI at age 12 is illustrated in Figure 4. Conversely, the probability of those who were obese at 33 years old of having been a ‘normal’ weight at 12 years old was 65% for males and 77% for females.

Central Obesity

The \( \log_{10} \) WC at 33 years old was clearly associated with \( \log_{10} \) BMI at 12 years old with similar correlations for both sexes \((P<0.001\) for both\) (table 2). The slopes of the regression of \( \log_{10} \) WC on \( \log_{10} \) BMI at age 12 were also similar for both males and females: test for interaction, \( P=0.59 \). Thus, the data are described using a regression of \( \log_{10} \) WC on \( \log_{10} \) BMI at 12 years, with separate intercepts for males and females (fig. 2). For any BMI at age 12, the geometric mean WC was 14% smaller for females than males (95% confidence interval, 11%, 17%), coinciding with the 14% difference in the thresholds used to define central obesity in men and women (88cm vs. 102cm). Thus, the probability of becoming centrally obese was no different for males and females (table 3). In contrast, the probability of becoming centrally obese increased with BMI at 12 years from, for boys and girls respectively, 17% and 16% if of a normal weight to 58% and 59% if obese at 12 years old.

The association between \( \log_{10} \) BMI at age 12 and WHR at age 33 was different for males and females (test for interaction: \( P=0.027 \)) (fig. 3). There was a significant
(\(P<0.01\)) association with \(\log_{10}\) BMI at age 12 for males but no association was apparent for females (table 2, fig. 3).

**Participants v. non-participants**

Of the 405 participants surveyed in 1979-81, just under half (n=195) participated in the 2000-2001 survey. To assess how representative the latter were of the original cohort, the 2000-2001 participants and non-participants were compared with respect to the key variables in the first survey (table 4). Logistic regression showed that BMI was similar for both participants and non-participants (\(P=0.63\)) but 2000-01 participants were more likely to be female (\(P=0.004\)) and less likely to be from lower and unclassified social groups (III and IV) (\(P=0.002\)) (table 5). Analysis of variance was used to assess the effect of sex and social group (as defined in 1980) on the BMI in 2000-01 among participants and we found that social group had no significant (\(P=0.66\)) effect on BMI in 2000-2001 but the effect of sex was highly significantly (\(P=0.0002\), ratio of geometric means 1.09, 95% CI 1.04, 1.14).

**Discussion**

BMI at 12 years old was strongly associated with adult BMI and WC and, therefore, with adult obesity and central obesity (as defined by WC). Hence, those classified as overweight or obese [11] at 12 years old had a higher risk of becoming adults who were obese or centrally obese, based on WC [10], than those of normal weight. Adolescent BMI was a poorer predictor of adult WHR with no discernible association in females.

Our finding that BMI in adolescence predicts BMI in adulthood confirms findings from other studies. For example, a review of studies published between 1985 and 1996 [13] found positive correlations between 13-14 and 25-36 years of age for BMI, and other
indices of adiposity based on weight and height. These varied from 0.46-0.91 for males and 0.60-0.78 for females, with weaker correlations between younger ages and adulthood. All 12 studies reviewed found that fatter children were more likely to be obese later in life than their leaner counterparts. Several studies published since 1996 have also supported these findings [14-24]. Indeed there is evidence that childhood or adolescent BMI predicts adult indicators of cardiovascular risk, such as carotid intima-media thickness, a relationship thought to be explained largely by the tracking of BMI [22-23, 25].

The age at which the participants of this study initially took part may be of significance given that they were likely to be around the age of their pubertal growth spurt, particularly the girls. The prediction of adiposity in adulthood has been related specifically to adiposity measurements at critical periods such as birth, 1 year of age, or the age of adiposity rebound, but most strongly around puberty [18, 26]. A possible limitation of this study is the lack of data on pubertal stage of participants. The methods and setting used precluded any such assessment.

The mechanism responsible for of the very high association between BMI in adolescence and adulthood is not known, but it could reflect a persistence of behaviours that influence energy intake and/or expenditure. There is some evidence to support this for both dietary intake [27-30] and physical activity [31-33], though the level of tracking for each of these is not quite as strong. Alternatively, it is possible that there may be a genetic propensity for adiposity however there remains uncertainty regarding the magnitude of the contribution of genes to obesity, estimates range from 5% to 90% [34]. Likewise, twin studies have shown that genetics is a determinant of WHR, and to a greater extent WC [35].
In contrast to BMI, despite the risks of an intra-abdominal accumulation of body fat [4-5] few studies have considered the predictive value of BMI in adolescence on central adiposity in adulthood and this study is unique in providing evidence of the relationship with WC. Casey et al. [36] found that both WHR and BMI during adolescence, particularly in the year of peak height velocity, were good predictors of WHR at 30 years (R>0.50) in both sexes. Rolland-Cachera et al [37] also found that BMI was one of the best childhood predictors of central obesity based on trunk/extremity skinfold ratios at 21 years of age. Van Lenthe et al. [38] reported that a central pattern of body fat at 13 years of age, determined as three trunk/extremity skinfold ratios, was associated significantly with those measurements at 29 years of age (R~0.55). More recently, Eisenmann et al. [22] reported a relatively strong correlation between WC at 16 and 27 years (R=0.79), providing some support for the measurement of adolescent WC as an indicator of central adiposity in adulthood.

In children, BMI is reported to be the best single measure of adiposity [13] and the use of WC for this purpose is not recommended [8]. In adults, the World Health Organisation’s cut-offs for BMI, WHR and WC are all useful in identifying individuals with increased cardiovascular [10, 39] and bowel cancer [5] risk. Despite the strength of this evidence, there has been some dispute about which anthropometric measurement is the most appropriate indicator of visceral fat and predictor of disease risk [22]. WC has been recommended due to its simplicity and because it is a better indicator of visceral fat than WHR [4, 40]. The WHR cut-offs used in this study were those recommended by the World Health Organisation [10] and are higher than some others proposed which could explain the relative weakness of the prediction of adult central adiposity by adolescent BMI. WHR is known to be a good predictor of mortality and
morbidity but it is more difficult to interpret biologically since the ratio is influenced by both muscle distribution and fat distribution [40]. For this reason WC is often the preferred surrogate for central adiposity.

It is important to acknowledge the limitations of this study. The generalisability of the findings is restricted by the limited ethnic diversity of the sample studied, which is a consequence of the largely Caucasian population resident in the study area in 1980. The analysis presented in table 3 is also reliant on the measures of adiposity studied in 1980 and 2000 having bivariate Normal distributions. Furthermore, following up a group over a 20 year time-scale gives potential for recruitment bias. To quantify this aspect, we compared the 1980 characteristics of the participants in the 2000-2001 survey (48% of total) with non-participants of the later survey. Those who were male or from lower and unclassified social groups in 1980 were less likely to take part. The potential impact of these factors on BMI in 2000 was analysed and whilst there was no association with social class, being male was a significant predictor of BMI. Thus, to control for this, all our analyses were carried out for males and females separately.

**Conclusions**

This study provides good evidence that 12 year olds classified as overweight or obese, according to their BMI, had more than a four times higher risk of becoming obese or centrally obese by 33 years of age than their normal weight counterparts. These findings provide support for the use of BMI and the international definitions of overweight and obesity [11] as relatively cheap, easy-to-administer and non-invasive screening tools to identify young adolescents most at risk of adult obesity. Clearly screening is of value only if appropriate and effective treatment strategies are available for young people. Whilst such guidance is available from NICE [8], there is still a need
for further research in this area. Strategies for treatment of overweight and obesity in adolescence should be implemented sensitively given the potential short and long term psychological risks of labelling vulnerable young people as ‘overweight’ or ‘obese’.

It is also important to note that the majority of obese or centrally obese adults were normal weight adolescents. This highlights the need for effective measures to prevent the development of obesity, not only in childhood but throughout adolescence and early adulthood. As with the treatment of early obesity [41], there is a lack of evidence regarding preventive strategies [42-43]. Future research is therefore required to establish effective prevention and treatment strategies, with consideration given to studies at the societal rather than just the individual level [44].
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Table 1: Anthropometric measurements recorded at 12 and 33 years of age.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean at 12 Years Old (95% Confidence Interval)</th>
<th>Mean at 33 Years old (95% Confidence Interval)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Males (n=84)</td>
<td>Females (n=111)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>11.6 (11.5 to 11.6)</td>
<td>11.6 (11.5 to 11.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32.6 (32.5 to 32.6)</td>
<td>32.5 (32.5 to 32.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>1.47 (1.46 to 1.49)</td>
<td>1.49 (1.47 to 1.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.78 (1.77 to 1.79)</td>
<td>1.63 (1.62 to 1.65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>39.2 (37.6 to 40.9)</td>
<td>39.5 (38.0 to 41.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>86.9 (84.2 to 89.6)</td>
<td>67.2 (64.8 to 69.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m$^2$)*</td>
<td>18.2 (17.6 to 18.7)</td>
<td>17.9 (17.4 to 18.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27.5 (26.7 to 28.3)</td>
<td>25.2 (24.4 to 26.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC (cm)*</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>92.9 (90.8 to 95.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>79.5 (77.6, 81.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC (cm)</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>104.3 (102.9 to 105.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>102.0 (100.1 to 103.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>0.89 (0.88 to 0.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>0.79 (0.77 to 0.80)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Geometric means

NM = Not measured
Table 2: The association between log$_{10}$ BMI at 12 years old and log$_{10}$ BMI, WC and WHR at 33 years old

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>log$_{10}$ BMI at age 12 versus:</th>
<th>Pearson correlation coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Males</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log$_{10}$ BMI at age 33</td>
<td>0.58*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC at age 33</td>
<td>0.49*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR at age 33</td>
<td>0.36*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.01
Table 3: The probabilities of being obese or overweight at 33 years old, given the corresponding status at age 12 years old

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI Status at 12 years*</th>
<th>Normal weight (BMI &lt;25)</th>
<th>Overweight, not obese (BMI &gt;25 and &lt;30)</th>
<th>Obese (BMI &gt;=30)</th>
<th>Centrally obese (by WC) (male WC&gt;102cm) (female WC&gt;88cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight, not obese</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*BMI status at 12 years old (BMI range, kg/m²) [11]

Normal weight (boys <20.89, girls <21.20)

Overweight (boys 20.89 – 25.58, girls 21.20 – 26.05)

Obese (boys >25.58, girls >26.05)
Table 4: Comparison of sex, social group and BMI at 12 years old by participation status at 33 years old

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Social group (%)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Geometric means</td>
<td>% female</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>18.01</td>
<td>58.4</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-participants</td>
<td>18.14</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of geometric means (95% CI)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.97,1.02)†</td>
<td>12.0 (2.5, 22)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Social group in 1980 derived from 1970 classification of the Registrar-General. I=classes 1+2 (highest) II=class 3, III = classes 4+5 (lowest); IV=unclassified
Table 5: Odds of being a participant at age 33 based on BMI, sex and social group at age 12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Odds ratio* of being a participant in 2000-01</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.91,1.06</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>1.82 (females relative to males)</td>
<td>1.21, 2.74</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social group II</td>
<td>0.71 (relative to social group I)</td>
<td>0.41, 1.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social group III</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.22, 0.72</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social group IV</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.11, 0.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* odds ratio of being a participant comparing subjects with unit difference in BMI.
**Titles and legends to figures**

Fig. 1: Regression of log\(_{10}\) BMI at 33 years old on log\(_{10}\) BMI at 12 years old

- - - - - - - - - - - females

Fig. 2: Regression of log\(_{10}\) WC at 33 years old on log\(_{10}\) BMI at 12 years old

- - - - - - - - - - - females

Fig. 3: Regression of log\(_{10}\) WHR at 33 years old on log\(_{10}\) BMI at 12 years old

- - - - - - - - - - - females

Fig. 4: The probability of being obese or overweight at 33 years old based on BMI and sex at 12 years old

- - - - - - Obese males

- - - - - - Overweight males

- - - - - - Obese females

- - - - - - Overweight females
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