Viewpoint

Targeting the ubiquitin proteasome pathway for the treatment of septic shock in patients
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Abstract

Endotoxic shock is a serious systemic inflammatory response to an external biological stressor. The responsiveness of NF-κB is built upon rapid protein modification and degradation involving the ubiquitin proteasome pathway. Using transgenic mice, we have obtained in vivo evidence that interference with this pathway can alleviate the symptoms of toxic shock. We posit that administration of proteasome inhibitors may enhance the survival of patients with septic shock.

Multiple myeloma, endotoxic shock and proteasome inhibitors

Multiple myeloma (MM) provides an example of the functional importance of ubiquitin in the NF-κB pathway [1,2]. A drug that shows great promise against MM is Velcade (bortezomib, formerly PS-341), a specific reversible inhibitor of proteasome function and, hence, ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis (Figure 1). Velcade is thought to block the activation of NF-κB and thereby deprive MM cells of the signals that are otherwise constitutive. In cell culture and animal studies Velcade has shown considerable activity against MM cells and is now in phase II and III human clinical trials [3,4].

Despite available therapies, including corticosteroids, volume replacement, antibiotics, and vasopressor support, endotoxic shock remains a common cause of death in ICUs [5]. It is characterized by hypotension, vascular damage, and inadequate tissue perfusion, often leading to the failure of many organ systems, including liver, kidney, heart and lungs, after systemic bacterial infection [1,5,6]. The pathogenesis of septic shock seems to be primarily governed by lipopolysaccharide (LPS). Significantly, NF-κB activation is a central component in septic shock, stimulating the expression of several proinflammatory proteins such as TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, and inducible nitric oxide synthase [1,7]. Moreover, NF-κB is stimulated by these endogenous mediators in a paracrine and autocrine fashion. It is conceivable, therefore, that inhibition of NF-κB activation by a rapid acting proteasome inhibitor may be of potential therapeutic benefit in the treatment of septic shock [8].
Support for this assertion comes from in vivo experiments wherein the ubiquitin proteasome system was impaired in transgenic mice. Ubiquitin plays a role on several levels in NF-κB activation (Figure 2) [7,9]. Upon extracellular stimulation by LPS, adaptor proteins such as TNF-receptor-associated factor 6 (TRAF6; E3 ubiquitin ligase), IL-1 receptor-associated kinase 1 (IRAK-1) and MyD88 (Myeloid differentiation primary response gene (88)) are recruited to the cytoplasmic domain of the receptor [10]. Subsequently, TRAF6 interacts with UBC13/UEV1A, a heterodimer that catalyzes the synthesis of polyubiquitin chains assembled through linkage of the carboxyl terminus of one ubiquitin molecule to an internal lysine residue at position 63 of the subsequent ubiquitin molecule (K63-linked chains) [11-13]. K63-linked chains are the primary signal responsible for initiating a kinase cascade that recruits and activates TAK1-TAB2-TAB3 and the IκB kinase (IKK) complex (IκKα, IκKβ and IκKγ) [14]. Specifically, TAK1-TAB2-TAB3 recognizes K63-linked chains, which may facilitate the oligomerization of the complex and promote autophosphorylation and activation of TAK1 [14]. TAK1 then phosphorylates the IKK complex, namely IκKβ. IκKβ proceeds to phosphorylate IκBα, an inhibitor that sequesters NF-κB in the cytoplasm. Upon phosphorylation, IκBα is ubiquitinated via a lysine 48 (K48) linkage and transported to the 26S proteasome for degradation (a process that can be disrupted by specific proteasome inhibitors [15,16]). NF-κB then translocates to the nucleus where it stimulates transcription of pro-inflammatory modulators that potentiate the symptoms of endotoxic shock.

Since K48- and K63-linked chains assemble early in the NF-κB pathway, one could speculate that transgenic animals expressing mutant isoforms of ubiquitin that interfere with chain assembly in a dominant negative manner (K63R or K48R mutant ubiquitin) would display disrupted NF-κB activation and, thereby, survive the induction of endotoxic shock induced by LPS. Remarkably, although all the K63R
and wild-type animals showed symptoms of endotoxic shock necessitating humane euthanasia within 24 hours, more than half the K48R animals survived for 2 weeks, at which point the experiment was terminated (Figure 3). The more profound effects of K48R mutant ubiquitin in vivo suggests that K48R mutant ubiquitin interferes more strongly with NF-κB signaling. Therefore, the proteasome is likely a better target for anti-NF-κB intervention than the IKK cascade for treatment of septic shock. Clinically, our findings may help explain why Velcade has greater efficacy than the IKK treatment of septic shock. In fact, a recent study to imagine that drugs of this type could be administered in a bolus for the treatment of septic shock. Moreover, it has become clearer that LPS triggers inflammatory cascades involving as many as 14 distinct signaling pathways, including the NF-κB pathway. Interestingly, many of the genes in these pathways are regulated by the proteasome [18]. Therefore, combined with our results, this may also help explain why targeting one aspect of a signaling cascade such as IKK might not be therapeutically beneficial. However, since the proteasome is a common regulator of LPS signaling and proteasome inhibitors such as Velcade are already being used in clinical trials for cancer, it is not difficult to imagine that drugs of this type could be administered in a bolus for the treatment of septic shock. In fact, a recent study by Reis and colleagues [19] also supports our notion of using proteasomal inhibition to provide protection against septic shock. However, further studies are required to determine the full potential of proteasomal inhibitors such as Velcade in the treatment of septic shock.

Future: proteasome inhibition and animal experimentation
There is much yet to be learned from in vivo systems of septic shock and proteasome inhibition. Ideally, one would like to survey the activity of NF-κB at the level of the whole organism in Velcade treated and untreated animals. Optimally, one would like to know how the NF-κB pathway functions in any tissue of interest (for example, the brain or lungs, where innate immunity is active). Preferably, this should be done in a living animal to facilitate monitoring of temporal changes. Conveniently, 3X NF-κB luciferase transgenic animals (in which transcription of luciferase is dependent on NF-κB activation) have been developed, and such reagents could be used to validate the approach [20,21]. These animals could be injected with various doses of bacterial LPS to induce endotoxic shock followed by the administration of proteasome inhibitors such as Velcade. Subsequently, they would be injected with the substrate luciferin and imaged using an image intensifying CCD camera. If our prediction is correct, then there should be reduced signal in treated versus untreated animals and a better overall survival. Moreover, this model would also be amenable to testing the clinical significance of proteasome inhibition in acutely infected animals with progressive invasive infection by performing a cecal ligation and puncture (CLP) procedure, which leads to polymicrobial sepsis and septic shock. We believe that the 3X NF-κB luciferase CLP model would at least begin to address possible risks associated with proteasome inhibitors in preclinical models with an acute and invasive infection prior to testing such therapies in patients with similar acute and invasive infections. Interestingly, a recent study by Reis and colleagues [19] supports the notion of enhanced survival in a septic shock animal model with the use of proteasome inhibition combined with antibiotic treatment. This study at least is one of the first to demonstrate the safety of proteasome inhibition in acutely but invasively infected preclinical animal models. Although these results are encouraging, the animals were pretreated with proteasome inhibitors and antibiotics and, thus, experiments would need to be repeated in animals already undergoing septic shock. Overall, we believe that these results are at least encouraging with respect to safety and future use of proteasome inhibitors in septic shock patients with progressive infections.

We still expect much to be learned from the in vivo 3X NF-κB luciferase model using Velcade. Certainly, the 3X NF-κB luciferase mouse model alone or in combination with the CLP procedure will also be useful to test how conventional therapies compare to proteasome inhibitors, to optimize the pharmacological properties of such drugs for this application, and to determine if such agents should be used independently or in combination with current treatments.

Conclusion
Conventional therapies to treat endotoxic shock improve the survival of some, but not all, patients; thus, additional novel treatments are required to treat this condition. Proteasome

Figure 3
Mutant ubiquitin expressing mice are protected from endotoxic shock. Survival of FVB/N transgenic mice expressing wild-type (WT) and mutant ubiquitin (K48R or K63R) after a toxic intraperitoneal dose of 40 mg/kg of lipopolysaccharide. Two independent lines of K63R mice were evaluated (lines 75 and 204). The generation of these lines has been described in previous publications [22,23].
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inhibitors effectively target the main signaling pathway(s) active during septic shock, and genetic experiments suggest that inhibition of this system should be advantageous for clinical interventions. It would seem desirable, therefore, to evaluate the potential of existing or novel proteasome inhibitors to promote the survival of patients experiencing toxic shock.
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