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Over a typical career piano tuners spend tens of thousands of hours exploring a specialized acoustic environment. Tuning requires accurate perception and adjustment of beats in two-note chords that serve as a navigational device to move between points in previously learned acoustic scenes. It is a two-stage process that depends on the following: first, selective listening to beats within frequency windows, and, second, the subsequent use of those beats to navigate through a complex soundscape. The neuroanatomical substrates underlying brain specialization for such fundamental organization of sound scenes are unknown. Here, we demonstrate that professional piano tuners are significantly better than controls matched for age and musical ability on a psychophysical task simulating active listening to beats within frequency windows that is based on amplitude modulation rate discrimination. Tuners show a categorical increase in gray matter volume in the right frontal operculum and right superior temporal lobe. Tuners also show a striking enhancement in gray matter volume in the anterior hippocampus, parahippocampal gyrus, and superior temporal gyrus, and an increase in white matter volume in the posterior hippocampus as a function of years of tuning experience. The relationship with gray matter volume is sensitive to years of tuning experience and starting age but not actual age or level of musicality. Our findings support a role for a core set of regions in the hippocampus and superior temporal cortex in skilled exploration of complex sound scenes in which precise sound “templates” are encoded and consolidated into memory over time in an experience-dependent manner.

Introduction

We assessed brain specialization in a previously unstudied group of expert listeners who spend large amounts of time exploring a complex acoustic environment that requires accurate perception and adjustment. The subjects, piano tuners, perform an active listening and adjustment task every time a piano is tuned according to a specific route. Piano tuning is a highly sophisticated skill: a single tuning session might take up to 2 h and a professional tuner may typically tune up to 20 pianos a week, so the highest levels of expertise follow thousands of hours of experience over a period of 20 to 30 years (Capleton, 2007). Thus, this group provides a unique opportunity to investigate neuroanatomical bases of skilled organization of sound scenes.

Piano tuning requires the practitioner to perform successive navigation between tuned and untuned notes in which the beat rate between notes is used as a form of “signpost.” Traditionally, tuning starts with tuning a single note to a standard tuning fork. A two-note chord is then played, comprising the tuned note and another note. The two notes contain multiple frequencies at the fundamental and higher harmonics, and certain harmonics in the two notes occur close to each other and produce beats fluctuating in the envelope of the combined harmonics at a rate equal to their frequency difference (Fig. 1A). The piano tuner is required to detect a particular beat rate corresponding to the interval between the two notes played, and match that to a specific value. A further interval is then tuned based on the most recently tuned note and another note, and this process is repeated iteratively (Fig. 2A). The beat rate and the frequency region in which the beat is to be detected vary for different intervals but are fixed for any given interval with beat rates that are typically less than 20 Hz (Table 1).

The acoustic processes underlying tuning include: (1) selective listening and detection of beats within particular frequency regions, and (2) adjustment of the beat rate and comparison with a predefined rate that is dependent on the interval being tuned (which is learned and remembered by trained tuners). Impor-
The first stage of active listening and beat detection represents an essential entry-level skill following which the second skill of beat rate adjustment and navigation in pitch space via different tuning algorithms may develop further. Interestingly, neither musical expertise nor absolute pitch is required for proficient piano tuning (Capleton, 2007).

Materials and Methods

Participants. Participants included 19 tuners (all members of the Pianoforte Tuners’ Association, UK, aged 25–78 years; mean age, 51.5 years; average tuning experience: 27.7 ± 16.5 years (range: 2–50 years); 3 females; 6 left-handed) and 19 age-matched controls (aged 25–69 years; mean age, 49.7 years; 2 females; 5 left-handed) with normal hearing and no history of audiological or neurological disorders. All the tuners tuned pianos by the ear without the use of any electronic instruments. All provided informed written consent. Each participant completed a musical training questionnaire and was categorized into one of seven categories of piano tuning: detecting changes in beats that are heard within a particular spectral window. Stimuli consisted of a 2 s long, five-element harmonic complex in which the modulation rate was increased. Subjects listened to beats for different note pairs for the scale area F3–G4 (difference in semitones indicated at the top) corresponding to the intervals used in tuning. The major thirds, perfect fourths, perfect fifths, and major sixths are the most useful for tuning, but the minor thirds and sixths that are also useful are included as well. In the experiment we used rates of 2 Hz and 16 Hz that are both within this range. st, Semitones.

Table 1. Beat rate relationships for different piano note pairs (Capleton, 2007)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major third</th>
<th>Beats (Hz)</th>
<th>Perfect fourth</th>
<th>Beats (Hz)</th>
<th>Perfect fifth</th>
<th>Beats (Hz)</th>
<th>Major sixth</th>
<th>Beats (Hz)</th>
<th>Minor third</th>
<th>Beats (Hz)</th>
<th>Minor sixth</th>
<th>Beats (Hz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F–A</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>F–Bb</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>F–C</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>F–D</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>F–Ab</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>F–Db</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F#–A♯</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>F♯–B</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>F♯–C♯</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>F♯–D♯</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>F♯–A</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>F♯–D</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G–B</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>G–C</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>G–D</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>G–E</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>G–Bb</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>G–Eb</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ab–C</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>G♯–C♯</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>G♯–D♯</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>Ab–F</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>G♯–B</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>G♯–E</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A–C♯</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>A–D</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>A–E</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>A–F♯</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>A–C</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>A–F</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B♭–D♯</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>A♭–D♭</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>B♭–F</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>B♭–G</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>A♭–C♯</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>A♭–F♯</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B–D♭</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>B–E</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>B–F♯</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>B–D</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>B–C</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>B–G</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C–E</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>C–F</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>C–G</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>C–Eb</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>C–F</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>C–G</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C♯–F</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>C♯–F♯</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D–F♯</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>D–G</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eb–G</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We simulated the process of listening to beats in a frequency window through the use of a five-element harmonic complex where only the middle component is modulated at a rate relevant to the tuning procedure. Table 1 shows the range of beat rates for different note pairs for the scale area F3–G4 (difference in semitones indicated at the top) corresponding to the intervals used in tuning. The major thirds, perfect fourths, perfect fifths, and major sixths are the most useful for tuning, but the minor thirds and sixths that are also useful are included as well. In the experiment we used rates of 2 Hz and 16 Hz that are both within this range. st, Semitones.
computing individual tuners’ GM volumes within this ROI from their corresponding Jacobian modulated GM images.

**Image analysis.** Statistical analysis of the imaging data was conducted using the general linear model (Friston et al., 1995a) and appropriate t tests using SPM8. The effects of age, gender, handedness, level of musical training, and total intracranial volume were removed by modeling them as confounding variables. We also examined the correlation of GM volume with years of piano tuning, musical training, and behavioral thresholds on the AM discrimination tasks for both piano tuners and controls. We checked whether there were any outliers as defined by three SDs from the mean; as there were none, all subjects were included in the analyses.

Figure 1. Active listening to beats within particular spectral windows. **A,** Detection of beats in a spectral window for a two-note piano chord. A perfect fifth is shown between the notes C (red) and G (blue). This interval is so called because it spans five positions on the musical staff and corresponds to a seven-semitone pitch difference. When the popular equal-temperament tuning is used, in which the octave is divided into 12 equal intervals, this corresponds to a pitch ratio of 2.0732 that is approximately, but not exactly, equal to 1.5. This means that there is near coincidence of the third (and sixth) harmonic of C and the second (and fourth) harmonic of G. Because the harmonics do not coincide exactly beating occurs between them. For clarity, the beat amplitudes are shown as having the same intensity: the actual spectrum of piano notes shows increasing attenuation of the higher harmonics. The time waveform of the third harmonic of C (red) and second harmonic of G (blue) are shown below where they interact to demonstrate beat production as indicated by the amplitude modulated black waveform (envelope shown in green). Piano tuners are therefore required to listen selectively to beat rates that only occur in particular spectral windows and to adjust the beat rates to prescribed values (typically <20 Hz; Table 1) that satisfy the intervals. In this experiment, we tested a generic basis for this skill by requiring subjects to detect changing amplitude modulation of the third harmonic of a five-harmonic complex using beat rates within the range used by tuners (AM rate discrimination task). **B,** Mean thresholds for 19 piano tuners (depicted in blue) and 19 controls (depicted in green) are shown for the AM rate discrimination task at 2 Hz (3.26 ± 0.32% vs 4.17 ± 0.32%), 16 Hz (3.88 ± 0.57% vs 6.93 ± 0.60%), and a control task based on pure tone frequency discrimination (0.44 ± 0.10% vs 1.02 ± 0.33%). Mean thresholds for the 2 Hz and 16 Hz AM tasks were significantly different between the two groups while there was no significant difference between groups on the frequency discrimination task (see Results). Error bars indicate ±1 SE. **C,** Enhanced GM volume in piano tuners was found in the right planum polare and frontal operculum as shown in the sagittal section at x = 42. Greater GM volume in the frontal operculum is shown in the coronal section at y = 20. The strength of changes in GM volume (t value) is graded according to the color scheme on the right and shown at p < 0.001 (uncorrected).

Figure 2. Navigation through a remembered piano soundscape. **A,** One algorithm for navigating the piano soundscape using successive two-note chords—a tuning sequence of rising perfect fifths and falling perfect fourths starting on C and ending on B# immediately above the starting C. Different piano tuners use different schemes. **B,** Significantly greater GM volume as a function of years of piano tuning experience was found in the right anterior hippocampus as shown on a coronal section at y = −17. The significance of this change in GM volume (t value) is graded according to the color scheme next to the figure and shown at p < 0.001 (uncorrected). **C,** Significantly greater WM volume as a function of years of piano tuning experience was found in the posterior hippocampus bilaterally as shown on a coronal section at y = −24. The significance of this change in WM volume (t value) is graded according to the color scheme next to the figure and shown at p < 0.001 (uncorrected). **D,** A significant positive correlation was found between the GM volume changes in the right anterior hippocampus and years of piano tuning (r = 0.43; p < 0.05).
VBM results are presented at a threshold of \( p < 0.001 \) uncorrected for the regions we had an a priori hypothesis.

**Results**

We present here the results from the psychophysics and structural brain imaging study and consider the bases for the two essential stages of piano tuning: (1) active listening to beats within particular frequency windows (Fig. 1A) that may be a superior ability of the tuners in general (Fig. 1B) and results in specific changes in the structure of the tuners’ brains (Fig. 1C) that is an “entry-level requirement” for the development of further tuning expertise, and, (2) the use of those beats to navigate through a remembered sound scene (Fig. 2A) that is a “second level” of expertise in the navigation of pitch space that can only develop after selective listening skills have been acquired.

**Active listening to beats**

We designed a psychophysical task based on amplitude modulation (AM) discrimination at modulation rates of 2 and 16 Hz to simulate detection of changes in beats in specific spectral windows and tested 19 piano tuners and an equal number of age-matched control participants. This task simulates in a generic way a process that must be performed by tuners with any level of expertise to tune a piano: we hypothesized a categorical difference between the performance of tuners and controls and corresponding changes in the structure of the auditory cortex. ANOVA of threshold data with condition (2 Hz AM, 16 Hz AM, and pure-tone difference limens) as a within-subject measure and group (piano tuners vs controls) as a between-subject measure revealed a main effect of condition \( (F_{1,38} = 61.5, p < 0.001) \) and group \( (F_{1,38} = 12.3, p < 0.005) \) with a significant interaction between condition and group \( (F_{2,77} = 4.9, p < 0.02) \).

The mean threshold for the 2 Hz AM discrimination task for the piano tuners was \( 3.26 \pm 0.32\% \) while the mean threshold for the controls was \( 4.17 \pm 0.32\% \) (Fig. 1B). A \( t \) test revealed a significant difference \( (p < 0.05) \). The mean threshold for the 16 Hz AM discrimination task for the piano tuners was \( 3.88 \pm 0.57\% \) while the mean threshold for the controls was \( 6.93 \pm 0.60\% \). A \( t \) test revealed a significant difference \( (p < 0.05) \). There was no significant difference between the pure-tone difference limen for the two groups.

There was no significant correlation between tuners’ AM discrimination thresholds and years of tuning \( (2\, Hz: r = -0.018, \text{n.s. at } \alpha = 0.05; 16\, Hz: r = -0.343, \text{n.s. at } \alpha = 0.05) \) or starting age \( (2\, Hz: r = 0.083, \text{n.s. at } \alpha = 0.05; 16\, Hz: r = 0.367, \text{n.s. at } \alpha = 0.05) \).

To identify the anatomical bases for enhanced active listening skills, a categorical comparison of GM volume between piano tuners and controls was performed. Results demonstrate greater GM volume in tuners in right lateralized regions comprising the planum polare, frontal operculum (Fig. 1C), the superior frontal gyrus, and posterior cingulate gyrus (Table 2). Brain areas that showed reduced GM volume in the piano tuners as compared with controls include left hippocampus, parahippocampal gyrus, and superior temporal lobe (Table 3). There was no significant correlation between GM volume of these regions and tuning expertise or between active listening task performance and years of tuning.

Overall, the present behavioral and structural results suggest a brain mechanism for active listening skills in the piano tuners that does not change appreciably with experience, and is demonstrated by a categorical comparison of behavior and structure between the piano tuners and controls. These results suggest that superior active listening skills are an early requirement for piano tuners that then allow the development of expert navigation through a complex soundscape.

**Navigation through the remembered auditory scene**

The behavioral characterization did not assess the second essential skill for piano tuning: navigation through a complex learned soundscape (Fig. 2A). This process requires previous memory formation, reorganization, and consolidation after learning in which the hippocampal complex has been implicated as an essential substrate (Squire, 2009). We hypothesized that there would be plastic changes in the hippocampus related to the continued accrual of acoustic navigational expertise over a lifetime of practice.

The analysis for correlation between GM volume and years of piano tuning experience revealed a specific increase of GM volume in the anterior hippocampus and parahippocampal gyrus bilaterally (Fig. 2B), as well as in the right middle temporal and superior temporal gyrus (STG), insula, precuneus, and the inferior parietal lobe (Table 4). The GM volume of these regions increased with years of tuning but not actual age. A similar anal-

---

**Table 2. Brain areas with greater grey matter volume in piano tuners versus controls**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brain area</th>
<th>Hemisphere</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>t value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frontal Operculum</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planum polare</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior Cingulate gyrus</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior frontal gyrus</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>3.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Local maxima are shown at \( p < 0.001 \) uncorrected.

**Table 3. Brain areas with reduced grey matter volume in piano tuners versus controls**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brain area</th>
<th>Hemisphere</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>t value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>-21</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>4.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parahippocampal gyrus</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>-36</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>3.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior temporal lobe</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>-66</td>
<td>-15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Local maxima are shown at \( p < 0.001 \) uncorrected.

**Table 4. Brain areas showing increase in grey matter volume with years of piano tuning**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brain area</th>
<th>Hemisphere</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>t value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>5.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parahippocampal gyrus</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>-21</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>3.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyrus</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>-21</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior temporal gyrus</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>-23</td>
<td>-18</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>3.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle temporal gyrus</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-26</td>
<td>4.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precuneus</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-43</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insula</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>3.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal lobe</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>-34</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>-51</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Local maxima are shown at \( p < 0.001 \) uncorrected.

**Table 5. Brain areas showing increase in white matter volume with years of piano tuning**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brain area</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>t value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right hippocampus</td>
<td>-24</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>3.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left hippocampus</td>
<td>-33</td>
<td>-27</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>4.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Local maxima are shown at \( p < 0.001 \) uncorrected.
ysis for correlation between WM volume and years of tuning experience showed a specific increase of WM volume in the hippocampus bilaterally in a more posterior location to the increase in GM volume in the anterior hippocampus (Fig. 2C; Table 5).

A significant correlation was observed between GM volume (adjusted for differences in total intracranial volume) in the right anterior hippocampus and years of tuning \((r = 0.43, p < 0.05;\) Fig. 2D). A stronger correlation with GM volume was obtained with years of tuning expressed as a percentage of actual age \((r = 0.48, p < 0.05)\) and as a percentage of starting age \((r = 0.60, p < 0.005)\). The advantage due to early onset of tuning was confirmed by a strong negative correlation between GM volume and starting age \((r = -0.78, p < 0.001)\).

These results were corroborated by an additional ROI-based analysis by defining a hippocampal ROI in the average-shaped GM template and computing individual tuners’ GM volumes within this ROI. A significant correlation was obtained between GM volume in the right hippocampal ROI and years of tuning experience \((r = 0.59, p < 0.05)\).

The relationship between GM (and WM) volume and years of tuning took account of differences in musical expertise, and a separate analysis of the relationship between musical ability and GM volume suggested distinct areas in the temporal cortex that are congruent with previous studies. Musical training has been shown to lead to structural and functional changes in the inferior frontal and auditory cortex (Schneider et al., 2002; Bermudez and Zatorre, 2005; Hyde et al., 2009) and more recently in the hippocampus (Groussard et al., 2010; Herdener et al., 2010) but we found no correlation with musical training in the hippocampal areas showing a correlation between GM (and WM) volume and years of tuning.

**Discussion**

**Why study piano tuning?**

In the present study, we examined the underlying bases of acoustic expertise required for piano tuning. Tuning is a specialized profession and involves learning of theoretical concepts in acoustics as well as extensive practice (a taught degree course is available in the UK). Here, we tested professional tuners, who qualified as members of the Pianoforte Tuners’ Association (UK) after a practical examination. Although these tuners had varying levels of musical expertise, musical skills such as absolute pitch are not considered essential or beneficial for expert tuning; tuning is based on the detection and adjustment of fundamental acoustic cues within a complex soundscape.

The unique nature of the tuning profession motivated us to study the acoustic bases of tuning processes and investigate neuroanatomical changes in the brain of the tuners that may develop over several years of repeated acoustic practice. Structural changes related to musical training have been studied previously, but the present subject group offers a rare opportunity to examine brain plasticity due to a specialized form of active listening that involves encoding of complex beat rate maps into memory and the use of these maps to navigate in pitch space.

**Learning to tune a piano**

Piano tuning requires two essential skills: (1) active listening to beats in particular frequency windows that depend on the interval being tuned, and (2) adjustment of the beat rates to certain specific values that depend both on the interval being tuned and the particular tuning algorithm or map used. Tuning is a sequential process that depends on the pitch “route” as the tuning of previously tuned notes affects the tuning of untuned notes. Thus, the beat rate to be adjusted varies according to the particular tuning path. The second process thus involves a complex form of mental navigation in pitch space using beat rates as landmarks. This process depends critically on whether the practitioner has learned and encoded beat rate values or “templates” for the different tuning algorithms. It is a complex procedure that involves use of pitch cues to navigate in conceptual space and retrieval of complex beat rate maps from memory.

Based on these two basic requirements of piano tuning, we predicted corresponding morphometric changes in brain areas involved in pitch analysis, and memory encoding and consolidation, respectively. These hypotheses emerge naturally from a bottom-up perspective based on a consideration of what piano tuners actually do. This leads naturally, in our view, to a two-stage mechanism for piano tuning where there are good scientific grounds to implicate the auditory cortex in the first stage of active listening and the hippocampus in the second stage, involving encoding and retrieval of beat rate maps associated with specific spectral contexts.

In the following subsections, we specifically discuss these two processes and the brain bases that support neural mechanisms relevant for active listening and navigation in remembered sound scenes.

**Active listening to beats**

In the absence of any previous scientific investigation of piano tuning, we carefully developed a psychophysical paradigm to simulate the acoustic processes involved in tuning in association with an expert piano tuner and instructor. Tuning is based on the detection of beats caused by simultaneous compression of two piano keys or an interval. This produces interaction between the constituent harmonics of the two notes that are close in frequency, leading to the modulation of the envelope at a rate given by the difference between the interacting harmonics. We simulated the process of listening to beats in a frequency window through the use of a five-element harmonic complex where only the middle component is modulated at a rate relevant to the tuning procedure (2 and 16 Hz; Table 1).

The psychophysical results (Fig. 1B) demonstrate a superior and selective ability of piano tuners to carry out a task that mimics active listening for changes in beats in a frequency window. The active listening task requires perception, attention, and working memory. Functional imaging studies implicate mechanisms in the anterior and posterior superior temporal lobe in pitch analysis and working memory (Zatorre et al., 1994; Patterson et al., 2002; Overath et al., 2007). Right-lateralized temporal and frontal mechanisms for active listening are emphasized by functional imaging studies of normal participants (Zatorre et al., 1994) and anatomical studies of subjects with deficits in this ability (Hyde et al., 2007). Consistent with these active listening mechanisms, the piano tuners demonstrated greater GM volume in right lateralized frontotemporal regions, including the planum polare in the anterior temporal lobe and the frontal operculum (Table 2; Fig. 1C).

Although piano tuning is a specialized practice, precise analysis of sound envelopes is also critical for speech perception. The greater effect size for 16 Hz than 2 Hz (1.18 compared with 0.46) likely reflects the range of critical rates of envelope fluctuation for piano tuning (Table 1) extending to higher rates than those needed for speech analysis (Drullman et al., 1994; Chi et al., 1999).
Navigation in remembered sound scenes
Tuners showed an experience-dependent increase in gray matter volume in a set of core regions including the anterior hippocampus, parahippocampal gyrus, parietal and superior temporal cortices, which may form part of an auditory memory network. Additionally, they also showed enhanced white matter volume in the posterior hippocampus as a function of years of tuning experience.

The present study is the first demonstration of hippocampal plasticity due to the prolonged execution of a fundamental acoustic task (the current group of 19 tuners had an average tuning experience of 27.7 years). The observed correlation with the GM volume in anterior hippocampus and parahippocampal gyrus suggests the importance of the medial temporal lobe (MTL) for auditory memory (Squire et al., 2001). These changes are likely related to the establishment of specific beat-rate templates, with different rates and spectral contexts for each interval tuned, which are retrieved during piano tuning. Repeated practice of piano tuning may lead to experience-dependent consolidation of these templates over time, which may facilitate fast recognition during online comparison and adjustment of beat rates.

Anatomical pathways underlying auditory memory from the superior temporal lobe to the hippocampal complex have been mapped extensively in primate models (Munoz-Lopez et al., 2010). The entorhinal cortex (EC) is the primary source of cortical projections to the hippocampus and receives direct and indirect projections via the adjacent perirhinal and parahippocampal cortices (Squire et al., 2004). Direct auditory projections to the EC originate in rostral and opercular parts of the STG (Amaral et al., 1983; Insauti and Amaral, 2008), insula, and inferior parietal cortex (Insauti et al., 1987, Insauti and Amaral, 2008), while the perirhinal and parahippocampal cortices also receive direct cortical projections from the STG and insula (Suzuki and Amaral, 1994; Blatt et al., 2003). MTL also receives indirect auditory input from the dorsal bank of the superior temporal sulcus, insula, and the inferior parietal cortex (Insauti et al., 1987; Suzuki and Amaral, 1994). The present correlation between years of experience and GM volume in the parietal cortex is congruent with its functional role in the mental rotation of melodies (Zatorre et al., 2010) as an alternative type of manipulation in pitch space. The current data and these anatomical observations provide a structural basis for expert piano tuning that includes a set of linked areas in the parietal, superior lateral temporal lobe, and the anterior hippocampus in the MTL.

The hippocampus contains mechanisms for spatial navigation (O’Keefe and Dostrovsky, 1971) that are theoretically emphasized (O’Keefe and Nadel, 1978; Moser et al., 2008), and this role has been corroborated using structural brain imaging to demonstrate a similar degree of correlation between experience in spatial navigation (taxi driving) and GM volume in distinct regions of the hippocampus in a more posterior location (Maguire et al., 2000; Woollett and Maguire, 2011). In this study we have studied bases for a skill that has some formal similarities to spatial navigation, where experts are required to perceive and relate local acoustic features to a complex soundscape containing many other features and move between different acoustic scenes according to the local features detected (beats). The present data therefore suggest a role for the hippocampus in navigation and relational organization of remembered events in conceptual space (MacDonald et al., 2011), which could be based on similar neural architecture to that used for navigation in physical space.

Conclusions
We studied a previously untested group of professional piano tuners who possess rare skills in detecting beat rates at particular frequencies across a wide range of piano notes. Within the group of piano tuners, we found that GM and WM volume increased with tuning experience in the anterior hippocampus and superior lateral temporal lobe and the posterior hippocampus, respectively. The results suggest a role for this hippocampo-cortical network in skilled exploration of complex soundscapes in which intricate beat rate templates are encoded and consolidated into memory over time in an experience-dependent manner.

Notes
Supplemental material for this article is available at http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/~stekl/Piano_Tuners.zip. Audio examples of 2 Hz AM and 16 Hz AM stimuli used in psychophysics. This material has not been peer reviewed.
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