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'Patria, Honor, Fuerza’. A study of a right-wing youth movement in Mexico during the 1930-60s.

This article explores the interplay between national politics and grassroots youth activism during the formative period of Mexico’s post-revolutionary state. It does so by tracing the trajectory of the Pentathlón Deportivo Militar Universitario (PDMU), a right-wing youth movement created in July 1938 by twelve medical students from the National University, Mexico City. Among them were Carlos von Retteg, Luis Saenz Arroyo, and José Blanchet who, during May Day celebrations that year, had become indignant when many youths chose to wave red and black flags rather than national colours. They abhorred the socialist educational policies of President Lázaro Cárdenas’ administration (1934-40) viewing them as an attack on the Catholic Church and fundamental Mexican values. Agreeing that something needed to be done to arrest an inexorable drift towards communism, they decided to form a youth organisation to restore pride in the nation.

The Pentathlón's organisation, structure, codes of conduct, and values show similarities with other radical youth groups in Mexico and beyond. According to Blanchet and federal government reports, von Retteg was the driving force behind the Pentathlón’s formation. The son of an immigrant German family, he was known to be a sympathiser of Hitler and an anti-Semitic.1 While other founder members, Blanchet included, sympathised more with developments in Franco’s Spain, all agreed that their new organisation should be fundamentally nationalist and highly disciplined. As implied by the Pentathlón’s motto, ‘Patria, Honor, Fuerza’ (Fatherland, Honour, Force), military drills and rigorous exercises would provide members with the strategic, technical and physical prowess to accomplish their self-proclaimed duty of producing leaders capable of defending national and family values. Rejecting the superficial attractions of material world, pentathletes placed value on self-denial, self-discipline, respect for each other and their elders. Activities that did not contribute to the betterment of the Nation were contrary to their philosophy. Although not an overtly Catholic organisation, it was grounded in Catholic ethos, and all founder members shared a deep suspicion of freemasonry and other forms of liberal thinking that they judged to be damaging to national prestige.2
By June 1940 Pentathlón groups existed in Mexico City, Puebla, Guadalajara, San Luis Potosí, Mazatlán, Pachuca, Torreón, and Aguascalientes, with others in the process of being established elsewhere. At this stage it was a conservative youth movement comprising wealthy and middle-class university students who believed that Mexico could only be saved through determined resistance. The fact that the Pentathlón did not continue to oppose the Mexican state relied on two inter-linking factors: the ability of state officials to infiltrate and harness Pentathlón radicalism; and the national government’s move away from Cárdenas’ socialist agenda and towards an accommodation of more conservative tendencies within the ruling Partido Revolucionario Mexicano (Mexican Revolutionary Party, later Partido Revolucionario Institucional, Party of the Institutional Revolution, PRI). While never linked to any political party, consolidation of the Pentathlón depended upon patrons who enjoyed key political roles. Gustavo Baz, rector of the National University and Secretary of Health under President Manuel Avila Camacho (1940-46), gave invaluable support in the Pentathlón’s formative period. Similarly, founder members of the Pentathlón, Jorge Jiménez Cantu and Ginés Navarro Díaz de León, were secretaries of Health under President Luis Echeverría (1970-76) during a time when the group reached its zenith. Under such patronage, membership rose to 50,000 in the 1970s with the Pentathlón being viewed as a youth group of great utility by successive national presidents.

Establishing the facts about the Pentathlón represents significant challenges. Archival evidence is fragmentary, sparse, and often biased. The Pentathlón’s own official history is similarly scant on details, preferring to record key figures and moments in the movement’s existence. At the time of my research, only one of the founder members, Dr José Blanchet, was still alive and his recollections needed to be handled with appropriate caution. As I hope to prove, however, the diverse sources of collated information do provide sufficient evidence upon which to judge the Pentathlón’s importance. Charting the trajectory of the Pentathlón, I believe, can significantly enrich our understanding of an important phase in Mexico’s post-revolutionary history. Analysis of the movement provides a fascinating insight into the emerging Mexican state’s move away from the Left in the 1940s and its ability to appropriate the radical impulses of a younger generation on the extreme Right. Yet unlike many other Mexican youth movements that were either crushed or subsumed by political parties or the Catholic hierarchy, the Pentathlón remains, to this day, a youth
organisation with a distinct identity. Its ability to sustain this, I argue, arises from the ruling party’s recognition of the value of the Pentathlón as a credible example of voluntary youth patriotism.

To understand how this relationship evolved, we need to identify what made the Pentathlón different. This calls for detailed scrutiny of its formative ideology, principles and values, its means of gaining patronage, and its methods of remaining attractive to Mexican youths. While many of these details are, of course, Pentathlón-specific, it should always be remembered that members of the organisation were children and adolescents who mixed with their peers, lived in ordinary neighbourhoods, and were exposed to all the influences that impinged upon the sensibilities of the younger generation. As such, a more subtle appreciation of the Pentathlón needs to recognise the broader context within which Mexican youth culture grew.

I begin my analysis of the Pentathlón, therefore, by locating it within the early twentieth-century explosion of youth movements that developed in various parts of the world. This reveals that youth movements within ostensibly different cultural, social, and political contexts nonetheless often shared similar overall objectives, attracted recruits in similar ways, and regulated their forms of internal association through comparable structures. Bearing in mind José Blanchet’s acknowledged sympathy towards youth movements under Franco, particular attention is given to aspects of nationalist, pro-Catholic youth movements in Spain. An appreciation of the dynamics between Catholic and nationalist movements in Spain allows a better understanding of the complexity of youth association and activism in Mexico during the period of the Pentathlón’s formation. By outlining the ways in which these groups were formed and operated, it becomes easier to analyse the degree to which the Pentathlón did, indeed, represent an exception to the Mexican rule.

The proliferation of youth movements

The considerable historiography on youth movements recognises both the top-down and grass-root impulses behind their formation. Increasing industrialisation in the late nineteenth century provoked social reformers to seek ways of rescuing children from urban squalor and offer the restorative alternative of healthy pursuits in the countryside. Often quasi-military in organisation, it was hoped that such membership would produce a
disciplined, vibrant, and patriotic youth who would be ready to defend national interests in
time of war and contribute towards social stability in times of peace. Yet it is clear that the
impetuosity of youth could equally be channelled towards political dissent. Herbert Moller
argues that throughout the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, societies
undergoing disruptive change were characterised by ‘adolescents and young adults [...]’
eminetly attracted by direct action as well as by ideologies promising perfection in a
hurry. He specifies Italy, Bosnia, Russia and Germany among his examples, but could also
have included the influence of Chinese youths within the Revolution of 1911 or the
nationalist, anti-Christian riots a decade later.

Studies of youth movements suggest that the First World War marked a watershed: in
various European countries, youth associations reflected disillusionment with an older
generation that had wreaked such havoc upon civilised sensibilities. Groups led by youths
represented a break with the past and heralded an era in which members of the younger
generation displayed more confidence in defining their roles within society. Yet this did not
make them impermeable to manipulation: indeed it could make them more susceptible to
approaches provided they were framed in the right manner. Andrew Donson suggests that
cultural changes influenced the way that youthful impatience was manifest. Tracing the
increasingly nationalistic, warmongering nature of youth literature in Germany during the
1910s, he points out that its authors were tapping into a more global notion that, together
with family, schooling and recreation, transmitted the idea that manhood was tied to
youthful vigour. ‘Strenuous masculinity’, in the post-1914 German context, was reflected in
stories that reified ‘the warrior fiercely loyal to the nation’. Donson speculates that defeat
in war left unfulfilled fantasies among a youthful readership which made them susceptible
to promises of a better future through fascism and right-wing political violence.

The development of youth consciousness in early twentieth-century Mexico was in part
influenced by external factors. Jean Meyer locates the rise of right-wing youth groups in
Mexico within a continental move towards centralist, authoritarian politics that surged as a
reaction to the multiple crises caused by the Wall Street Crash. He cites Bolivia’s
Movimiento Nacional Revolucionario, Brazil’s populist dictator, Getulio Vargas, and
falangists in Chile as part of this process. He also notes that the rise of fascism within
Argentina was characterised by young leaders such as Juan Perón who openly admired
As Gregorio Bermann observed in 1946, the continent’s youths were attracted by a diverse range of associations: student federations; religious organisations; sports and recreational groups; boy scouts; fascist and nationalist groups. At its most basic, youth mobilisation in Latin America took the form of a battle between those with left- and right-wing political agendas and mass youth organisations often played an integral part in broadening such movements’ popular appeal. In many countries Church-affiliated movements represented a further source of youth consciousness.

In the case of Mexican youth movements, First World War factors cannot be directly transposed. Yet Mexican society had been equally traumatised by a revolutionary struggle that hurled the country into a bloody civil war from 1910 to 1917. The Cristero rebellion (1926-29) was a religious war that bitterly divided an already fragmented society and raised new uncertainties about future stability. Compounding this instability was the perceived threat of US imperialism (in the form of invasive capitalist, protestant ethics) and the sudden influx of republican refugees fleeing Franco’s regime. Indeed, the Spanish Civil War provoked a range of emotions within Mexican society and, as José Blanchet suggests, affected the way in which Mexican youths might become politicised. It seems pertinent, therefore, to dwell upon events in Spain, particularly the nature of youth movements operating under the umbrella of the Confederación Española de Derechas Autónomas (Spanish Confederation of the Autonomous Right-wing Groups, CEDA) led by Gil Robles. In CEDA one perhaps sees a merging of the diverse values that would be reflected in Mexico by the Pentathlón and other youth movements.

Romero Salvadó describes CEDA as ‘a vast coalition of right-wing Catholic groups whose objective was to gain power by mobilising Catholic and conservative Spain and whose slogan was the defence of religion, fatherland, law, order and property.’ During the early 1930s Robles and CEDA lent support to right-wing republicans in parliament. In 1934 they gained three ministerial positions and Robles briefly became Minister of War the following year. CEDA had a youth movement in the form of Juventud de Acción Popular (Popular Youth Action, JAP), a uniformed militia open to those between the ages of 16 and 35. Its manifesto clearly set out its objectives, values, and determination: ‘We go forth to preach the justice and love which flow from the Gospel and the Encyclicals of the Pope, convinced that Catholic social doctrine alone can mollify frenzy below and bridle egoism above.... We
are men of the Right…. We shall obey the legitimate orders of authority; but we shall not tolerate the impositions of the irresponsible rabble.’\textsuperscript{14}

For all its bellicose rhetoric, in its early days the JAP did not advocate violent opposition. Lacking the more overt dynamism of other right-wing groups such as the Falange, the JAP nonetheless deployed diverse tactics to reinforce its mass support among Spanish youths, including the promotion of sports activities designed to ‘strengthen the race’. As with fascist gatherings in Germany and Italy, its penchant for mass rallies in auspicious sites and its use of flags and hymns further underlined the JAP’s claim to be a credible, patriotic alternative to socialism.\textsuperscript{15} Faced with increasing religious persecution under the Republica
government, right-wing youth groups became radicalised and, to a certain extent, unified by a common enemy. In 1936 over 15,000 disillusioned JAP members joined Falange, while others maintained regular contact with groups committing violence.\textsuperscript{16} Religious persecution offered legitimacy to those who mobilised in defence of their faith. With the defeat of republicanism, Franco’s centralist state offered respect and support to the Catholic Church; in return the Church emphasised that religious and patriotic objectives converged under the new order. Youth movements from diverse backgrounds were forced to accept a new political reality that demanded loyalty to Franco’s hierarchical system.

The same conundrum between state and faith lay at the heart of Mexican society. The armed conflict of the Cristero rebellion might have given way to an uneasy truce in the early 1930s, but throughout the early years of the Lázaro Cárdenas presidency, relations between these two pillars of Mexican society might best be described as antagonistic. While less confrontational by the end of his presidency, ongoing relationships between the Catholic Church and the national government would never reach the form of alliance witnessed in Spain. As such, youth movements that carried a pro-Catholic agenda were often subsumed within a formal political opposition, in the shape of the Partido de Acción Nacional (National Action Party, PAN). The vital difference that set the Pentathlón apart from many of its Spanish and Mexican contemporaries was that it remained independent of the direct control of any political party and the Catholic hierarchy. The Pentathlón certainly shared the concerns and objectives of many youth movements that arose in the early twentieth century. What made it rare and, perhaps, accounted for its longevity was that it sought ways of achieving these objectives without nailing its colours too firmly to the mast of any
one individual or institution. Exactly how it fits into the mosaic of youth movements within Mexico is the topic to which we now turn.

*The Church, the state and Mexican youth movements*

The proliferation of youth movements in Mexico echoed the broader global pattern. Increasing literacy, greater access to education, and the gradual erosion of rural insularity all contributed to make Mexico’s post-revolutionary generation more aware of social, economic and political issues. Increasing youth consciousness was also fostered by the state’s own reification of youthful, especially male, vigour. Even before the Revolution, educators were emphasising the benefits to the nation of producing adolescents who were cognisant with physical exercises devised by the military.\(^{17}\) Efforts by the Ministry of Education during the 1920s and 1930s merely extended this philosophy, with masculinity, physical prowess, and patriotic duty being synonymous in the many documents emanating from the ministry during this period.\(^{18}\) In the post-revolutionary period, however, opportunities were given to youths beyond the elite class to engage in activities that fostered camaraderie and a sense of youthful dynamism. Mexican youth groups shared the methods of attracting recruits commonly deployed elsewhere: uniforms, insignia, marches, bands, anthems, and sporting activities. While many of these associations were voluntary and purely sports based, given the turbulent social and political times that characterised the 1920s, it was inevitable that some of the most vociferous reflected political concerns.

An early example of left-wing youth radicalism was the Red Shirt movement of governor Tomás Garrido Canabal in Tabasco during the 1920s. A quasi-military group for 15 to 25 year-olds, the Red Shirts included students, office, factory and shop workers who met regularly to augment the radical educational, anti-alcohol and anti-religious campaigns set by Garrido’s state government.\(^{19}\) While activities included sporting events, dramas, and parades mocking the Church, the degree to which these acted as incentives is difficult to gauge given that membership was all but compulsory for Tabasco’s younger generation.\(^{20}\) It nonetheless contained many committed members who firmly embraced the extreme secular agenda of their leader. When Red Shirts clashed with Catholic worshippers at Coyoacán in September 1934, it was an example of the violence that had reached the streets of the capital city between youths with clashing philosophies. It was precisely this
vision of perceived anarchy that would impel the Pentathlón’s founders to act four years later.

Another significant left-wing youth movement that sought to further the socialist agenda of the Cárdenas period was the Juventud Socialista Unida de México (Mexican United Socialist Youth, JSUM). Ben Fallaw’s study of Yucatán provides a fascinating glimpse of how JSUM operated at a local scale, blending political education with social advancement by offering night classes in political theory, vocational training and cultural enhancement. In luring disaffected youths from crime and unemployment, local assemblies enjoyed great success by offering ‘a strong dose of sport and recreation’. As in other cases in Mexico and beyond, more overtly attractive activities acted as bait with which to introduce youths to less accessible messages.

The role of the Catholic Church within post-revolutionary society was the catalyst for considerable youth mobilisation. The 1917 Mexican Constitution severely limited the privileges of the Catholic Church and, crucially, restricted its ability to influence the young. As the depth of constitutional attacks on the Church became apparent in the mid-1920s, increasingly vociferous sectors of the congregation began to organise themselves in its defence. The most prominent in the immediate post-revolutionary period was the Asociación Católica de Juventud Mexicana (Mexican Catholic Youth Association, ACJM). Formed in 1913, the organisation underwent rapid growth between 1917 and 1924. David Espinosa suggests that although the ACJM tried to embrace all sectors of society, its critics accused it of being elitist with little interest in Mexico’s largely under-educated population. With the expressed objective of creating young leaders ‘capable of fighting against Catholicism’s ideological enemies: liberalism, anarchism, socialism, Marxism, Protestantism, and materialism’, the ACJM appeared to share many of the adversaries later identified by the Pentathlón. When, during the Cristero rebellion of 1926-1929, Catholic groups took up arms to resist the forced imposition of constitutional reforms, the ACJM provided a network of willing recruits, including individuals who would plot and carry out the assassination of president-elect Alvaro Obregón in 1928.

Following the cessation of hostilities in 1929, the Mexican Church formally adopted Catholic Action as the means through which it might direct its followers. Despite protestations from
ACJM leadership, this move had the effect of eclipsing the influence of more radical Catholics in favour of those willing to respect the authority of the Church hierarchy. María Luisa Aspe Armella suggests that even as it did so, Catholic Action was undermined by an inner contradiction. The duty to affirm, diffuse and defend Christian values in individual, family, and social environments often conflicted with constitutional prohibition of Church participation in politics. In a conciliatory move, the Vatican endorsed a recommendation that approved Catholic Action in Mexico but stressed the need for non-violent strategies of resistance and a clear separation between the Church and political parties. As Jean Meyer notes, however, there was significant opposition to this move away from the ‘intransigent-integralism culture’ practiced by many lay activists. The 1930s were characterised by divisions within Mexican Catholicism over how best to resist the secularisation of society.

Student groups were at the forefront of promoting Catholic Action philosophy. Enjoying considerable support from the Catholic hierarchy, the Unión Nacional de Estudiantes Católicos (National Union of Catholic Students, UNEC) was particularly active, seeking to develop a continent-wide organisation to coordinate actions and strengthen solidarity. Although it had limited success in attracting foreign delegates to its congress in Mexico City in 1930, the event produced a strong final statement reflecting salient concerns among Mexican and Latin American student bodies. Distinctly anti-Protestant in nature, the conference recognised and valued Spain’s cultural legacy and the need to combat threats to a shared Iberoamerican identity posed by US imperialism. It stressed the important role that students could play in challenging the secularisation of education, the need to reject communism, and the obligation to adopt measures designed to produce genuine social well-being as opposed to the inferred false solutions of liberalism and/or socialism.

Given the emphasis subsequently placed on socialist education by the Cárdenas government in the mid 1930s, the imperative to declare loyalty to the fatherland or to the Vatican would become a challenge that Mexico’s younger generation encountered every day, especially on university campuses where the dilemma was often violently contested. For Mexicans of all ages the question of faith was inextricably linked to a broader philosophical battle concerning the future character of the nation. As Ricardo Pérez Montfort demonstrates, a plethora of right-wing movements developed in the 1930s to meet the perceived challenge to national interests. Some were concerned with Bolshevik incursion, others with attacks
against the Catholic faith; others still displayed a form of extreme nationalism fuelled by xenophobia and racism. Heterogeneous in nature, each group adopted differing methods and ideologies to achieve their objectives. The internationalisation of this conflict resulted from President Cárdenas’ open support for the Republican government in Spain and his subsequent offer of asylum for republicans fleeing Franco’s regime. The presence of a large Spanish community in the country directly connected Mexico to the conflict in Spain. Some Mexican conservative organisations, such as La Confederación de la Clase Media (Middle Class Confederation) and Pro-Raza, greeted Franco’s rise to power with enthusiasm.

Meyer suggests that Lázaro Cárdenas, having observed the fate of Republicanism in Spain and the significant support for Franco in Mexico, subsequently adopted a more moderate stance towards right wingers within his own party ranks. The presidential campaign in 1940 played into the developing drama. One of the main candidates, Juan Andreu Almazán, drew support from a broad coalition of the Right. His main rival, Manuel Avila Camacho, went out of his way to distance himself from the more extreme anti-Catholic reforms of the Cárdenas period. Blancarte points out that while Avila Camacho’s famous declaration ‘soy creyente’ (I am a believer) may have been taken out of context, his stress upon ‘the highest moral values of the Mexican family’ was a sentiment that would appeal to both left and right strands within society.

The largest and arguably most militant of the many right-wing organisations was the Unión Nacional Sinarquista (National Synarchist Union, UNS), formed in Guanajuato in May 1937. Hugh Campbell notes how opponents of the UNS, including the Mexican government, initially accused it of being a Nazi organisation that, together with other radical right-wing groups, was influenced by Hitler and Mussolini. While not a youth group per se, UNS propaganda, doctrinal literature and internal organisation suggest that it was a group very similar in character to the Pentathlón. Authoritarian in nature, militaristic in structure, it espoused apolitical, patriotic, and anti-foreign (both anti-communist and anti-United States) credentials. As such, it eschewed the nationalism of Mussolini and Hitler while aligning itself more comfortably with the Falange’s sense of base Catholicism and concept of hispanidad. Significantly, as far as the Pentathlón’s future relationship with the Mexican state was concerned, Meyer suggests that for as long as the UNS remained ostensibly apolitical its survival was countenanced by the Cárdenas and Avila Camacho presidencies.
‘Ambiguous tolerance’ of the UNS allowed the administrations to use sinarquismo as a counterbalance to the Left while moderating the more extreme Right. Any influence that the Catholic hierarchy held over UNS actions remained covert, making it relatively easy for the Church to distance itself from the organisation when its attacks against the Avila Camacho presidency finally provoked the government to bring about its eventual demise.

Beyond the UNS, other right-wing organisations with significant youth sectors took part in violent opposition to the perceived socialist drift within Mexican society: among these were the Unión Nacionalista Mexicana (Mexican Nationalist Union), Partido Nacionalista de México (Mexican Nationalist Party), and the Vanguardia Nacionalista Mexicana (Mexican Nationalist Vanguard). The ‘camisas doradas’ (gold shirts), known officially as the Acción Revolucionario Mexicanista (Mexican Revolutionary Action, ARM) clashed violently with communist youth movements, most notably in one bloody encounter in front of the National Palace in November 1935. While the Pentatlón may have shared the ARM’s concern regarding the drift towards socialism in Mexico, the direct, political attack on Lázaro Cárdenas conveyed in the ARM manifesto of January 1938 marks a distinction with the Pentatlón’s overtly apolitical stance. Pentatlón rhetoric was more generic and idealistic than the stark condemnatory nature of the ARM or, indeed, the political declarations made by the UNS against Avila Camacho.

**Campus Politics**

Within Mexico’s National University, a mixture of personal ambition and contemporary politics ensured that the decade preceding the formation of the Pentatlón was characterised by bitter disputes between academics and among students. The overriding issue was the rising tide of socialist education; specifically, the 1936 reform of Article 3 of the Mexican Constitution determining that all state education should be socialist in nature. Student groups, such as UNEC, campaigned vigorously against what they viewed as a Marxist attempt to control university autonomy. The ACJM viewed UNEC as an unwelcome rival in higher education as, since the early days of the Revolution, it had believed that it enjoyed the prerogative to direct Mexican youths’ spiritual lives. The fact that the recently formed UNEC had gained a privileged position within Catholic Action did little to soothe such tensions. The Catholic hierarchy’s accord with the Mexican government
in the aftermath of the Cristero rebellion angered many ACJM members. Rather than submit to the authority of the Church, in 1932 more radical elements within ACJM set up the Juventudes Nacionalistas (Nationalist Youth), a rival Catholic youth association which had links with radical secular right groups such as ARM and UNS. Although Juventudes Nacionalistas folded at the end of the 1930s, its very existence underlined both the generational frictions within the Catholic movement in Mexico and the differing interpretations among youths over how best to serve and protect the faith.

Another student group that was heavily engaged in the state-versus-Vatican polemic and which included pentathletes within its membership, was the somewhat bizarrely named Conejos (Rabbits); a group that Meyer describes as having been formed by Jesuits ‘to save the University from socialism’ and that ‘quickly became fascist and anti-Semitic.’ Although not mentioned by name, a government report dated 1947 was probably referring to the Conejos when it described the existence of an organisation that aimed to:

[...] produce future group leaders who can occupy key positions within the national government when necessary. Invitations for individuals to join the organisation are shrouded in mystery in an attempt to arouse their curiosity. Once a prospective member attends, he is initiated in a special ceremony characterised by the use of hoods, skeletons, candles, crucifixes, etc. He is then required to take an oath of secrecy and a pledge to obey any order given by the group’s leader.

A semi-secret organisation with mysterious initiation ceremonies, the Conejos was a group that sought to restore Catholic principles into society and to education in particular. Suspicions that UNEC had become infiltrated by covert societies caused the Church hierarchy great concern. Behind this unease was the fact that Church attempts to distinguish its religious and pastoral mission from political involvement were undermined by two basic facts: Catholic Action’s objectives, by their very nature, impinged upon the political sphere; secondly, student groups such as UNEC that were intrinsic to the deployment of Catholic Action’s mission, could not help but become influenced by the
highly-charged political environment on campuses. It was from within this complex background that the Pentathlón emerged.

Political tensions within the School of Medicine proved crucial to the Pentathlón’s formation. Dr Ignacio Chávez’s resignation as director in March 1934 followed months of bitter divisions between factions of students and academics within the School, but more specifically was a protest against the Marxist agenda then being forced upon university policies by radicals such as Vicente Lombardo Toledano. Chávez was temporarily replaced by Dr Gustavo Baz, an individual who would play a pivotal role in the development of the Pentathlón. Baz’s early medical career in the Military School of Medicine had been interrupted by the Revolution. Offering his medical skills to the forces of Emiliano Zapata, Baz saw action against the dictatorship of General Huerta before relinquishing his military position when he was appointed governor of the state of Mexico in 1915. With the triumph of carrancista forces in 1916, Baz returned to a career that would eventually lead him to simultaneous directorship of the School of Medicine and the Military School of Medicine. Baz sought to put the regimented organisation synonymous with the latter to good social effect in the former. Seemingly responding to accusations of academic elitism, Baz organised medical students into brigades charged with bringing medical services to rural communities. He resigned later that year, however, in protest at the treatment of the incumbent rector, Gómez Morín. Eighteen months later, Baz returned as director and one of his immediate tasks was to resolve a bitter strike among medical students that, at its height, witnessed armed guards ejecting students from faculty installations. Disputes between the Faculty and the incumbent rector, Chico Goerne, propelled Baz towards the centre of campus politics. Viewed as a voice of reason within an atmosphere of chaos and violent conflict, Baz contributed to the resignation of Goerne and his replacement by an interim Directorate that he would eventually come to lead. In July 1938, Baz was elected rector of the university and ushered in a period of relative stability. He presided over a statute that limited the political and pedagogic autonomy of individual academics while at the same time making academics responsible for instilling discipline and order among their colleagues and student groups. It was at this juncture that medical students formed the Pentathlón. While Baz played no part in the Pentathlón’s formation, as a former director of the School of Medicine and later rector, he was an influential figure within campus politics.
and had direct contact with its founder members. Moreover, his determination to stabilise the university would play a crucial role in channelling the passions of the Pentathlón’s early members.

Pentathlón official history does not mention the single-most important event that would determine the direction of its early life; the eclipse of Carlos von Retteg (one of the three medical students who had conceived the idea of forming the group) by another member, Jorge Jiménez Cantú.\(^{51}\) While José Blanchet (another of the original three) dismisses this as a trivial matter,\(^ {52}\) there is a suggestion that the clash was the result of Jiménez Cantú using his seniority within the Conejos to force fellow ‘conejo’, von Retteg, to act in ways that the latter deemed to contravene the Pentathlón’s code of honour. When von Retteg refused, Jiménez Cantú forced a split within the organisation that saw almost half of the members abandon von Retteg in favour of his own leadership.\(^ {53}\) That Jiménez Cantú was able to consolidate his position and ensure the survival of the Pentathlón in its first few vulnerable years was partly a result of his own leadership abilities, but substantially due to the patronage of his former tutor, Gustavo Baz. As the Pentathlón was a student organisation, the university rector could not directly influence it, but through his protégé, Jiménez Cantú, Baz instilled a degree of political tolerance, and even pragmatism, within the Pentathlón’s aims. Certainly Blanchet affirms that the eclipse of von Retteg ensured that the Pentathlón adopted a trajectory that allowed it to pursue nationalist, anti-communist, and pro-Catholic interests while simultaneously fulfilling its patriotic duty.\(^ {54}\) It also seems likely that given Baz’s own military career, the degree of authoritarian discipline that was central to the Pentathlón would have been something he was keen to develop.

Campus politics in 1930s Mexico were not, of course, fully representative of broader society. Higher education was predominantly elitist, especially in areas such as medicine. The vociferous rejection of socialist education by groups such as the ACJM, UNEC, Conejos and Pentathlón thus reflected sectors of society that had been side-lined rather than eradicated by the Revolution; circles that shared a conservative outlook and clung to the orthodoxy and remaining influence of the Catholic hierarchy. Yet unlike the fate of many groups established during these turbulent times, the Pentathlón’s longevity bears witness to its strategy of remaining true to its core values in a way that attracted official endorsement and
broader appeal. Analysis of its trajectory allows us to appreciate the complexity of Mexican society in the mid-twentieth century, characterised by increasingly centralised, authoritarian politics, and a growing youth culture that was diametrically opposed to such restraints.

The state, the Pentatlón, and the war effort

As with similar movements in Spain, from its inception the Pentatlón was a hierarchical, militaristic organisation that placed a high premium on discipline, intellectual and physical prowess. While Blanchet recalls that the Pentatlón’s armed capability was commensurate with its conviction that socialism could not be combated through negotiation, its added facet of militarism may have increased recruitment as it lent an aura of seriousness and intrigue that other movements lacked. The Pentatlón’s motto ‘Patria, Honor, Fuerza’ underlines a determined, even aggressive, demeanour. This was reinforced by members wearing uniforms, partaking in military marches and manoeuvres, singing anthems and hymns, and embracing a rigorous regime of physical exercise that encompassed the five disciplines of the modern pentathlon (fencing, equestrian, swimming, running, and shooting). Commensurate with the government’s own link between masculinity, physical rigour and patriotism, pentathletes displayed their commitment to the nation by embarking on a quest for goals that demanded self-discipline in every facet of their lives.

During his first months as head of the Pentatlón, Jiménez Cantú devised the Pentalogo and the Ideario Pentathlonico; the organisation’s guiding principles and ideals that remain unchanged to the present day. The Pentalogo is a fundamentally nationalist text in which pentathletes pledge to make sacrifices for the honour and benefit of their nation. The Ideario similarly outlines ways in which pentathletes can lead a moral life to help themselves, their families, and Mexican society. At its core is the objective to produce youths who act in a thoughtful way, are confident but never too proud to seek advice, and who appreciate simple, worthy values rather than the transient attractions of the material world. Yet the wording of many of the Ideario’s 42 instructions is simultaneously strident and ambiguous. Take for example, the following:

II - Do not try to be the least bad of the worst, but the best of the good.
XVI - Prefer the company of swine and the smell of the sewers than that of exploitative despots and their perfumes.

XXVII - Always fight for a form of equality that exalts the best human values; justice, honour, industry, culture, moral and aesthetic improvement, rather than the equality of the gutter.\textsuperscript{56}

In many respects, these nationalistic sentiments could easily have been espoused by the pentathletes’ socialist adversaries. Egalitarianism, anti-elitism, physical and moral improvement would have been welcomed within Cárdenas’s education programme. Reference to the ‘equality of the gutter’, however, is reminiscent of the CEDA’s intolerance of ‘the impositions of the irresponsible rabble’. In a clear critique of socialism it suggests a quest for more worthy forms of social improvement. It was, however, the very ambiguity of such messages that made them simultaneously innocuous and threatening. For example, rumours circulated that a group of unionised workers planned to disrupt the Pentathlón’s 1\textsuperscript{st} anniversary celebrations, suspecting its members of being the vanguard of a ‘brigada de choque’. Countering these rumours, an editorial in the newspaper, \textit{Gráfico}, denied that the Pentathlón had political or religious links, underlining that its aims were purely patriotic.\textsuperscript{57}

The presence of secretary of Defence, General Jesús Castro, at these celebrations suggests that he shared this sentiment.\textsuperscript{58} Certainly, during Gustavo Baz’s lengthy patronage, the Pentathlón made great efforts to demonstrate that it posed no challenge to the Mexican state. For the remainder of the Cárdenas presidency the Pentathlón achieved this by emphasising its nationalist sentiments while quietly obscuring its anti-socialist agenda. Moreover, even before Cárdenas stepped down in 1940, Mexico’s flirtation with socialism, especially in education, was largely over. National politics had overtaken the Pentathlón: one of its founding motives, the struggle against socialism, had been resolved before the group left its infancy. What remained was a strong sense of nationalism and patriotic duty and this quickly converted the Pentathlón from a potential threat into a paragon of revolutionary virtue.

The presidency of Avila Camacho (1940-46) marked a watershed in Mexican politics and society. In the midst of global conflict, militarism and patriotic rhetoric ushered in an era of centralist, increasingly authoritarian politics that would bring an end to a period of what
critics of Cárdenas perceived as state-fostered anarchy. Blanchet suggests that it was also a fundamental moment in Pentathlón history in that it was able to have a more ‘open and honest’ relationship with the national government. The failure of Juan Andreu Almazán’s presidential campaign struck a death-knell for many right-wing movements and organisations that had openly supported him, while prominent members of the ACJM and UNEC saw their future as lying within the PAN after its formation in 1939. That the Pentathlón did not share their demise relied on several factors. The ambiguity and flexibility in interpreting the Pentathlón’s core values enabled the group constantly to readjust its posture to suit contemporary social and political values. Its determination to remain beyond the direct control of political and religious authorities is reflected in the fact that pentathletes rarely resigned their affiliation to become politicians, preferring instead to further their cause from within the organisation. However, it was also true that the long-term economic viability of the Pentathlón increasingly depended upon patronage from the national government. What developed, therefore, was a symbiotic relationship in which both the PRI and the Pentathlón were content to portray the group as an exemplar of patriotic youthful voluntarism. There was little doubt, however, that such an arrangement drew the youth group uncomfortably close to individuals within the political establishment.

The second phase of the Pentathlón’s development was its initiative to make the organisation less elitist. In doing so, the Pentathlón ceased to be an organisation dominated by well-to-do medical students and became one that embraced a cross-section of Mexican society. Two distinct sections quickly emerged: the original voluntary association of youths into the main Pentathlón cohort; and, from 1941, an Internado (boarders) section, largely comprising youths from impoverished families who would live in Pentathlón establishments where they would receive education, physical instruction, food and lodgings within an environment that promoted strong family values, respect for their elders, and love of the fatherland. In some respects, the internado system mirrored the Escuelas Hijos del Ejército initiative launched during Cárdenas’ presidency. As Thomas Rath notes, these schools were intended to educate the children of lower ranking military personnel and produce future officers. While maintaining a regime of physical and mental discipline similar to that adopted by the Pentathlón, the Hijos del Ejército schools differed in that they were seen as central to the socialist educational agenda of producing a generation of young
adults who would challenge the class system and embrace patriotic unity. Interestingly, the parents of such children often ‘discarded most of the radical rhetoric surrounding the schools in favour of an older language of paternalism and rights conferred around patriotic military service, bravery, and soldiery suffering.’ These sentiments were, ironically, much more in line with those espoused by the Pentathlón’s internado system.

Once the ‘socialist issue’ had been resolved in Mexican politics, the Pentathlón’s patriotic credentials were easier to sustain; especially as Gustavo Baz, its main benefactor, now enjoyed a cabinet position within national government. Having comparatively little political experience, it is not entirely clear how Baz moved from being rector of UNAM to secretary of Health. According to his own testimony, his appointment was largely based upon the close friendship and trust that he developed with Manuel Avila Camacho while acting as physician to the future president’s mother and later, to the president’s wife. What is more certain is that Baz’s patronage ensured that the Pentathlón’s youthful enthusiasm and determination to advance patriotic causes did not become a political thorn in the state’s side. Indeed, state patronage increasingly transformed the organisation from a potential threat into a valuable asset. A 1940 memorandum from Pentathlón headquarters to President Cárdenas outlined how the organisation’s patriotic objectives were being hampered by a lack of resources. Successful petitions from various Pentathlón groups to President Avila Camacho for arms, munitions, uniforms, musical instruments for military bands, travel grants etc., underline both the organisation’s precarious financial situation and the support it was receiving from the government. In October 1941, for example, Jiménez Cantú expressed regret that Avila Camacho could not attend the Pentathlón’s 4th anniversary celebration because it would have been ‘the best possible motivator and well-deserved recognition for someone who has always been a committed supporter of the Pentathlón’. He added that, given the Pentathlón’s high moral standards, it was with considerable embarrassment that he had to ask for the president’s help in clearing a Mex$2,000 debt. Reliance on various federal departments for finances, materials and properties, brought the Pentathlón into the system of state patronage that was so effective in limiting the independent ambitions of other representative groups. Even beyond Baz’s time as secretary of Health, the ministry continued to help the organisation for many years, such as leasing a property that became the Pentathlón’s headquarters in Mexico City. This
was eventually donated to the organisation by former pentathlete, Ginés Navarro Díaz de León, during his period in charge of the ministry in November 1975.67

Significantly, within the context of global armed conflict, the Pentathlón’s profile as a military reserve became elevated during Avila Camacho’s presidency. When, in August 1942, Avila Camacho declared the introduction of national conscription, he did so amidst much patriotic rhetoric. Yet the objectives went beyond protection of national sovereignty. Rath suggests that conscription would help the state to instil a measure of discipline, patriotism, and egalitarianism within Mexican society. Under the law, all able-bodied eighteen-year olds were to receive military training each Sunday morning, with a ballot being made to determine those destined for enlistment within the federal army.68 The Pentathlón was ideally situated to underline its patriotic credentials and its members’ leadership potential. In November 1942, for example, the commander of the Pentathlón in Papantla, Veracruz, informed Avila Camacho of the formation of a military academy. Expressing loyalty to the president ‘in these times of emergency’ the commander affirmed that this academy would equip cadets with the skills to become efficient officers.69 Correspondence increasingly couched petitions for material support within the context of the Pentathlón’s contribution to the war effort.70 Simultaneously, the Ministry of Defence used the Pentathlón as a means of appraising the military preparedness of National University students. In August 1942, the Ministry sent a letter to the rector lamenting that graduates receiving a commission into the armed forces routinely displayed less knowledge about military affairs than those they purported to command. The ministry strongly urged the university to offer classes on ‘military discipline, concepts of the fatherland, patriotism, and all those things that a university student needed to know to become a soldier’. This should begin with pentathletes and other student volunteers, but the ministry hoped that it would eventually become obligatory for all university students.71 Only four years after its formation, the Pentathlón was being incorporated within a project that, while coinciding with its overriding principles of patriotic duty, was nonetheless compromising its supposed autonomy. Among guests attending its anniversary celebrations in 1944 were a host of top-rank federal army officers included the president’s brother, General Maximino Avila Camacho, who gave a glowing eulogy of the institution’s patriotic fervour.72 Certainly by 1948 the Ministry of Defence saw the Pentathlón in Mexico City as an ‘escuela militarizada’
capable of producing individuals with the necessary qualities to be successful in the National Reserve.\footnote{73}

Following the end of the Second World War, the legal status of the Pentathlón was reaffirmed by the formation of the Civil Association of the Pentathlón Universitario. The document of association reiterated the institution’s patriotic duty, social responsibilities (alluding to its recently-formed boarding facility), and the spirit of camaraderie and solidarity among members. As if to reaffirm its apolitical status, the declaration acknowledges the Pentathlón’s need for financial support but maintains that this should neither prejudice its autonomy nor its objectives.\footnote{74} Despite these assertions, a government report in 1947 reveals the degree to which the Pentathlón had become dependent upon state patronage. It confirms Baz’s early intervention in the development of the Pentathlón and how he used his influence to attract broader government support, especially from the ministries of Communications and Defence. The report also portrays Baz as the movement’s supreme commander and claims that pentathletes were ready and willing to carry out his every command. Moreover, it depicts the Pentathlón as an increasingly competent coercive force. Under Avila Camacho, the movement had received specific training for the establishment of an engineering unit with expertise in radio communications, undercover surveillance and sabotage. As an estimation of the Pentathlón’s military potential, the report concludes that the Ministry of Defence had supplied it with arms, including 233 rifles, machine guns, ammunitions, and hand-grenades.

The report makes it clear, however, that this military potential was less autonomous than its document of association would suggest. Significantly, it notes that all the Pentathlón commanders and officers had jobs in different ministries and that this made them unconditionally loyal to the government.\footnote{75} This last observation underlines the reality for any group striving to remain apolitical within the Mexican system. With government jobs often relying on political favour, Pentathlón commanders employed by the state were part of the reciprocal arrangement that traded patronage for party loyalty. Hence, while Pentathlón rules mirrored those of the army in that members following an active political career were required to relinquish membership of the organisation, some of its members were nonetheless tied into the political system.
The most prominent example of this, of course, was the link with the Pentathlón’s patron, Gustavo Baz. The report claims that Baz had instructed all officers and their men to be prepared for whatever mobilisation he deemed necessary. As an indication of the direction this might take, it states:

Dr Baz has personally ordered that when faced by any student revolt, the Pentathlón should stage an armed intervention to resolve the situation as best it can. An ultimatum will be issued in the press giving rebel students 24 hours to disperse and/or leave any occupied buildings. If they refuse, the Pentathlón will display a great show of strength, followed by an attack.\footnote{76}

What is unclear is whether this observation relates to the short period of Baz’s authoritarian control of the National University, or whether it continued during his time as secretary for the Department of Health. While there is a suggestion that the Pentathlón was deployed to help restore discipline within the University of Puebla campus in 1952, there is no evidence to conclude that this was anything other than an isolated, local incident.\footnote{77} Nonetheless, the type of direct intervention outlined above was one that would be increasingly common on university campuses in the turbulent decades that followed. As Jaime Pensado outlines, porros (right wing thugs) were routinely planted within university campuses from the 1940s onwards. Acting as agent provocateurs, they were deployed to cause confusion, unrest, and disunity within student ranks. Exacerbating divisions that naturally occurred among campaigners over how to conduct disputes, such tactics often added an element of violence into student politics that provided the excuse for intervention by authorities.

\textit{The State, the Pentathlón and alternative youth culture}

As Pensado and Eric Zolov have separately highlighted, greater access to higher education and increasing exposure to foreign cultural influences during the 1950s and 1960s provoked growing resentment among Mexican youths towards the authoritarian, paternalistic nature of the state.\footnote{78} Perceiving their parents’ generation to be complicit in restraining their actions, Mexican youths created their own cultural space, partly borrowed from overseas and partly home-grown, that sought to reject the hegemonic designs of national politicians. Pensado argues that the state’s response to this rejection of hierarchical order was imaginative in that it recognised the need to deploy non-confrontational tactics to regain
control of Mexican youths. This included accommodation and even sponsorship of those expressions of alternative culture that youths found so attractive. Yet he is right to suggest that such ambivalent tolerance could only go so far. Public spectacles of boisterous behaviour taxed the sensibilities of decent society, prompting newspaper editorials to call for a liberal dose of National Service to correct such wayward individuals. 79

These developments within rebel youth culture were anathema to the Pentathlón. Pentathletes offered an example of rectitude; those who had chosen voluntarily to rebuff the perceived drift towards delinquency. A cartoon of the ideal pentathlete, muscular, stripped to the waist, and brandishing a spade, appeared in Aquí magazine in 1954. The image acknowledged the organisation’s increasing engagement in community development work. 80 In a similar vein, Pámanes’s ‘Rebeldes sin Casco’ (Rebels without a Helmet) shows the Pentathlón as a beacon of hope for those concerned by the disaffection of Mexican youths. 81 No doubt reflecting the state’s more conciliatory approach to curbing wayward behaviour, the cartoonist suggests that persuasion rather than coercion was more likely to achieve positive results.

Source: PDMU Archive, La Opinión, [n.d.]

The development of the Pentathlón’s internado system suited the changing social and familial dynamics. Families with modest and low incomes might view the placement of their
children within the Pentathlón boarding schools as a means of social mobility. 82 Certainly, the emphasis on discipline, comradeship and respect for authority may have been an attractive solution for parents of increasingly recalcitrant children. It cannot be presumed, however, that all youngsters shared the same objectives. Indeed, a liberal degree of family pressure may have been behind their recruitment. Yet the Pentathlón deployed the same formula to attract youngsters as groups elsewhere in Mexico and beyond: engagement in sports activities and excursions into the countryside that were designed to instil a sense of camaraderie, identity, and self-esteem. Certainly such benefits help to account for the expansion of the voluntary sections of the movement in the 1950s. In 1951, the Pentathlón Menor (Junior Pentathlón) was formed for boys aged between 8 and 14 years, and in 1958 girls were admitted under a newly-created female unit. The Pentathlón thus offered all Mexican youngsters the chance to share its values and objectives. A measure of its success can be gauged from the fact that in 1966 over 2,000 capitalinos chose to fulfil their National Service within the Pentathlón, and that national membership had risen to over 50,000 by 1971. 83 The sentiments of Alfonso Valencia, personnel officer of an industrial complex in Atequique, Jalisco, are indicative of this growth. In a letter to President Adolfo López Mateos in 1962, he suggested that the formation of a local Pentathlón unit would help staff and workers to raise their children ‘in a way that would avoid bad habits that lead to idleness, delinquency and “rebeldía sin causa”’. 84 A year later, as guest of honour at the Pentathlón’s 25th anniversary, López Mateos personally witnessed the self-discipline and fervent patriotism that characterised the group’s underlying philosophy. 85

By the 1960s, the state’s attempts to countenance restricted youth dissent had been replaced by a more hard-line approach. Pensado illustrates the ways in which presidents López Mateos and Díaz Ordaz began a strategy to undermine legitimate student protest and portray it as a sign of foreign manipulation of vulnerable minds. One aspect of this that merits particular attention was sponsorship of porra groups; groups of youths planted into student communities purporting to represent a more radical stance but in reality there to create a sense of anarchy that would legitimise intervention by law enforcement agents. 86 Bearing in mind Baz’s intended use of coercion two decades earlier, it is understandable why suspicions linger over the Pentathlón’s role in campus disturbances during the 1960s.
In February 1964 the daily newspaper *Novedades* published, without further comment, the full text of the Pentalogo which reminded readers of the pentathletes’ respect for family, order, and the nation. Such sentiments represented an overt rejection of the current alternative youth culture and placed the Pentathlón at odds with many Mexican students, especially those on university campuses who were increasingly questioning the state’s right to determine educational and social norms. Indeed, President Díaz Ordaz later used a visit to the National Palace by the Pentathlón to exhort Mexico’s youths to follow the pentathletes’ example. In July 1968, on the 30th anniversary of the Pentathlón’s formation, the organisation’s commander-in-chief, Sergio Álvarez Castro, addressed the issue of youth unrest in various parts of the world. While he recognised desires for ‘peace and love’ as fundamental to society, he dismissed the ‘hippy’ appropriation of these values because they came from a ‘non-productive’ group within youth culture. He praised the president’s decision to extend the ballot to 18-year-old Mexicans, perceiving this to be a sign of confidence in Mexican youth and consistent with the Pentathlón’s underlying aim of producing responsible adolescents willing to fulfil their patriotic duty. Just two weeks later, a confrontation between students from Mexico City’s National University and National Polytechnic was brutally suppressed by security forces. This was the beginning of a mounting confrontation that would end tragically on 2 October 1968 with the massacre of students at Tlatelolco, Mexico City.

Present-day Pentathlón members suspect that their predecessors may have been among those who took part in the suppression of the Mexican Student Movement in 1968 and the Corpus Christi massacre in 1971. Indeed, one informant alludes to the fact that during the campus disturbances in 1968, the military organisation of the Pentathlón was used to bring order. When asked how this was achieved, he replied ‘in the only way that order can be restored in such circumstances – by force.’ It is impossible to know the extent to which these suspicions are justified. Understandably, no evidence exists within the Pentathlón’s archive to suggest the group’s participation in such operations, nor do any studies relating to the period implicate the Pentathlón. Certainly Pensado’s detailed analysis of government-sponsored porra activities designed to counter student protests makes no mention of the Pentathlón. While the true degree of the Pentathlón’s involvement in
these events will probably never be known, the very fact that rumours existed demonstrates
the extent to which it had become part of the state machinery.\textsuperscript{93}

\textit{The Pentatlón: just another uniformed youth movement?}

Youth movements in the late nineteenth and early twentieth century were products of their
changing political, social and economic contexts. The rapid industrialisation of the
nineteenth century had spawned fears of a rising urban youth underclass and fostered top-
down attempts to redirect wayward youthful energies towards more worthy, middle-class
objectives. Similarly, the widespread devastation that touched all countries as a result of
the First World War tended to radicalise the next generation and make them less likely to
submit to the authority of their elders. Despite their perceived need for autonomy and
freedom of expression, however, youth groups were characterised by a high degree of
formality, structure and discipline. The youth movements of the 1920s and 1930s contained
a further inner contradiction: if their reason for being was to declare public frustration and a
lack of confidence in their parents’ generation, then the hierarchical composition that many
groups adopted made them susceptible to appropriation by those with sufficient political
and/or organisational experience. Catholic youth movements could not help but be tied to
the divine authority of God and his representative on earth, the Pope. As such, their
members’ freedom of independent action was limited to alternative interpretations of how
to implement Vatican doctrine. In Catholic countries, moreover, the extensive community-
based network of the Church meant that critical scrutiny was never far from any youth
group that threatened to adopt a radical interpretation. Secular groups were, arguably,
equally constrained by hierarchical authority and dogma. Any nationalist youth organisation
that aspired to lead an assault on political authority needed the backing of a viable
alternative powerbase that would interpret such actions as part of a patriotic endeavour
against an illegitimate regime. In the case of Spain, Franco performed this role and offered
youth movements a degree of space and legitimacy within which to pursue their goals. The
trade-off was political obedience and subjugation to a leader who was far from youthful
himself.

Connected to the above issues is the fact that youth movements were almost inevitably
limited by their restricted access to economic and political support. If the popularity of
youth groups depended on benefits accrued (whether that be education, employment, or access to sports facilities), the availability of such resources relied on contacts that few youths could muster alone. Almost by definition, then, youth movements that aspired to popular appeal needed to compromise their rejection of the older generation in order to gain the patronage upon which their very survival depended.

Applying these observations to the Pentathlón, the Mexican political context is crucial. Although the Cristero rebellion had ended, there remained an uneasy compromise between the Catholic hierarchy and the government; anti-Catholic aspects of socialist education continued to anger many Mexicans. As in Spain, Catholic Action in Mexico intended to bring the more radical elements of the congregation under Church control while simultaneously maintaining a Catholic presence within their everyday lives. Yet the formation of breakaway groups such as Juventudes Nacionalistas underlined profound discontent with a situation that limited the Church’s options in combating socialism in educational institutions. While unrest within the National University in the 1930s shows that the polemic was cross-generational, it is clear that students believed themselves capable of independent action. Thus, despite its later rapid change of emphasis, the Pentathlón originated from within the student body and was designed to recruit like-minded youths. While its ideals and objectives may not have made overt reference to its Catholic ethos, they were designed to counter any further incursion of a socialist doctrine into a country, the moral and social values of which were grounded in Catholic doctrine. This did not mean, however, that the Pentathlón saw itself as subject to the authority of the Catholic hierarchy; nor did it see itself as wedded to any political party, such as the PAN, that sought to uphold Catholic interests. The Pentathlón’s staunch support of Catholic values came from a conviction that these were intrinsic to Mexico: the importation of foreign ideologies, whether socialist or Protestant in nature, needed to be resisted.

This, in turn, leads to an observation regarding the Pentathlon’s originally-conceived character. From its very inception, it was a nationalist movement. It sustained that its duty was to defend and contribute towards improving the nation. That nationalism was integral to its philosophy is not surprising: Catholic nationalism enjoyed a long history in Mexico and many pentathletes would have been exposed to its doctrine. In addition, the Pentathlón’s founder members had been among the first recipients of a post-revolutionary educational
system that placed national identity, national history, and national geography at the centre of the curriculum. Only in the later years of their pre-university education (if at all) would a socialist interpretation of nationhood have been introduced. As such, the form of nationalism promoted by socialist educators would have been alien and hostile to these idealistic, patriotic Catholic youths. Deeply devoted to the fatherland and with a mission to defend Catholic values from corrosive ideologies, it is understandable why they and groups such as the UNS would have been attracted to the same sort of solutions as their contemporaries in Spain.

While Catholic groups in Spain were forced into a compromise that accepted Franco’s dictatorial rule, the presidency of Avila Camacho offered the Pentathlón a means of preserving its fundamental values. No longer was its brand of nationalism going against the national political tide. As Blanchet observes, by 1940 pentathletes could be far more open about their nationalist tendencies, and even their decidedly militaristic character was viewed positively after Mexico became involved in the Second World War. At the same time, the intrinsic ambiguity built into the Pentathlón’s underlying imperative ‘to serve the nation’ enabled it to adapt to contemporary circumstances. Thus rather than disappearing into oblivion as did many other youth groups, the Pentathlón’s longevity was sustained by periodic reinterpretation of core values.

The self-governance of the Pentathlón by youths for youths was severely limited from outset. Although ostensibly a youth organisation that jealously guarded its apolitical independent stance, Pentathlón leaders were quickly controlled by the political patronage of an older generation, initially in the form of Dr Baz, rector of the National University and Minister of Health under the Avila Camacho administration. In time, however, state bureaucratic patronage took over and the Pentathlón’s continued existence relied upon it being a useful tool of the governing party. Whether in offering lodging and education to children from poorer families, or in providing mobile medical facilities to rural populations, the viability of the Pentathlón depended on sustained state patronage. As the organisation developed, long-serving pentathletes would increasingly occupy senior command positions. In doing so, a generation gap became institutionalised between the Pentathlón’s regional and national leaders and its rank and file youth members.
The case of the Pentathlón offers a clear example of the subtle workings of the post-revolutionary state in Mexico. Within a short space of time, an initiative borne from youthful frustration and anger against socialism was contained, redirected, and eventually sustained by the original target of its actions. Yet Pentathlón leaders could defend the group’s later trajectory by underlining that the political landscape had changed sufficiently for it to desist from opposing the state. This in turn underlines the nature of the PRI and its own longevity. That the Avila Camacho presidency was able to defuse the Pentathlón’s anger and disaffection is merely one example of a post-revolutionary state that would become increasingly adept at gauging the temperature of its people’s political and social passions and developing policies designed to assuage them. The Pentathlón was particularly susceptible to such approaches because its ambitions exceeded its independent means. Its initial intention to remain apolitical and uncompromised meant that, from the outset, Pentathlón units throughout Mexico were in a fragile financial condition. The Internado section further drained scarce resources and, with a degree of justification, the Pentathlón expected government help. Simultaneously, its dogged allegiance to patriotic ideals laid the Pentathlón open to the designs of state officials who relied on similar rhetoric to foster political stability. Ostensibly talking the same language, the Pentathlón allowed its version of nationalism to be reinterpreted in such a way as to ensure the flow of state favour. In recognising this basic truism, the Pentathlón has continued to recruit young Mexicans, despite the oppositional youth culture of the 1950s and 1960s and the increasingly materialistic world of subsequent decades.
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In return for such an initiative, the Pentathlón often received financial assistance from the Ministry of Health. See correspondence dated 1947, AHSSA: SSA, Sub A. caja 9, exp. 10. This assistance continued after the departure of Baz and up to Jiménez Cantú’s time as minister of Health.


Letter dated 3 April 1962, AGN, Lopez Mateos, 532/43.


Quoted in ‘Ha demonstrado el PDMU que la juventud de México es responsable’, *El Universal*, 9 July 1968.

For a discussion of the Student Movement within the broader context of Mexico City’s hosting of the Olympic Games, see: Brewster & Brewster, *Representing the Nation*, 104-129. The exact number of deaths is still unclear. For many years, the estimate of over 300 deaths made by John Rodda, reporter for *The Guardian*, has been used. Recent investigations published in US National Security Briefing Book No. 201 claim that the number was 54. This report is available at [http://www.gwu.edu/~nsarchiv/NSAEBB/NSAEBB201/](http://www.gwu.edu/~nsarchiv/NSAEBB/NSAEBB201/).

Due to the sensitive nature of such rumours, the identity of individuals harbouring such suspicions is not disclosed.
