Interhemispheric connectivity during bimanual isometric force generation
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Long J, Tazoe T, Soteropoulos DS, Perez MA. Interhemispheric connectivity during bimanual isometric force generation. J Neurophysiol 115: 1196–1207, 2016. First published November 4, 2015; doi:10.1152/jn.00876.2015.—Interhemispheric interactions through the corpus callosum play an important role in the control of bimanual forces. However, the extent to which physiological connections between primary motor cortices are modulated during increasing levels of bimanual force generation in intact humans remains poorly understood. Here we studied coherence between electroencephalographic (EEG) signals and the ipsilateral cortical silent period (iSP), two well-known measures of interhemispheric connectivity between motor cortices, during unilateral and bilateral 10%, 40%, and 70% of maximal isometric voluntary contraction (MVC) into index finger abduction. We found that EEG-iSP coherence in the alpha frequency band decreased while the iSP area increased during bilateral compared with unilateral 40% and 70% but not 10% of MVC. Decreases in coherence in the alpha frequency band correlated with increases in the iSP area, and subjects who showed this inverse relation were able to maintain more steady bilateral muscle contractions. To further examine the relationship between the iSP and coherence we electrically stimulated the ulnar nerve at the wrist at the alpha frequency. Electrical stimulation increased coherence in the alpha frequency band and decreased the iSP area during bilateral 70% of MVC. Altogether, our findings demonstrate an inverse relation between alpha oscillations and the iSP during strong levels of bimanual force generation. We suggest that interactions between neural pathways mediating alpha oscillatory activity and transcallosal inhibition between motor cortices might contribute to the steadiness of strong bilateral isometric muscle contractions in intact humans.
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Animal studies showed that static bimanual force generation involves activity-dependent adaptations in both primary motor cortices (Murthy and Fetz 1996; Soteropoulos et al. 2011). In agreement, electrophysiological studies in humans using transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) demonstrated that isometric bilateral force generation changes the excitability of corticospinal and cortico-cortical projections compared with unilateral force (Sohn et al. 2003; Soteropoulos and Perez 2011; Yedimenko and Perez 2010). Neuroimaging (Theorin and Johansson 2007) and cortex-muscle coherence (Kilner et al. 2003; Perez et al. 2012) studies also revealed that both motor cortices showed distinct changes in activity during bimanual compared with unilateral isometric forces. Although it is well accepted that interhemispheric interactions between motor cortices through the corpus callosum play an important role in the control of bimanual forces (Carson 2005; Diedrichsen et al. 2003; Giovannelli et al. 2009; Perez et al. 2014; Tazoe et al. 2013), the extent to which physiological connections between motor cortices are modulated during increasing levels of bimanual force generation remains poorly understood.

The combination of electroencephalographic (EEG) recordings and physiological circuits tested by TMS has provided a means to examine activity in overlapping neuronal populations (Farzan et al. 2013; Paus et al. 2001). We tested two well-known measures of interhemispheric connectivity, coherence between EEG signals in sensorimotor cortices (Andrew and Pfurtscheller 1996; Serrien et al. 2003) and the ipsilateral cortical silent period (iSP; Ferbert et al. 1992). EEG-iSP coherence (Pfurtscheller and Lopes Da Silva 1999) and the iSP (Boroojerdi et al. 1996) are thought to be mediated by long axons passing through the corpus callosum, with a net result coming from coupling between motor cortices and other cortical areas and their interaction with excitatory and inhibitory cortical circuits. EEG-iSP coherence between sensorimotor cortices in the alpha frequency band and the iSP have been associated with the size and integrity of the corpus callosum (Meyer et al. 1995; Okumura et al. 2013; Stancak et al. 2002; Teipel et al. 2009). Also, studies showed that oscillations between sensorimotor cortices in the alpha frequency band (Abdul-latif et al. 2004; Svoboda et al. 2002) and the iSP (Fling and Seidler 2012; Soteropoulos and Perez 2011) are sensitive to detect changes during strong levels of force generation. Thus we hypothesized that increasing levels of bilateral isometric forces will change interhemispheric EEG-iSP coherence in the alpha frequency band in association with the iSP. Because alpha oscillatory activity (Haegens et al. 2011; Mehrkanoon et al. 2014) and the iSP (Tazoe and Perez 2013) might play a role in error corrections and in suppressing task-irrelevant activity, we also expected that modulation of these physiological interactions will relate to the ability to maintain steady muscle contractions. Evidence showed that interhemispheric interactions between motor cortices can be modulated by somatosensory inputs (Swain et al. 2006). Therefore, to further examine the relationship between coherence and the iSP during increasing levels of bimanual forces we used electrical stimulation of a peripheral nerve because alpha oscillations (Budini et al. 2014) and interhemispheric inhibition measured by TMS (Tsutsumi et al. 2012) can be modulated by similar afferent inputs.

METHODS

Subjects. Sixteen healthy volunteers (8 men, 8 women; 25.6 ± 1.3 yr old, 14 right handed) were included in the study. All subjects gave their informed consent to the experimental procedures, which were
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approved by the local ethics committee at the University of Miami. The study was performed according to the guidelines established in the Declaration of Helsinki. Previous studies reported that there is between-subject variance in the magnitude of coherence and iSP measurements (Mima et al. 2000; Perez et al. 2012, 2014; Ushiyama et al. 2011). Therefore, subjects were preselected out of a total of 25 individuals who were screened to ensure that they showed interhemispheric EEG-EEG coherence between sensorimotor cortices at rest and a visible iSP in the first dorsal interosseous (FDI) muscle during unilateral 10% of maximal isometric voluntary contraction (MVC). This allowed us to measure changes in EEG-EEG coherence and the iSP during our different experimental conditions.

**Recordings.** Electromyographic (EMG) activity was recorded bilaterally from FDI muscles by surface electrodes (Ag-AgCl; 10-mm diameter) secured to the skin over the belly of each muscle. For measurements of coherence, EEG activity was recorded from sensorimotor cortices bilaterally with pairs of adhesive Ag-AgCl electrodes positioned 3 cm lateral and 2 cm anterior or posterior to the vertex (Perez et al. 2012; Fig. 1B). These locations correspond to regions C3 and C4 areas and Cz in the 10–20 system. EEG from each side was derived from a differential recording between the electrode pair on that side; the anterior electrode was connected to the noninverting input of the amplifier. Signals were amplified and filtered (EMG: gain 500–2,000, band pass 30 Hz–2 kHz; EEG: gain 50K, band pass 3 Hz–2 kHz). EMG together with force signals were sampled at 1,000 Hz, while EEG was sampled with 5,000 Hz (Spike2 and Signal software, CED). We examined motor output steadiness by measuring the coefficient of variation of the rectified EMG and force amplitude (Graziadio et al. 2010) in the FDI muscle during unilateral and bilateral 10%, 40%, and 70% of MVC. The stability indexes of EMG (sEMG) and force (sForce) were estimated as follows:

\[
s_{\text{EMG}} = 1 - \frac{SD(\text{EMG}_{\text{Rectified}})}{\text{mean}(\text{EMG}_{\text{Rectified}})}
\]

\[
s_{\text{Force}} = 1 - \frac{SD(\text{Force})}{\text{mean}(\text{Force})}
\]

**Experimental paradigm.** Subjects were seated with both arms flexed at the elbow by 90° with the forearm pronated and the wrist restrained by straps. The left and right index fingers were attached to custom two-axis load cells, which measured the forces exerted by the subject (Fig. 1A). At the start of the experiment, subjects performed two or three brief MVCs (3–5 s) with the index finger into abduction, separated by 60 s. The maximal forces were used to set targets for subsequent submaximal contractions. During maximal contractions subjects were verbally encouraged to perform maximally and visual feedback was provided (Gandevia 2001). All subjects participated in two testing sessions. In one session we assessed EEG-EEG coherence between sensorimotor cortices, and in the other session we assessed the iSP at rest and during unilateral and bilateral index finger abduction at 10%, 40%, and 70% of MVC in a randomized order (Fig. 1, C and D). Custom software was written to acquire signals from load cells to display visual feedback corresponding to 10%, 40%, and 70% of MVC in real time (LabVIEW). Subjects were instructed to perform unilateral or bilateral forces by controlling one or two cursors on a computer monitor to a target line displaying the force target. Additional verbal feedback was provided to the subjects to ensure that both hands performed the correct task at all times. Note that unilateral index finger abduction was performed with the nondominant hand. Four sets were tested with 5- to 10-min intervals. Each set consisted of 10 trials per condition; each trial lasted 4 s, with 15 s of rest between trials. Thus subjects performed 40 trials in each condition in a randomized order.

**EEG-EEG coherence.** As the iSP measures interhemispheric inhibition with directionality, we examine functional coupling between sensorimotor cortices by using EEG-EEG directed coherence (Kamiński and Blinowska 1991). We measured EEG-EEG directed coherence from the nondominant to the nondominant hemisphere in the alpha (8–13 Hz) and beta (13–30 Hz) frequency bands across conditions. At rest, EEG-EEG coherence in the alpha frequency band (mean across subjects = 0.13 ± 0.01, range across subjects: 0.049–0.23) was larger than coherence in the beta frequency band (mean across subjects = 0.067 ± 0.005, range across subjects: 0.038–0.11; P < 0.001). Similarly, normalized resting EEG power in the nondominant (P < 0.01) and dominant (P < 0.01) hemispheres was larger in the alpha compared with the beta frequency band. Since individuals performed isometric unilateral and bilateral index finger abduction for 4 s, we confined the analysis to the last 2 s of the hold phase of the task (see shaded area in Fig. 2A). The EEG data were also visually inspected to reject trials with eye movements or excessive muscle artifacts, and data were downsampled to 500 Hz. A total of 5.4 ± 4.7 trials in which eye movements or excessive muscle artifacts were detected in EEG recording were excluded from further analysis. EEG-EEG directed coherence was also measured from the nondominant to the dominant side to make comparisons across hemispheres as needed.

For EEG-EEG directed coherence calculation, two nonoverlapping 500-time point segments (corresponding to a time period of 2 s extending back from the end of trial) were taken from each trial and processed by an autoregressive (AR) model with its order selected by the Bayesian information criterion (Schwarz 1978). As in Witham et al. (2011), directed coherence (DC) was calculated by using the averaged AR model coefficient and normalized as suggested by Geweke (1982):
the causal influence of signal d on signal n and signal n on itself, respectively. $C_{kk}$ (i.e., $k = d$ or $n$) is the covariance of the noise innovations of signal $k$ in the AR model, and $*$ denotes complex conjugation. Direct coherence was estimated for each subject in each condition at frequency bands between 8 and 13 Hz and between 13 and 30 Hz. The significance level for the directed coherence was calculated as follows (Baker et al. 2006):

$$Z = 1 - 0.05^{(L-1)}$$

where $L$ is the total number of nonoverlapping sections and the directed coherence was considered significant ($P < 0.05$) if it was greater than $Z$. Several bins would be expected to be above the significance limit by chance. Therefore, the significant effect of the directed coherence was examined by using the binomial distribution to estimate the minimum number of frequency bins required to above the significance level (Witham et al. 2011). In this case, the directed coherence was considered significant if the total number of points above the significance level was more than 2 and 4 in the alpha and beta frequency bands separately. EEG-EEG coherence was not present at lower 1–2 Hz (coherence was lower than $Z, P < 0.05$) and higher 500–510 Hz (coherence was lower than $Z, P < 0.05$) frequency bands. EEG power was normalized to the total power (summed over the alpha and beta frequencies) obtained at rest. This allowed an estimate of the proportion of power contributed by a given frequency band; fixing the reference as the rest condition made changes in power easier to interpret. Mean EEG-EEG directed coherence at each frequency band at each condition was expressed as percentage of the coherence measured at rest in each subject.

**TMS.** TMS pulses were delivered from a Magstim Rapid® stimulator (Magstim) through a figure-eight coil with its handle pointing 45° away from the midline. During testing the TMS coil was held to the head of the subject with a custom coil holder, with the head secured with straps against a headrest to restrict movements. TMS measurements included resting motor threshold (RMT) and the iSP. The RMT was defined as the minimum intensity that evoked motor evoked potentials (MEPs) of at least 50 $\mu$V in peak-to-peak amplitude in at least half of 10 consecutive trials in the relaxed FDI (Rothwell et al. 1999).

**iSP.** The iSP was measured with a previously standardized method (Trompetto et al. 2004). It was recorded in the nondominant FDI while the dominant motor cortex was stimulated when subjects performed unilateral and bilateral index finger abduction at 10%, 40%, and 70% of MVC. At the start of each experiment, the intensity of TMS was adjusted to produce a visible iSP without a previous threshold of 15.8% of RMT) when subjects were stimulated the ulnar nerve at 8 Hz but increased the number of pulses (8 pulses/frame in 101 frames, total 808 pulses) to match the number of pulses given at 30 Hz.

**Statistical analysis.** Two-way repeated-measures ANOVAs were performed to determine the effect of FORCE (10%, 40%, 70% of MVC) and CONDITION (unilateral, bilateral) on mean alpha and beta EEG-EEG coherence and mean normalized EEG power. The same analysis was performed to determine the effect of HAND (dominant, nondominant) and FORCE on mean rectified EMG activity, sEMG, and sForce using the resting condition in the comparisons as needed. One-way repeated-measures ANOVAs were completed to examine the effect of FORCE on mean alpha and beta EEG-EEG coherence and mean normalized EEG power during unilateral contractions and at each contraction level and also to examine the effect of FORCE on the onset, duration, and iSP area. The same analysis was used to determine the effect of STIMULATION (8 Hz, 30 Hz, no stimulation) on mean alpha and beta EEG-EEG coherence at rest and the iSP area during bilateral index finger abduction at 70% of MVC. A post hoc Tukey test was used to test for significant comparisons. In addition, two-way repeated-measures ANOVAs were performed to determine the effect of FORCE and SIDE (dominant to nondominant, nondominant to dominant) during bilateral trials on alpha and beta EEG-EEG coherence and the iSP. Pearson correlation analysis was used as needed. Bonferroni corrected for multiple comparisons. To further examine the relationship between physiological measures and motor performance, multiple regression analyses were conducted. At each force level, we used changes in sEMG across conditions as the dependent variable and changes in iSP and EEG-EEG coherence across conditions as independent variables. Significant predictions on
estimated regression were determined only when variance inflation factors were <5. Significance was set at $P < 0.05$, and group data are presented as means ± SD in the text.

RESULTS

EMG. Figure 2, A and B, illustrate data from a single representative subject during bilateral index finger voluntary contraction of the FDI muscle. In this subject, the mean rectified EMG activity increased in the nondominant (Fig. 2A) and dominant (Fig. 2B) hand while performing 10%, 40%, and 70% of MVC; the gray bars show the region over which the coherence analysis was completed. During unilateral contractions, we found an effect of FORCE [$F_{(2,15)} = 188.2, P < 0.001$] on mean rectified FDI EMG activity. Post hoc testing showed that mean rectified EMG activity increased during 40% and 70% compared with 10% of MVC (10% = 13.9 ± 6.7, 40% = 34.7 ± 11.1, 70% = 53.5 ± 11.3; $P < 0.001$). Mean rectified EMG activity was also increased at 70% compared with 40% of MVC ($P < 0.001$). We also found an effect of FORCE [$F_{(2,15)} = 146.2, P < 0.001$] but not HAND [$F_{(1,15)} = 0.3, P = 0.6$] or their interaction [$F_{(2,30)} = 0.9, P = 0.4$] on mean rectified FDI EMG activity during bilateral contractions [nondominant hand: 10% = 12.9 ± 7.3, 40% = 33.9 ± 11.4, 70% = 54.5 ± 16.7% of MVC, $P < 0.001$ (Fig. 2C); dominant hand: 10% = 15.9 ± 7.9, 40% = 36.8 ± 11.6, 70% = 55.8 ± 12.8% of MVC, $P < 0.001$ (Fig. 2D)] hand. EMG activity was also larger during 70% compared with 40% of MVC in both hands ($P < 0.001$).

To examine motor output steadiness we measured the sEMG and sForce across conditions. We found an effect of FORCE [$F_{(2,15)} = 3.4, P = 0.04$], CONDITION [$F_{(1,15)} = 13.4, P = 0.002$], and their interaction [$F_{(2,30)} = 3.7, P = 0.03$] on sEMG during bilateral compared with unilateral contractions. Here, the sEMG decreased during bilateral (10% = 0.77 ± 0.006, 40% = 0.79 ± 0.006, 70% = 0.81 ± 0.005) compared with unilateral (10% = 0.77 ± 0.007, 40% = 0.76 ± 0.01, 70% = 0.78 ± 0.006) contractions at 40% and 70% ($P < 0.001$) but not 10% ($P = 0.2$) of MVC. No changes in sEMG were observed between 70% and 40% of MVC ($P = 0.3$). Similarly, sForce decreased during bilateral (10% = 0.86 ± 0.02, 40% = 0.88 ± 0.01, 70% = 0.91 ± 0.01) compared with unilateral (10% = 0.87 ± 0.012, 40% = 0.91 ± 0.01, 70% = 0.94 ± 0.01) contractions at 40% ($P = 0.01$) and 70% ($P = 0.002$) but not 10% ($P = 0.6$) of MVC.

EEG-EEG coherence. Figure 3, A–C, illustrate the population mean EEG-EEG coherence measured from the dominant to nondominant sensorimotor cortex in all subjects tested. Note that coherence in the alpha frequency band decreased to a larger extent during bilateral compared with unilateral contraction at 40% and 70% of MVC, whereas coherence in the beta

Fig. 2. Electromyographic (EMG) recordings. A and B: in a single representative subject, mean rectified EMG activity in the nondominant (A) and dominant (B) hand performing bilateral fingers abduction of 10% (red), 40% (green), and 70% (blue) of MVC is shown. Gray bars mark the region over which coherence analysis was performed. C and D: group data (n = 16) showing mean rectified EMG activity (expressed as % of MVC) during bilateral index finger abduction in the nondominant hand (C) and the dominant hand (D). Error bars indicate SEs. *$P < 0.05$. 
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frequency band remained similar across conditions. See results for EEG-EEG coherence during unilateral contractions in Table 1.

Repeated-measures ANOVA showed an effect of FORCE [$F_{(2,15)} = 12.4, P < 0.001$], CONDITION [$F_{(1,15)} = 8.4, P = 0.01$], and their interaction [$F_{(2,30)} = 5.1, P = 0.01$] on normalized mean EEG-EEG coherence from the dominant to the nondominant hemisphere in the alpha band. Post hoc testing showed a decrease in coherence during bilateral compared with unilateral contractions at 40% (unilateral = 93.8 ± 5.5, bilateral = 77.8 ± 6.0, $P < 0.001$; Fig. 3, B and E) and 70% (unilateral = 86.9 ± 3.7, bilateral = 64.1 ± 5.1, $P < 0.001$; Fig. 3, C and F) but not 10% (unilateral = 97.5 ± 7.1, bilateral = 95.6 ± 5.1, $P = 0.7$; Fig. 3, A and D) of MVC. We found no differences in EEG-EEG coherence in the alpha band at 70% and 40% of MVC was similar ($P = 0.2$). The normalized EEG power decreased to a similar extent during 40% and 70% of MVC ($P < 0.01$; Fig. 4A) in the nondominant hemisphere and also decreased during 70% of MVC ($P < 0.01$; Fig. 4C) in the dominant hemisphere. In contrast, we found no effect of FORCE [$F_{(2,15)} = 1.8, P = 0.1$], TASK [$F_{(1,15)} = 1.4, P = 0.3$], or their interaction [$F_{(2,30)} = 0.3, P = 0.7$] on normalized EEG-EEG coherence in the beta frequency band (Fig. 3). However, note that EEG-EEG coherence in the beta band decreased to a larger extent during unilateral and bilateral contractions at 40% ($P < 0.001$) and 70% ($P < 0.001$) compared with 10% of MVC without changes in the normalized EEG power.

We also examined EEG-EEG coherence from the nondominant to the dominant hemisphere during the same motor tasks.
Table 1.  
Table 1.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coherence</th>
<th>Rest</th>
<th>10%</th>
<th>40%</th>
<th>70%</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8–13 Hz</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13–30 Hz</td>
<td>0.067 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.061 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.057 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.056 ± 0.004</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power in dominant M1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8–13 Hz</td>
<td>12.4 ± 0.76</td>
<td>11.2 ± 0.74</td>
<td>10.9 ± 0.83</td>
<td>10.1 ± 0.79</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13–30 Hz</td>
<td>1.45 ± 0.29</td>
<td>1.21 ± 0.24</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.17</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.18</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power in nondominant M1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8–13 Hz</td>
<td>12.2 ± 0.75</td>
<td>11.4 ± 0.84</td>
<td>11.1 ± 0.88</td>
<td>10.5 ± 0.81</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13–30 Hz</td>
<td>1.31 ± 0.25</td>
<td>1.11 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.94 ± 0.14</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SD. M1, primary motor cortex.

Repeated-measures ANOVA showed an effect of FORCE $[F_{(2,15)} = 12.7, P < 0.001]$ but not CONDITION $[F_{(1,15)} = 1.3, P = 0.2]$ and an effect of their interaction $[F_{(2,30)} = 3.5, P = 0.04]$ on normalized EEG-EEG coherence in the alpha frequency band. Here coherence decreased during bilateral (10% = 93.3 ± 5.7, 40% = 85.9 ± 5.3, and 70% = 69.9 ± 3.0) compared with unilateral (10% = 94.5 ± 5.1, 40% = 85.9 ± 3.2, and 70% = 83.4 ± 2.9) contraction at 70% ($P = 0.02$) but not at 10% ($P = 0.6$) and 40% ($P = 0.1$) of MVC. Note that, as before, we also found no changes in beta band coherence across force levels $[F_{(2,15)} = 1.4, P = 0.2]$ and conditions $[F_{(1,15)} = 3.1, P = 0.1]$. In addition, we compared directional differences in coherence across hemispheres during increasing levels of MVC in bilateral trials. Repeated-measures ANOVA showed an effect of FORCE [alpha: $F_{(2,15)} = 38.2, P < 0.001$; beta: $F_{(2,15)} = 1.5, P = 0.2$] but not SIDE [alpha: $F_{(1,15)} = 0.4$, $P = 0.5$; beta: $F_{(1,15)} = 0.6$, $P = 0.4$] or their interaction [alpha: $F_{(2,30)} = 3.5, P = 0.2$; beta: $F_{(2,30)} = 0.2$, $P = 0.8$] on normalized EEG-EEG coherence in the alpha and beta frequency bands, suggesting that the magnitude of coherence from the nondominant to dominant and from dominant to nondominant sensorimotor cortex was similar across increasing levels of force.

iSP. Figure 5A illustrates examples of the iSP, measured from the dominant to the nondominant motor cortex, elicited in the FDI muscle during unilateral and bilateral contractions in a representative participant. Note that the area of the iSP was increased during bilateral compared with unilateral contraction at 40% and 70% of MVC.

Repeated-measures ANOVA showed an effect of FORCE $[F_{(2,15)} = 13.8, P < 0.001]$, CONDITION $[F_{(1,15)} = 8.8, P = 0.01]$, and their interaction $[F_{(2,30)} = 10.4, P < 0.001]$ on the iSP area (Fig. 5B). The iSP area increased during bilateral compared with unilateral contraction at 40% (unilateral = 42.5 ± 12.7%, bilateral = 50.8 ± 16.1%; $P < 0.001$) and 70% (unilateral = 50.0 ± 14.3%, bilateral = 57.1 ± 16.4%; $P < 0.001$) of MVC. No differences were found in the iSP area between 40% and 70% of MVC ($P = 0.7$). When considering individual subjects, 12 of 16 showed an increase in the area of the iSP during bilateral compared with unilateral contraction at

---

**Fig. 4. EEG power.** Graphs show the normalized mean EEG-EEG power in the left (non-domin., A and B) and dominant (dom., C and D) hemisphere in all conditions tested in the alpha (8–13 Hz, A and C) and beta (13–30 Hz, B and D) frequency bands. x-Axis shows the MVC tested (10%, 40%, and 70% of MVC). y-Axis shows normalized mean EEG-EEG power expressed as % of mean EEG-EEG power at each frequency band tested at rest. Horizontal dashed line shows the EEG power at each frequency band at rest. Error bars indicate SEs. *$P < 0.05$.**
When tested at rest, repeated-measures ANOVA showed an effect of stimula-
tion on the EEG-EEG coherence in the alpha \( F_{(2,4)} = 28.6, P < 0.001 \) and beta \( F_{(2,4)} = 7.8, P = 0.01 \) frequency bands (Fig. 7B). Here, stimulation at 8 Hz increased coherence in the alpha band by 30.1 \( \pm 10.3 \% \) compared with no stimulation without changing coherence in the beta band, whereas stimulation at 30 Hz increased coherence in the beta band by 15.4 \( \pm 5.9 \% \) compared with no stimulation without changing coherence in the alpha band. Repeated-measures ANOVA showed an effect of stimulation \( F_{(2,9)} = 9.7, P = 0.001 \) on the iSP area during bilateral 70\% of MVC. Our results revealed that the iSP area decreased during stimulation at 8 Hz (8 Hz = 37.4 \( \pm 4.7 \% \), 30 Hz = 42.5 \( \pm 5.5 \% \), no stimulation = 43.7 \( \pm 7.8 \% \); \( P = 0.002 \)) but not at 30 Hz compared with no stimulation \( (P = 0.7) \). No effects of the stimulation at 8 Hz and 30 Hz were found on the FDI MEP size on the dominant FDI during bilateral abduction of 70\% of MVC compared with no stimulation \( F_{(2,9)} = 1.4, P = 0.3 \); Fig. 7, E and F; Table 2].

**DISCUSSION**

Our results demonstrate an inverse relation between alpha oscillations and the iSP during strong levels of bimanual force generation in intact humans. Specifically, we found that EEG-EEG coherence between sensorimotor cortices in the alpha frequency band decreased during bilateral compared with unilateral 40\% and 70\% but not 10\% of MVC, whereas the iSP area increased during bilateral compared with unilateral 40\% and 70\% but not 10\% of MVC. Notably, decreases in coherence in the alpha band were associated with increases in the iSP area during high force levels, and subjects who showed this inverse relation were able to maintain more steady bilateral muscle contractions. Electrical stimulation of the ulnar nerve at the wrist at the alpha frequency increased coherence in the alpha band and decreased the iSP area during 70\% of MVC. We propose that inverse interactions between neural pathways mediating alpha oscillatory activity and transcallosal inhibition between motor cortices might contribute to the steadiness of strong bilateral isometric muscle contractions.
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**Interhemispheric communication during bimanual force generation.** It is well accepted that interactions between motor cortices during bimanual force generation take place, at least in part, through the corpus callosum (Carson 2005; Diedrichsen et al. 2003; Giovannelli et al. 2009; Perez et al. 2014; Soteropoulos and Perez 2011; Tazoe et al. 2013; Yedimenko and Perez 2010). Thus we measured EEG-EEG coherence between sensorimotor cortices to examine interhemispheric communication (Andrew and Pfurtscheller 1996; Serrien et al. 2003, 2004) during increasing levels of bimanual force. Our findings that EEG-EEG coherence decreased during bilateral compared with unilateral force at 40% and 70% of MVC in the alpha but not the beta frequency band agree with evidence suggesting that interhemispheric interactions at these frequency bands serve distinct functions (Brinkman et al. 2014) and are related to separate functional networks (Hari and Salmelin 1997). This also agrees with previous studies showing that coherence between sensorimotor cortices in the alpha band is sensitive to detect changes during strong levels of force generation (Abdul-latif et al. 2004; Svoboda et al. 2002). The decrease in coherence in the alpha but not the beta band might be related to a lesser synchronization between motor cortical networks during strong voluntary contractions (Kristeva et al. 2007; Perez et al. 2012). This is supported by the decrease in EEG spectral power that we observed in the alpha but not the beta band in both hemispheres during high force levels. Since the beta rhythm is associated with motor cortical function (Baker 2007; Brown 2000), it is intriguing that beta coherence did not change during increasing levels of bilateral compared with unilateral force. However, it is important to consider that most associations of the beta rhythm with motor cortical function have been demon-strated for EEG-EMG coherence (Brown 2000). Indeed, some differences have been reported between EEG-EEG and EEG-EMG coherence at these different frequency bands. For example, alpha and beta EEG oscillations are largely detected from the hand post-Rolandic somatosensory area and the pre-
Rolandiic motor area, respectively (Pfurtscheller and Lopes Da Silva 1999), whereas EEG-EMG coherence is usually absent in the alpha (Baker et al. 2003) and present in the beta (Baker 2007) frequency band when electrodes are positioned at similar locations. Although the magnitude of beta band coherence was similar during unilateral and bilateral increasing force levels, the overall magnitude of beta band coherence decreased during 40% and 70% compared with 10% of MVC. This is consistent with previous evidence showing a progressive reduction in beta band coherence in the sensorimotor cortex contralateral to a hand performing increasing levels of force (Perez et al. 2012) and agrees with evidence showing that EEG-EEG coherence in the beta band changes with increasing task demands (Serrien et al. 2004).

We also measured the iSP to examine interhemispheric communication between motor cortices during increasing levels of bimanual force. It is thought that the iSP measures transcallosal inhibition from the stimulated to the contralateral motor cortex (Ferbert et al. 1992; Meyer et al. 1995; Trompetto et al. 2004), and a transcallosal route of the iSP has been
supported by an absent or delayed iSP in patients with agenesis of the corpus callosum (Meyer et al. 1995). We found that the iSP area increased during bilateral compared with unilateral forces at 40% and 70% of MVC. This agrees with findings showing that the magnitude of iSP increased during bilateral compared with unilateral voluntary contractions (Giovannelli et al. 2009; Perez et al. 2014; Soteropoulos and Perez 2011; Yedimenko and Perez 2010). We also found that the iSP remained similar during unilateral and bilateral contractions at 10% of MVC in agreement with previous results (Fling and Seidler 2012). Indeed, some studies reported that stronger contractions by one arm are needed to detect differences in the magnitude of the iSP during bilateral forces (Perez et al. 2014; Soteropoulos and Perez 2011; Yedimenko and Perez 2010). Altogether, our results show an inverse modulation of alpha oscillations and transcortical inhibition between motor cortices during strong levels of bilateral isometric muscle contractions.

An intriguing question is whether changes in coherence in the alpha frequency band and the iSP interact, at least to some extent, during strong levels of bilateral isometric force. Some of our results support this possibility. First, we found that changes in the iSP area were negatively correlated with changes in coherence in the alpha band at 40% and 70% of MVC. The correlations found between EEG-EEG coherence in the alpha frequency band and the iSP at stronger levels of force suggest that changes in EEG-EEG coherence reflect changes in cortical interactions. It has been shown that TMS has direct access to the circuitry in the motor cortex involved in the generation of oscillations of corticospinal cells (Hansen and Nielsen 2004). Thus our results, as previous findings (Baker and Baker 2003), indicate that inhibitory cortical circuits might have an effect on the modulation of cortical oscillations. Second, we found that both the magnitude of the iSP and EEG-EEG coherence in the alpha band remained similar during 40% and 70% of MVC. Evidence showed that the firing rate of motor cortical cells (Evarts et al. 1983; Maier et al. 1993) and BOLD signal from motor cortex (Dettmers et al. 1995) saturated at high force levels. Thus a lack of modulation in both measurements at similar levels of force might be in part related to a ceiling effect during bilateral contractions, suggesting that these processes might undergo parallel changes. The lack of changes in EEG-EEG coherence at both frequencies during bilateral compared with unilateral 10% of MVC agrees with previous findings showing no changes in coherence (Andre et al. 1999; Serrien et al. 2004) and oscillatory activity (Murthy and Fetz 1996) between sensorimotor cortices at similar low force levels. Third, we found that the iSP area measured during bilateral 70% of MVC increased with electrical stimulation at 8 Hz but not at 30 Hz. We used electrical stimulation of afferent fibers as a source modulator since alpha oscillations (Budini et al. 2014) and interhemispheric inhibition measured by TMS (Tsutsumi et al. 2012) are changed by similar peripheral afferent inputs. The fact that the same stimulation paradigm increased alpha coherence and decreased the iSP also supports the view of possible interactions between neural pathways mediating these effects. It is important to consider that coherence between EEG channels spaced <10–12 cm apart might contain contributions due to volume conduction (Mima and Hallett 1999; Nunez et al. 1997). Although in our study to decrease this effect EEG signals were recorded with bipolar electrodes 4 cm apart (Nunez et al. 1997) and EEG-EEG coherence was absent at lower (1–2 Hz) and higher (500–510 Hz) frequencies (Winter et al. 2007), we cannot completely exclude the possibility that changes in volume conduction affected our results.

Functional significance. Despite the undoubted importance of callosal pathways in interhemispheric communication, their functional role during bimanual force generation remains largely unknown. A possibility is that strong transcortical inhibition between motor cortices could represent a mechanism to suppress neural cross talk (Rokni et al. 2003) in EEG signals when executing simultaneous movements with both hands. Another possibility is that during strong bilateral forces more motoneurons are active and an increase in the iSP could help to prevent unwanted muscle activity to match the desired level of effort. On one hand, studies have proposed that changes in oscillations in the alpha frequency band might have a role in error corrections (Mehrkanoon et al. 2014) and suppressing task-irrelevant neuronal processing (Haegens et al. 2011). On the other hand, it has been proposed that changes in interhemispheric inhibition between motor cortices might contribute to suppress unwanted EMG activity (Cincotta and Ziemann 2008) and to suppress task-irrelevant activity during specific types of finger movements (Tazoe and Perez 2013). Thus it is tempting to speculate that both measurements could be linked in a functional manner since subjects who showed decreases in coherence in the alpha band and increases in the iSP were able to maintain more steady strong muscle contractions. However, caution must be taken in extrapolating these results to bilateral functions since correlations do not imply causality and previous evidence showed that interactions between actively moving arms and those obtained during isometric contractions differ (Carson 1995; Carson et al. 1994). Regardless of the interpretation of these results, the lack of directional differences in the magnitude of alpha band coherence and the iSP in our study favors the view that these mechanisms might contribute to general aspects of bimanual force generation.

Table 2. iSP during 70% of MVC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No Stimulation</th>
<th>8 Hz</th>
<th>30 Hz</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Onset, ms</td>
<td>34.7 ± 2.7</td>
<td>34.2 ± 1.9</td>
<td>33.5 ± 2.9</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration, ms</td>
<td>25.4 ± 5.2</td>
<td>26.8 ± 6.8</td>
<td>27.5 ± 5.4</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEP, mV</td>
<td>6.5 ± 1.9</td>
<td>6.1 ± 1.8</td>
<td>6.2 ± 2.3</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norm. area, %</td>
<td>43.7 ± 7.8</td>
<td>37.4 ± 4.7</td>
<td>42.5 ± 5.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SD. iSP, ipsilateral cortical silent period; MVC, maximal isometric voluntary contraction; MEP, motor evoked potential.

Supported by an absent or delayed iSP in patients with agenesis of the corpus callosum (Meyer et al. 1995).
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