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**ABSTRACT**

Fructose uptake in the soil bacterium *Pseudomonas putida* occurs through a canonical phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP)-dependent sugar transport system (PTSFru). The logic of the genetic circuit that rules its functioning is puzzling: the transcription of the *fruBKA* operon, encoding all the components of PTSFru, can escape the repression exerted by the catabolite repressor/activator protein Cra solely in the presence of intracellular fructose-1-P, an agonist formed only when fructose has been already transported. To study this apparently incongruous regulatory architecture, the changes in the transcriptome brought about by a seamless Δcra deletion in *P. putida* strain KT2440 were inspected under different culture conditions. The few genes found to be upregulated in the cra mutant unexpectedly included PP_3443, encoding a bona fide glyceraldehyde-3-P dehydrogenase. An in silico model was developed to explore emergent properties that could result from such connections between sugar uptake with Cra and PEP. Simulation of fructose transport revealed that sugar uptake called for an extra supply of PEP (obtained through the activity of PP_3443) that was kept (i.e., memorized) even when the carbohydrate disappeared from the medium. This feature was traced to the action of two sequential inverters that connect the availability of exogenous fructose to intracellular PEP levels via Cra/PP_3443. The loss of such memory caused a much longer lag phase in cells shifted from one growth condition to another. The term “metabolic widget” is proposed to describe a merged biochemical and regulatory patch that tailors a given node of the cell molecular network to suit species-specific physiological needs.

**IMPORTANCE**

The regulatory nodes that govern metabolic traffic in bacteria often show connectivities that could be deemed unnecessarily complex at a first glance. Being a soil dweller and plant colonizer, *Pseudomonas putida* frequently encounters fructose in the niches that it inhabits. As is the case with many other sugars, fructose is internalized by a dedicated phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP)-dependent transport system (PTSFru), the expression of which is repressed by the fructose-1-P-responding Cra regulatory protein. However, Cra also controls a glyceraldehyde-3-P dehydrogenase that fosters accumulation of PEP (i.e., the metabolic fuel for PTSfru). A simple model representing this metabolic and regulatory device revealed that such an unexpected connectivity allows cells to shift smoothly between fructose-rich and fructose-poor conditions. Therefore, although the metabolic networks that handle sugar (i.e., fructose) consumption look very similar in most eubacteria, the way in which their components are intertwined endows given microorganisms with emergent properties for meeting species-specific and niche-specific needs.
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The diversity of nutrient transport mechanisms and the way that their intake is coupled to specific metabolic demands are one of the most puzzling features in environmental bacteria (1–3). While it is tempting to attribute such a diversity and complexity to the mere evolutionary history of the bacteria at stake, some scenarios are conspicuously perplexing and suggest that some adaptive advantage of the corresponding molecular architectures has shaped the cognate uptake processes (4, 5). For instance, glucose (Glu) and fructose (Fru) are both transported in *Escherichia coli* through the general phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP)-dependent sugar transport system (PTS) (6–8). This transport device separately phosphorylates the two sugars as a step prior to their import in a fashion ultimately controlled by the intracellular PEP/pyruvate (Pyr) ratio. In this way, an internal metabolic signal (i.e., the PEP/Pyr ratio) propagates into instructing the PTS to either increase or restrict sugar intake (9). In contrast, the soil bacterium *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 has a non-PTS transport mechanism for Glu (which can follow either phosphorylation of the hexose or its oxidation to organic acids [10]), while Fru is captured through a dedicated PTSFru (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material) (11–13). This Fru-only transport system involves two unique polyproteins: EI/HPr/EIIA (cytoplasmic, encoded by *fruB* (fructose-specific EI/HPr/EIIA component of the PTS), fruK (1-phosphofructokinase), and *fruA* (fructose-specific subunit IIIC of the PTS). Note that while the *cra* (i.e., *fruR*) gene lies far away from the *fruBKA* gene cluster in *E. coli*, the corresponding orthologue in strain KT2440 forms part of the same genomic locus but located in a divergent fashion. (B) The canonical *E. coli* consensus sequence for the binding of Cra to DNA was retrieved from the PRODORIC database (53, 54). Note that this sequence forms an incomplete palindrome in which the left half-site is considerably better conserved than the right half-site (the Cra binding sites of *P. putida* examined in this work are closer to a perfect palindrome; see below).

**FIG 1** Genomic organization of the *fruBKA* locus in *Escherichia coli* K-12 and *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 and structure of the Cra binding site. (A) Conserved genomic organization of the *fruBKA* operon in enterobacteria and in *P. putida*. This gene cluster encompasses *fruB* (fructose-specific EI/HPr/EIIA component of the PTS), *fruK* (1-phosphofructokinase), and *fruA* (fructose-specific subunit IIIC of the PTS). Note that while the *cra* (i.e., *fruR*) gene lies far away from the *fruBKA* gene cluster in *E. coli*, the corresponding orthologue in strain KT2440 forms part of the same genomic locus but located in a divergent fashion. (B) The canonical *E. coli* consensus sequence for the binding of Cra to DNA was retrieved from the PRODORIC database (53, 54). Note that this sequence forms an incomplete palindrome in which the left half-site is considerably better conserved than the right half-site (the Cra binding sites of *P. putida* examined in this work are closer to a perfect palindrome; see below).
the Fru transport systems of *E. coli* and *P. putida* are compared, the same basic constituents are found: *fruB, fruA* (along with a third gene needed for F1P phosphorylation, *fruK*), the Cra repressor, and the phosphorylated forms of Fru (i.e., F1P and FBP) as the effectors. The canonical Cra operator, on the other hand, is well conserved among bacterial species (Fig. 1B) (17, 23). Yet, all these elements appear to be connected differently in terms of functionality, and they seem to respond to different physiological/environmental cues. In fact, the most intriguing aspect of the metabolic architecture in each case is the role of Cra/FruR on the regulation of PTSFru. In enterobacteria, Cra is a transcriptional regulator that controls the expression of a large number of genes in central carbon metabolism (CCM) according to the distribution of glycolytic fluxes (24–26). In contrast, *Cra* <sub>P. putida</sub> strongly binds an operator at the *PfruB* promoter in a fashion exclusively dependent on the intracellular F1P concentration (22). The lack of other *Cra* <sub>P. putida</sub> targets in the chromosome of strain KT2440 is somewhat intriguing considering the plethora of CCM genes regulated by the orthologue in enterobacteria. Furthermore, the regulatory logic of Fru transport in *P. putida* is a rather perplexing one: F1P is needed to relieve the repression exerted by *Cra* <sub>P. putida</sub> on the expression of the *fruBKA* operon, and the expression of this operon is obviously needed for the assembly of the PTS<sup>Fru</sup> complex, without which Fru cannot be transported into the cells. Yet, F1P cannot be generated unless a functional PTS<sup>Fru</sup> is in place and some Fru has been transported to the cytoplasm.

The work described in this contribution was set to clarify such a metabolic conundrum, i.e., why PTS<sup>Fru</sup> of *P. putida* follows such an apparently incongruous regulatory layout. To this end, the consequences of seamlessly deleting *cra* on the cognate whole-genome transcriptome were examined in *P. putida* KT2440 growing under different metabolic regimens. As shown below, a key gene (*PP_3443*) encoding a glyceraldehyde-3-P (GA3P) dehydrogenase (GAPDH) activity was found to be regulated by *Cra* <sub>P. putida</sub>. This new regulatory connection grants *Cra* <sub>P. putida</sub> the control of the overall GAPDH activity through *PP_3443*, thereby regulating the levels of PEP depending on metabolic requirements. Yet, what is the impact of this regulatory pattern on the cell physiology? By modeling a combined metabolic and regulatory circuit *in silico* that emerges from such a new connection, it was discovered that *Cra* <sub>P. putida</sub> regulates the availability of PEP (and therefore the uptake of Fru) through *PP_3443*. Also, the resulting device (which we have called a “metabolic widget”) acts as a memory patch that eases the shift of cells between conditions with different levels of Fru availability. Thus, new and often subtle regulatory connections adjust default and widely shared metabolic networks to specific needs of given bacteria in particular environmental niches. New functionalities in biochemical setups thereby emerge not because of the mere enumeration of the components at stake but owing to novel functional relationships between these elements.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Transcriptional analysis of wild-type *P. putida* KT2440 and its Δ*cra* derivative reveals unexpected regulatory targets. Previous studies have indicated that the Cra protein of *E. coli* and *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium acts as a dual regulator, as it represses the expression of some CCM genes (e.g., *pfkA, pykA, pykF, acnB, edd, eda, mtlADR, and gapB*) and activates the expression of others (e.g., *ppsA, fbp, pckA, acnA, icd, aceA*, and *aceB*) (27–29). As a physiological consequence of the regulation exerted by Cra on multiple genes of CCM in *E. coli*, this regulator controls the carbon flow and ultimately influences the utilization of several substrates (30–32).

The genome of the soil bacterium *P. putida* KT2440 encodes a Cra orthologue whose gene (*cra*, also called *fruR* [Fig. 1A]) is divergently oriented in respect to the *fruBKA* operon. *Cra* <sub>P. putida</sub> binds one quasipalindromic operator (5′-TTA AAC · GTT TCA-3′, Fig. 1B) located between *PfruB* and *fruB*, thereby repressing the expression of the entire *fruBKA* operon in an F1P-dependent fashion. Because other possible regulatory functions for *Cra* <sub>P. putida</sub> remain unknown, the genome-wide transcription landscapes of wild-type and Δ*cra* cells grown on different carbon sources (Glu, succinate [Suc], and...
Fru) were compared by means of deep RNA sequencing (33, 34). We first focused on the transcription of genes encoding components of CCM at the single-nucleotide resolution. In agreement with the results of previous studies, differences were observed in the transcription of the fruBKA operon between wild-type KT2440 and the Δcra mutant (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material). No transcription was observed in Glu- or Suc-grown wild-type cells, while fruBKA was highly transcribed in the Δcra background (i.e., Cra− P. putida represses the expression of components of PTSFru). Wild-type cells grown on Fru represent a control for the results obtained in Glu and Suc as F1P is freely produced under this condition, it binds to Cra− P. putida, and the transcription of PTSFru is derepressed (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material). Yet, what is the situation for other genes of CCM?

No gene related to CCM in P. putida KT2440, belonging to the pathways depicted in Fig. S1 in the supplemental material, seemed to be transcriptionally regulated by Cra− P. putida across the culture conditions tested in this study (data not shown), with the intriguing exception of PP_3443. The expression of PP_3443 was repressed in Suc and Glu and transcribed in strain KT2440, but this pattern changed in the Δcra mutant, in which the gene was highly transcribed under either gluconeogenic or glycolytic growth conditions (Fig. 2A). Since PP_3443 has been recently shown to represent a GAPDH in P. putida growing on different substrates (35), we asked the question whether the transcription of other genes known to encode GAPDH activities could be also under the control of Cra− P. putida (Fig. 2A). PP_0665 was the only GAPDH-encoding gene that did not show any significant level of transcription under all the conditions tested. The gene encoding Gap-1, the main glycolytic GAPDH activity, was expectedly transcribed in Glu- and Fru-grown cells (but not on Suc) irrespective of the presence of Cra− P. putida. In contrast, gap-2 was highly transcribed across the culture conditions and strains tested,
and therefore, its expression seems to be constitutive. When these transcription data were individually analyzed and converted into the corresponding relative expression levels, the effect of Cra on the transcription of PP_3443 became even more evident (Fig. 2B). In particular, the level of expression of this gene increased by 4.4- and 2.4-fold in the Δcra mutant compared to the wild-type strain in Suc and Glu cultures, respectively.

This regulatory pattern on the PP_3443 gene was further checked in silico by applying the Cra binding E. coli sequence consensus (Fig. 1B) to the 5′ untranslated region of PP_3443. Further, the entire genome of strain KT2440 was scanned to check for potential regulatory targets. Possible Cra binding sites were identified in the intergenic region of three CCM genes (i.e., gap-1, 5′-TGA AAC · GGT TTT-3′; edd, 5′-TGA AAC · GGT TTT-3′; and PP_3443, 5′-TGA ATC · GTT TCA-3′) (Fig. 2C; see also Table S1 in the supplemental material). Therefore, deep sequencing of RNA transcripts and the analysis of possible Cra operators in the genome of P. putida KT2440 indicated that the only bona fide CCM gene subjected to transcriptional regulation by Cra is PP_3443, in addition to the known regulation on the Fru utilization operon. Again, this information reveals that the regulatory tasks of Cra are entirely different with respect to enterobacteria.

Cra regulates the GAPDH activity of P. putida KT2440. Since the only gene in central CCM over which we observed transcriptional differences between wild-type P. putida and the Δcra mutant was PP_3443, the next relevant question was whether this transcriptional pattern correlates with an actual metabolic regulation. As indicated above, the GASP metabolic node in P. putida KT2440 comprises four potential enzymes (Fig. 3A). The in vitro evaluation of the total GAPDH activity in a P. putida ΔPP_3443 strain grown on glycerol indicated that this enzyme seems to be NADP⁺ dependent (35). Based on these previous studies and the RNA sequencing results discussed above, we measured the total GAPDH activity in wild-type cells and in the Δcra mutant. The in vitro enzymatic assays were performed using either NAD⁺ or NADP⁺ as the cofactor in cell extracts obtained from cells grown on Glu or Fru.

The GAPDH activities with either redox cofactor were similar in the wild-type strain and its Δcra derivative when Fru was used as the carbon source (Fig. 3B). As previously explained for the expression of components of PTSFru, the regulatory functions of Cra are deactivated in Fru, as the effector F1P is continuously produced and

![FIG 3 In vitro determination of the glyceraldehyde-3-P dehydrogenase activity in Pseudomonas putida KT2440 and its Δcra mutant. (A) Biochemical sequence proposed for the processing of glyceraldehyde-3-P. Enzymes involved in these conversions are shown beside the reaction that they catalyze. Note that the first biochemical step is catalyzed by the glyceraldehyde-3-P dehydrogenases (Gap-1 and Gap-2) and the two other isoforms of these enzymes, encoded by PP_0665 and PP_3443. All the reactions are conventionally written in the catabolic direction, and the wide shaded arrows indicate the connection of this series of biochemical reactions with the rest of the central carbohydrate metabolic pathways. (B) In vitro quantitation of the total specific (Sp) activity of glyceraldehyde-3-P dehydrogenase in P. putida KT2440 and its isogenic Δcra derivative determined in cells grown on glucose or fructose and using different redox cofactors as indicated. Each bar represents the mean value of the corresponding enzymatic activity ± standard deviation of duplicate measurements from at least three independent experiments. WT, wild-type strain.](http://msystems.asm.org/)
derepression occurs constitutively. Although in a Δcra mutant the expression of PP_3443 is fully derepressed, no significant differences were observed in enzymatic assays between the two strains. Results from cells grown on Glu as the sole carbon source, however, clearly evidenced the regulation exerted by Cra on PP_3443. The in vitro assays revealed a 1.22-fold increase in the NAD⁺-dependent GAPDH activity between the wild type and the Δcra mutant, and far more clear differences were observed in the GAPDH activity evaluated in the presence of NADP⁺ as the cofactor (i.e., a 1.54-fold increase in the specific GAPDH activity in the Δcra mutant with respect to P. putida KT2440). In a similar fashion as the results of transcriptomic analysis previously discussed, the direct comparison of the specific enzymatic activities of the wild-type strain grown on Glu and Fru represents a useful control for the observations obtained in the Δcra mutant cells. This regulation was disclosed by the 1.46-fold-higher specific GAPDH activity observed in P. putida KT2440 grown in Fru than in the same cells grown on Glu (Fig. 3B). Thus, the results of in vitro enzymatic determinations certify the regulation of CraP. putida on the overall GAPDH activity that the transcriptional evidence had suggested. Yet, what is the physiological relevance of this delicate control in the GA3P node mediated by CraP. putida in strain KT2440?

The regulation exerted by CraP. putida on PP_3443 is related to phosphate availability for the operation of PTSFru. The transcriptomic and enzymatic data established a connection between CraP. putida and the overall GAPDH activity through the product encoded by PP_3443. This observation raises new questions: why would P. putida require a higher GAPDH activity when growing on Fru than when growing on Glu or Suc? And, more importantly, what are the physiological consequences of this catabolic regulation? The close examination of the pathways involved in CCM in P. putida KT2440 (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material) provides a hint to answer these questions. GAPDH enzymes are located in a key regulatory point of CCM: the GA3P node. This key metabolite can follow several central routes, i.e., (i) GA3P can be directed toward the formation of PEP and Pyr to subsequently enter into the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle as acetyl coenzyme A; (ii) it can be recycled upward for the production of FBP, fructose-6-P, and glucose-6-P to generate reducing power (namely, NADPH + H⁺ [36]) through the so-called EDEMP cycle (11); and (iii) it may be also used in reversible reactions within the pentose phosphate pathway. GA3P is therefore a very important metabolite hub from where carbon fluxes can be accommodated through several branching pathways according to biochemical and environmental requirements. However, there is another factor that needs to be considered in this picture: the phosphate group borne by GA3P.

As mentioned in the introduction, PTSFru of P. putida (composed of FruA/EIIBC and FruB/EI-HPr-EIIA) coexists with PTSNtr (composed of PtsP/EINtr, PtsO/NPr, and PtsN/EIIANtr). The source of the high-energy phosphate residue that fuels the activity of both PTS systems is PEP (37). Since the source of such a phosphate residue needed for the conversion of Fru into F1P is PEP (12), and considering that this metabolite can be generated from GA3P only under glycolytic conditions (i.e., using either Glu or Fru as the carbon source) (38), the interplay between carbon fluxes and the availability of high-energy phosphate residues was also considered in our interpretation. In particular, we hypothesized that the overall GAPDH activity, from which PEP is ultimately obtained, rules the availability of high-energy phosphate needed for Fru phosphorylation through PTSFru. Since CraP. putida controls the expression of PP_3443 in strain KT2440 and therefore the total GAPDH activity, it seems plausible that this regulation results in differences in the operativity of the whole PTSFru. This hypothesis fits with the distribution of metabolic fluxes, based on 13C tracers, in wild-type P. putida KT2440 when cells are grown on Glu and Fru (see Fig. S3 in the supplemental material). When the normalized metabolic fluxes from GA3P to PEP were evaluated, a 25% increase was observed in the GAPDH flux in Fru-grown cells over the flux in those grown in Glu. From a more general perspective, the normalized fluxomic data indicated that the net fluxes connecting GA3P → glycerate-3-P → PEP → Pyr are higher in Fru- than in Glu-grown P. putida cells.
Kinetic modeling of the fructose intake in *P. putida* KT2440 reveals a dynamic behavior in central carbon metabolism ruled by Cra in *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440. The transcriptomic, fluxomic, and quantitative physiology data above point toward a connection between the Cra* in *P. putida* regulator, the activity of GAPDH (and hence the intracellular availability of PEP), and the process of Fru uptake in *P. putida* KT2440. Yet, these functional relationships are of a purely qualitative nature, and therefore, the next objective was to analyze these connections with methods that go beyond experimental techniques to describe the process of Fru uptake mediated by PTSFru in this bacterium.

The functional connections between the elements at stake are so specific and ephemeral that it is extremely difficult to evaluate them *in vivo*. In order to fulfill this objective, we built a mathematical model of these cell components and kinetic parameters that reveals the details of short-lived dynamics (Fig. 4). The resulting dynamic model takes into consideration (and merges) (i) the transcriptional regulation exerted by Cra* in *P. putida* on PP_3443 and fruBKA, (ii) the actual GAPDH activity brought about by PP_3443 and the transporter activity of FruAB/FruK (i.e., PTSFru), (iii) the intracellular concentrations of PEP and F1P, and (iv) the extracellular levels of Fru as a substrate. Furthermore, the regulatory effect of F1P on Cra* in *P. putida* was also taken into account as a variable in the model. Note, however, that the sketch shown in Fig. 4 does not incorporate all the possible connections existing in the system; rather, it depicts only those reactions deemed responsible for the dynamics of the network considered and thus targeted for the simulation studies described here. The values of all the k constants (representing individual rates in the model) are indicated in Table 1. F1P, fructose-1-P; PEP, phosphoenolpyruvate; Cra*, inactive form of the Cra regulator; PP_3xxx, alternative glyceraldehyde-3-P dehydrogenases (i.e., other than PP_3443). The Ø symbol represents degradation of the corresponding species.
uptake, \( q_S \) and (ii) estimation of some parameters taken from the literature when direct experimental values were not available (e.g., \( k_1 \), which represents the affinity of \( \text{Cra} \) to \( P_1 \) promoter that drives the expression of \( PP_{3443} \)). In any case, the reconstructed network and the corresponding model suggest that the alteration of the set of estimated values would lead to relatively small quantitative changes in the overall output (as opposed to qualitative changes). The ODEs were coded using Python as the programming language.

Table 1: Rates, values, and species used for simulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Value and unit</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( k_1 )</td>
<td>( 1 \mu\text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{liter}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1} )</td>
<td>Es; this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{-1} )</td>
<td>( 50 \times 10^{-3} \text{h}^{-1} )</td>
<td>Es; this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_2 )</td>
<td>60 \text{h}^{-1}</td>
<td>Es; 59, 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_3 )</td>
<td>( 8 \times 10^{4} \text{h}^{-1} )</td>
<td>Ft; this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_4 )</td>
<td>( 10 \mu\text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{liter}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1} )</td>
<td>Ft; this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_5 )</td>
<td>( 1 \mu\text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{liter}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1} )</td>
<td>Ob; this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{-5} )</td>
<td>( 50 \times 10^{-3} \text{h}^{-1} )</td>
<td>Ob; this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_6 )</td>
<td>90 \text{h}^{-1}</td>
<td>Es; 59, 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_7 )</td>
<td>( 208 \mu\text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{liter}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1} )</td>
<td>Es; 59, 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_8 )</td>
<td>( 30 \mu\text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{liter}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1} )</td>
<td>Es; 59, 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_9 )</td>
<td>( 0.35 \mu\text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{liter}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1} )</td>
<td>Ob; this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{-9} )</td>
<td>( 50 \times 10^{-3} \text{h}^{-1} )</td>
<td>Ob; this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{10} )</td>
<td>2 \text{h}^{-1}</td>
<td>Es; 59, 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{11} )</td>
<td>17 \text{h}^{-1}</td>
<td>Es; this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{12} )</td>
<td>0.17 \text{h}^{-1}</td>
<td>Es; 59, 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{13} )</td>
<td>0.17 \text{h}^{-1}</td>
<td>Es; 59, 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{14} )</td>
<td>17 \text{h}^{-1}</td>
<td>Es; this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{15} )</td>
<td>168 \mu\text{mol} \cdot \text{liter}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}</td>
<td>Ob; this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{16} )</td>
<td>1.7 \text{h}^{-1}</td>
<td>Es; this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_1 )</td>
<td>( 1.7 \times 10^{-3} \mu\text{mol} \cdot \text{liter}^{-1} )</td>
<td>Ob; this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_2 )</td>
<td>( 1.7 \times 10^{-3} \mu\text{mol} \cdot \text{liter}^{-1} )</td>
<td>Ob; this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Cra} )</td>
<td>4 \mu\text{mol} \cdot \text{liter}^{-1}</td>
<td>Es; this work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( a \) All the parameters are codified as Es (estimated), Ob (experimentally obtained), or Ft (fitted).

\( b \) See the model in Fig. 4 and the legend to Fig. S4 in the supplemental material for information on the rates.

Figure 5 shows the results of a simulation in which the PEP concentration was plotted as a function of the specific rate of fructose uptake (i.e., \( q_S \), represented in the model as \( k_17 \)) for the wild-type (WT) Pseudomonas putida KT2440 strain (A) and its \( \Delta \text{cra} \) derivative (B). Black lines (arrows pointing to the right) show the state of PEP in the system while \( k_17 \) increases from an initial state without fructose in the medium (far left). Red lines (arrows pointing to the left) show the state of PEP in the system while \( k_17 \) decreases from the final state (far right). The OFF and ON states of the system are indicated in the graphics, and the architecture of the network is shown on top of the plots in terms of inverters (connecting PEP and fructose through the regulatory action exerted by Cra). The yellow dot indicates the experimentally measured values for the intracellular PEP level and \( k_17 \). The shadowed areas in the plots indicate what would happen if the cells were hypothetically overloaded with fructose (i.e., beyond the physically possible limits determined experimentally). As shown in the diagrams, the level of available PEP would decrease, as it would be consumed right away to mediate fructose transport.
by $k_{17}$). The first indication of the dynamics of the system came from the shape of the trajectories representing PEP concentration, which differed depending on whether the $q_S$ values were increasing or decreasing. In other words, there was a hysteresis process taking place in wild-type $P. putida$ KT2440 cells at low-to-medium $q_S$ values whereby, for a given $x$ axis point, there were two very different values of the $y$ axis depending on whether the system was transiting from a high $q_S$ value (going from right to left in the $x$ axis) or from a low $q_S$ value (going from left to right in the $x$ axis) (Fig. 5A). Such a hysteresis process disappeared altogether in the Δcra mutant (Fig. 5B). Therefore, this behavior, affecting the internal state of the cells in terms of PEP availability, stems from the history of the system. The entire network in the wild-type cell acts as a circuit with two inverters in a row, what we purposely call a “metabolic widget”. If the Fru level in the external medium is negligible (and thus $q_S$ is very low), the output (the actual intracellular PEP concentration) is OFF; if, on the contrary, Fru is present in the culture medium, the output is ON. The circuit is cut off in the Δcra mutant, yielding an always-ON behavior with respect to PEP formation. In both cases, the PEP concentration decreases on the far right because all the components of the system are ON and therefore PEP gets consumed right away to mediate Fru transport, without even transiting through an available state. The hysteresis process is observed in wild-type cells and not in the Δcra mutant derivative because the forces at play in the whole network are rooted in slow dynamics (please refer to the differences highlighted in Fig. S5 in the supplemental material), caused by gene expression/repression events (i.e., as opposed to fast changes in the intracellular metabolite concentration). Since these changes are brought about by gene expression patterns, the behavior of the entire system can be traced to the regulatory action of the Cra$^P. putida$ protein. The hysteresis phenomenon represents a temporal memory (i.e., the system tends to stay in its previous state). The next standing question was to analyze if PP_3443 participates in such a regulatory circuit.

**Hysteresis in the system depends on the activity borne by the Cra$^P. putida$ regulated PP_3443 gene.** In order to evaluate the role of PP_3443, the GAPDH activity under transcriptional control by the Cra$^P. putida$ protein, we repeated the simulations described above for a $P. putida$ ΔPP_3443 mutant and a ΔPP_3443 Δcra double mutant (Fig. 6). In either case, the absence of PP_3443 means that PEP should be synthesized via the intermediates generated by the three other GAPDH activities of strain KT2440 (or at least Gap-1 and Gap-2, since these are the two variants apparently active as indicated by the transcriptomic data presented above). In terms of the model evaluated here, this translates into $k_4 >>> k_3$, $k_4$ and $k_3$ being the rate of PEP.
generation through the GAPDH activity of Gap-1 and Gap-2 and that of PP_3443, respectively. When PP_3443 is eliminated from the system (in both Fig. 6A and B), PEP formation expectedly drops drastically at the initial values of \( q_S \) (i.e., the intracellular PEP concentration remains at \( \frac{1}{100} \) mol · liter \(^{-1} \); see white bottom regions in the plots). The lines representing PEP concentration in the shaded regions of the plots look alike, irrespective of the presence of Cra \( P. \) putida, under the assumption that the overall GAPDH activity of the \( \Delta PP_3443 \) mutant is enough to provide the PEP needed for Fru uptake and proper cell functioning. In other words, the dynamics of the system described above are completely lost if PP_3443 is absent. In the case of the \( \Delta cra \) mutant, the intracellular levels of PEP decrease further because this metabolite is being used (and consumed) by FruBA. In such a hypothetical scenario, an increase in \( k_4 \) (shaded top regions of plots) restores the levels of PEP, which indicates that the routes responsible for PEP production are dynamically adjusted in the cells. No hysteresis happens in the system under these conditions, as no expression/repression events take place and slow reaction dynamics are altogether eliminated.

**Functional validation of the metabolic widget for fructose utilization in**

**P. putida** KT2440. The importance of the regulatory control displayed by the Cra \( P. \) putida/PP_3443/PEP widget described here was made evident when growth parameters of \( P. \) putida KT2440 and its \( \Delta cra \) and \( \Delta PP_3443 \) derivatives were analyzed in quantitative physiology experiments (Fig. 7). When using Glu or Suc as the carbon source, the three strains followed rather similar growth patterns, and the differences in the kinetic parameters analyzed were not significant. In fact, the specific growth rates/characteristic doubling times and the duration of the lag phase in these cultures were consistent with previous findings (11, 35, 39), Suc promoting the fastest growth among the conditions tested. A different situation, however, was noted when Fru was used as the substrate. The \( P. \) putida \( \Delta cra \) mutant, for instance, displayed a remarkable behavior in these Fru-dependent cultures, characterized by (i) a 30% shorter lag phase (Fig. 7A) and (ii) a 46% higher specific growth rate (Fig. 7B) with respect to the wild-type strain. In the wild-type strain, the derepression of the genes encoding the PTS\( \text{Fru} \) involves the buildup of enough F1P and the binding of this metabolite to Cra \( P. \) putida, thereby bringing about a conformational change in the protein to break free from the target DNA regions (22); i.e., an overall transcriptional adaptation process is needed to start growing on Fru. The shorter lag phase of the \( \Delta cra \) strain is to be expected since such transcriptional adaptation (and the ensuing derepression of the genes encoding PTS\( \text{Fru} \)) is not relevant in this genetic background. As expected, this reduced lag phase in cultures of the \( \Delta cra \) mutant was observed only on Fru, while when using the other carbon sources (either Glu or Suc), where the regulation exerted by
Cra<sup>P. putida</sup> is not significant for their utilization, no differences were observed. On the other hand, the most important physiological effect of deleting cra could be observed in the specific growth rate. We propose that the absence of the Cra<sup>P. putida</sup> transcriptional regulator not only increases the expression of the components of the PTS<sup>Fru</sup> but also boosts the phosphate flux from GA3P to PEP due to the complete derepression of PP_3443. Once again, this effect on the specific growth rate is not observed in Glu or Suc as the carbon source (where it is not needed).

Quantitative physiology experiments with the ΔPP_3443 mutant of P. putida KT2440 were also conducted to check the impact of the cognate GAPDH activity on the overall cell physiology. Under all the tested conditions, the absence of the PP_3443 gene resulted in a longer lag phase and a growth rate lower than that of the wild-type strain (Fig. 7). Although there are other genes encoding GAPDH activities in the genome of strain KT2440, PP_3443 seems to be relevant under both glycolytic and gluconeogenic growth conditions, a finding in line with the role observed for this enzyme in glycerol cultures (35). However, the most prominent effect was observed when Fru was used as the carbon source. Under such conditions, the ΔPP_3443 mutant had a (i) 75% longer lag phase (Fig. 7A) and (ii) 32% lower specific growth rate (Fig. 7B) with respect to the wild-type strain. These results point, again, to a relevant role of the GAPDH activity encoded by PP_3443 in the catabolism of Fru.

**Conclusion.** The results presented in this work revealed two important findings: (i) unlike the case in E. coli, Cra<sup>P. putida</sup> is alien to the regulation of many genes related to CCM, and (ii) Cra<sup>P. putida</sup> regulates transcriptionally the expression of the PP_3443 gene, thereby affecting the overall GAPDH activity of strain KT2440 and the intracellular availability of PEP. The regulation of Cra<sup>P. putida</sup> on PP_3443 is further wired to the activity of PTS<sup>Fru</sup> in this bacterium, since in cultures using Fru as the sole carbon source, Cra<sup>P. putida</sup> derepresses the expression of PP_3443 to increase the total GAPDH activity and therefore ensures sufficient PEP levels for Fru uptake through PTS<sup>Fru</sup>. A model of this remarkable metabolic device, which we termed a metabolic widget, is presented in Fig. 8. The metabolic setting where Cra<sup>P. putida</sup> exerts its regulation is key for the cell: the GA3P node, a hub of CCM. At this point, carbon and phosphate fluxes can be adjusted according to nutritional requirements and/or environmental cues. Cra<sup>P. putida</sup> orchestrates the operation of PTS<sup>Fru</sup> in a more precise fashion than previously thought: this is because the regulator not only controls the expression of components of the transport system (i.e., the fruBKA operon) but also regulates the phosphate flux in CCM with a sort of memory patch. This situation ensures the availability of enough of the PEP needed for the phosphorylation of the PTS components all the way up to exogenous Fru during shifts between conditions characterized by different levels of hexose availability. This situation is reminiscent of the regulatory phenomenon observed when P. putida cells grow on glycerol as the carbon source (39). Under these conditions, this bacterium displays a sort of memory, ruled by the GlpR regulator, that enables the cells to better adapt to environmental situations in which glycerol is highly abundant. The regulatory scope of the Cra transcriptional factor in P. putida KT2440 seems to be mostly related to the control of Fru uptake, while the corresponding E. coli orthologue seems to have divergently evolved to regulate the expression of several CCM genes in response to the internal glycolytic flux. The work above thus exemplifies how the same biochemical and regulatory constituents of a functionality shared by different bacteria might evolve quite differently depending on subtle changes in the connectivity of the cognate molecular ingredients.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial strains, culture media, and growth conditions.** The reference strain P. putida KT2440 and its Δacr and ΔPP_3443 derivatives have been described previously (12, 20, 35). All P. putida strains were aerobically grown batchwise at 30°C with shaking at 170 rpm on LB medium or M9 minimal medium (40) containing either Glu, Fru, or Suc at 10 mM as the sole carbon and energy source. Growth was estimated by measuring the optical density at 600 nm (OD<sub>600</sub>), assessed in an Ultrospec 3000 pro UV/visible spectrophotometer (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences Corp., Piscataway, NJ). For determination of growth parameters, cell cultures were harvested by centrifugation (15 min, 4,000 × g, 4°C), washed twice with...
10 mM MgSO4, and finally resuspended in 10 mM MgSO4 to an OD600 of ~3. Culture media with the appropriate carbon source were inoculated to an OD600 of 0.05 from this cell suspension. Finally, 200-μl aliquots of the freshly inoculated suspension were distributed in microtiter plates (clear-bottom polypropylene 96-well plates; Nunc A/S, Roskilde, Denmark) and were incubated at 30°C with 2 min of orbital shaking every 15 min (41, 42). Bacterial growth was estimated by periodically monitoring the OD600 in a SpectraMax Plus384 microplate reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). Kinetic growth parameters, including the duration of the lag phase and the specific growth rate, were calculated as described elsewhere (43–46).

**RNA manipulation and deep sequencing of transcripts.** Total RNA was extracted by using the RNeasy kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), and RNase-free DNase (Qiagen) treatment was performed during the isolation procedure to eliminate any residual DNA in the preparation. The quality of RNA samples was evaluated in an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). RNA library construction and sequencing were carried out by BGI (Shenzhen, China), using the Illumina mRNA sequencing sample preparation kit (catalog no. RS-930-1001) and the Illumina HiSeq 2000 system (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA). Reads generated by the sequencing machines were cleaned and mapped to the database of *Pseudomonas* gene sequences (NCBI reference sequence NC_002947, version NC_002947.3) (47) using SOAP2 software (version 2.21) (48), and the resulting alignment was visualized using IGV software (49). Fold changes and *P* values were calculated as described by Audic and Claverie (50). As the RNA sequencing data generated corresponded to a single biological sample for each carbon source, *P* values were corrected and expressed as false discovery rate values (51, 52). Genes with false discovery rates of ≤0.001 and absolute fold changes larger than 2 were considered differentially expressed. The detailed procedures and the complete set of raw data generated in these experiments are available in a separate study (34).

**Identification of a cra operator in the promoter region of PP_3443.** The reference *E. coli* consensus sequence for Cra binding sites was retrieved from the PRODORIC database (53, 54). The resulting DNA motif was then searched in the genome of *P. putida* KT2440 by means of the Virtual Footprint software (version 3.0) (55), which revealed the presence of (at least) 89 possible Cra binding sites in the target chromosome, including the site in the promoter region of PP_3443.

**Preparation of cell extracts and in vitro enzymatic assays.** All the enzyme activity determinations were carried out in cultures during mid-exponential phase (i.e., corresponding to an OD600 of ca. 0.5). Cell extracts were prepared starting from 50 ml of culture broth, which was centrifuged at 4,000 × *g* for 10 min. All the following procedures were carried out at 4°C. Cells were washed in 150 mM NaCl and subsequently in 100 mM phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; pH 7.4). The washed pellets were suspended in 500 μl of 100 mM PBS (pH 7.4), supplemented with 1.5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, and disrupted by ultrasonic treatment (10 treatments of 15 s with 30-s pauses between each round). The mixture was
centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 5 min, and the supernatant was collected and kept on ice. Enzyme activities were normalized by determining the total cell protein concentration using a Bradford-based protein assay purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. We used an extinction coefficient (ε_{NADH}) of 6.22 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹ representing the difference between the extinction coefficients of NAD(P)H and NAD(P)⁺. One unit of enzyme activity was defined as the quantity of enzyme that catalyzed the formation of 1 μmol of the corresponding product during the time indicated and at 30°C. GAPDH activity was measured by using the protocol described by Tiwari and Campbell (58) as modified by Nikel et al. (35). The assay mixture contained (in a final volume of 1.0 ml) 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 6 mM d,L-GA3P, 10 mM cysteine-HCl, 15 mM NaH₂AsO₄, 20 mM NaF, 0.33 mM NAD⁺ or NADP⁺, and 50 to 150 μl of the cell extract. The GA3P and cysteine-HCl solutions were neutralized just before use. The reaction mixture without GA3P was incubated at 30°C for 1 min, and the reaction was initiated by adding the substrate. The reduction of either NAD⁺ or NADP⁺ was followed by taking readings every 10 s for 15 min at 340 nm.

**In silico modeling and simulations.** The kinetic model that represents the reactions (see Fig. S4A in the supplemental material) of the system at stake was built deterministically with a set of ODEs (see Fig. S4B in the supplemental material). Due to the high number of connections modeled that have unknown dynamics, stochastic approaches are to be considered noninformative for the time being. Simulations were carried out using our own in-house program coded in Python as the programming language. Each of the plots in Fig. 5 and 6 shows a number of simulations, in particular, one per graph coordinate at intervals of 0.1 along the x axis. All the simulations began with the system at a pristine initial state; thus, the concentration of the output molecular species was set at 0 μM. The measurements plotted correspond to the values of the system at a steady state after 0.5 h of evolution. All the graphs are represented in a logarithmic scale in both axes to facilitate the inspection of the system at low kᵢ₉ values, where the dynamics revealed a very specific behavior as described in Results and Discussion.

**Metabolic flux analysis.** Data for the distribution of metabolic fluxes in *P. putida* KT2440 growing on different substrates were taken from our previous publications (11, 12) and analyzed as described by Nikel and Chavarría (36).

**Accession number(s).** The complete raw data set of the deep RNA sequencing analysis is publicly available at the Gene Expression Omnibus site of the NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo) with GenBank accession number GSE46491.
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