
Scott S, Reilly J, Giles EL, Hillier-Brown F, Ells L, Kaner E, Adamson A. [Socio-ecological influences on adolescent \(aged 10-17\) alcohol use and linked unhealthy eating behaviours: Protocol for a systematic review and synthesis of qualitative studies](#). *Systematic Reviews* 2017, 6(1), 180.

Copyright:

© The Authors. This article is distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The [Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver](#) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

DOI link to article:

[10.1186/s13643-017-0574-8](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-017-0574-8)

Date deposited:

29/09/2017



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](#)

PROTOCOL

Open Access



Socio-ecological influences on adolescent (aged 10–17) alcohol use and linked unhealthy eating behaviours: protocol for a systematic review and synthesis of qualitative studies

Stephanie Scott^{1*}, Jessica Reilly¹, Emma L. Giles², Frances Hillier-Brown¹, Louisa Ells², Eileen Kaner¹ and Ashley Adamson¹

Abstract

Background: Excess body weight and risky alcohol consumption are two of the greatest contributors to global disease. Health behaviours cluster in adolescence and track to adulthood. Very little is known about similar and contrasting influences on young people's eating behaviours and alcohol use. Whilst there are bodies of literature which explore the influences on young people's eating behaviour and alcohol consumption respectively, no qualitative studies have been identified with an explicit and concurrent focus on adolescent eating behaviours and alcohol consumption. This review will identify and synthesise qualitative research evidence to provide insight into common underlying factors which influence alcohol use and unhealthy eating behaviours amongst young people aged 10–17. This will involve bringing together two separate bodies of literature to enable analysis and comparison across two associated fields of study.

Methods: We will conduct searches in MEDLINE, Scopus, PsycINFO, Sociological Abstracts (via ProQuest social science premium collection), CINAHL, ERIC, IBSS (via ProQuest social science premium collection), ASSIA (via ProQuest social science premium collection), and Web of Science Core Collection. Studies reporting primary data of any qualitative design, for example, ethnographic studies, studies that used a phenomenological or grounded theory approach, or participatory action research will be included in the review. Database searches will be supplemented with searches of Google Scholar, hand searches of key journals, and backward and forward citation searches of reference lists of identified papers. Search records will be independently screened by two researchers, with full text copies of potentially relevant papers retrieved for in-depth review against the inclusion criteria. Reporting of identified studies will be assessed using the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) Qualitative Research Checklist. GRADE-CERQual will also be used to assess confidence in the findings arising from our review. Qualitative synthesis will involve three core phases: line-by-line coding of findings; development of descriptive themes; and development of analytical themes. Findings from papers will be examined for overlaps, similarities and differences.

(Continued on next page)

* Correspondence: steph.scott@ncl.ac.uk

¹Institute of Health & Society, Baddiley-Clark Building, Newcastle University, Richardson Road, Newcastle upon Tyne NE2 4AX, UK

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



(Continued from previous page)

Discussion: This synthesis will interpret individual studies by identification of second-order constructs (interpretations offered by the original researchers) and third-order constructs (development of new interpretations beyond those offered in individual studies) by way of the development of a 'model structure' of shared influences upon both unhealthy eating behaviours and alcohol use. It is anticipated that this 'model structure' will aid subsequent co-design and piloting of a future intervention to help reduce health risk and social inequalities due to excess weight gain and alcohol consumption.

Systematic review registration: CRD42017060624.

Keywords: Adolescent, Eating, Alcohol use, Qualitative research, Systematic review

Background

Excess body weight and heavy alcohol consumption are two of the greatest contributors to global disease burden in high-income countries [1, 2] and are amongst the most well-established preventable causes of cancers including breast, liver, kidney, bowel, mouth, throat, and prostate [3, 4]. Being overweight and/or obese accounts for 5% of deaths worldwide [2] and is responsible for raising the risk of chronic diseases such as diabetes and cardiovascular diseases [5]. Heavy alcohol consumption contributes to over 200 disease and injury conditions and is responsible for almost 6% of world deaths [1]. Risky or heavy alcohol use is the leading cause of death and disability adjusted life years in both 15–19-year-olds and 20–24-year-olds globally [6]. Rates of liver disease are linked to both alcohol use and obesity and they are rising rapidly in the UK [7, 8], particularly in those aged below 44 years [7]. Over the past 30 years, the UK has seen a fourfold increase in liver disease mortality, and it is now the third most common cause of premature death, with 62,000 years of working life lost each year [9]. Most of these deaths are alcohol-related [10]. However, non-alcohol fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is becoming increasingly common, and it is now the most prevalent liver disorder in children and young adults with overall prevalence of between 2.6 and 9.8% in overweight individuals which rises to between 42 and 77% in those who are obese [9]. In addition, it has been shown that the combination of a raised body mass index (BMI) and heavy alcohol consumption can result in an intensified interaction creating a steeply elevated risk of liver disease in men and women [11] and that heavy drinking is associated with greater waist-hip ratio in mid-life even when taking other lifetime influences into account [12].

Research on reducing excess body weight or heavy alcohol consumption typically occurs in isolation, or as part of non-specific multiple behaviour change interventions. However, strategies to jointly reduce alcohol consumption and address levels of overweight or obesity may produce greater health gains, and be a more efficient use of resources, than initiatives directed towards each pattern alone [11]. As such, understanding the relationship between these behaviours in order to

develop intervention pathways is a global public health priority [11], particularly amongst younger people [13]. Adverse health behaviours begin to cluster during adolescence [14], and excess body weight and risky drinking have both been demonstrated to track into and throughout adulthood [15–17]. Consequently, there is a strong rationale to intervene with young people early, before these behaviour patterns become fully entrenched habits which lead to poorer health and social outcomes later in life.

Many eating rituals have become strongly linked to the use of alcohol and vice versa, for instance salty snacks are often sold in public drinking venues and there is a popular concept of drinking alcohol with dinner, eating and drinking at parties or social gatherings and visiting fast food outlets after an evening out at drinking establishments [18]. Indeed, it has been suggested that unhealthy food choices are more likely to be made during and directly after a period of prolonged alcohol consumption [18] which could be due, at least in part, to the disinhibiting effect of alcohol which is a psychoactive substance that can alter usual behaviour. However, there are key differences when thinking about eating and unhealthy drinking behaviour. All individuals need to eat to survive, whilst many individuals choose to drink alcohol because it is perceived to be a pleasurable component of social life. Further, whilst alcohol contains energy, it is a nutritionally poor food source and does not stimulate satiety [19]. This may make it more likely for alcohol calories to be consumed in addition to energy intake from food.

Epidemiological data suggest that energy intake from alcohol, type of beverage and drinking pattern (i.e. high volume, high frequency) are associated with excess body weight and weight gain amongst adults [19, 20]. Few studies have explored this relationship amongst young people. Those that do are predominantly quantitative and conducted with young adults (18+) in US college settings. These studies have shown a positive association between being overweight and/or obese and alcohol consumption, particularly amongst females [21, 22], and highlighted a conflict for some individuals between a wish to stay slim and also to drink alcohol as part of

developing a social identity [23–25]. Furthermore, there have been some reports of individuals choosing not to eat prior to socialising, so that they can drink alcohol and avoid weight gain; a phenomenon that has been termed ‘drunkorexia’ [23]. This increases the likelihood of intoxication, where blood alcohol levels rise sharply and affect the brain and subsequent behaviour, which steeply increases the risk of acute harm from drinking.

Little more is known about young people’s (under the age of 18) perspectives on the relationship between alcohol consumption and unhealthy eating behaviour, i.e. patterns of food choice or behaviours that lead to adverse health outcomes, such as snacking, eating energy-rich or high-sugar foods or avoiding eating so that alcohol calories do not lead to weight gain. It is increasingly recognised that health-promoting interventions must acknowledge social and emotional needs [26, 27] as well as focus on reducing health risks. Recent work has begun to investigate wider socio-cultural drivers of drinking and eating behaviour in early adolescence [28, 29] but this needs to extend to an understanding of co-occurring health behaviours in adolescence and early adulthood. Whilst there are bodies of literature which explore the influences on young people’s eating behaviour and alcohol consumption respectively, scoping searches of ProQuest, Web of Science, the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Library, Cochrane Library and PROSPERO identified no qualitative studies with an explicit and concurrent focus on adolescent eating behaviours and linked alcohol consumption and no existing systematic reviews on this topic. There are emotional, social and symbolic benefits of health risk behaviours for young people, which appear to cut across both food and alcohol consumption practices including perceptions of pleasure, distinction and identity or social status [26, 27, 30–32]. Further, food and alcohol consumption takes place within a wider social context which can adversely shape behaviour. These are sometimes described as the ‘foodscape’ or ‘obesogenic’ and ‘intoxigenic’ environments, where physical, urban spaces come together with social, cultural and commercial influences to shape behaviour but are not always consciously recognised as doing so [33–39]. Interpersonal ties, such as peer and family networks, have also been shown in qualitative studies to influence both alcohol use and eating behaviour [40–43], likewise socio-economic status and austerity [44–46].

Most existing reviews answer a very specific question, such as alcohol industry efforts to influence alcohol marketing policy [47], or synthesise quantitative rather than qualitative research, or a combination of the two [48, 49]. Therefore, it was not deemed appropriate to synthesise from these reviews as a ‘review of reviews’. Thus, this synthesis will involve bringing together two separate bodies of literature in order to make inferences

about factors that might influence people amongst whom these behaviours co-occur. In doing so, it is our intention to capitalise on what is known from independent streams of research, enabling analysis and comparison across two associated fields of study. Guided by the approach suggested by the EPPI-Centre (IoE, London), the systematic review proposed here will address this evidence gap by reviewing and synthesising qualitative research evidence to provide insight into common underlying factors which influence alcohol use behaviour and eating behaviour amongst young people aged 10–17. Relevant qualitative synthesis techniques, an approach increasingly adopted in reviews of qualitative health research, will be used to develop a model structure, or typology, of overlapping and contrasting influences across both consumption behaviours, which can be used to inform subsequent exploratory work and co-design of tailored, dual-focused interventions with young people.

Research question

Our primary objective is to examine young people’s (aged 10–17) perspectives on socio-cultural, interpersonal and structural influences upon unhealthy eating behaviours or alcohol use by synthesising data from qualitative research evidence, in order to make inferences about factors that might influence people amongst whom these behaviours co-occur and to develop a model structure of common underlying influences which cut across unhealthy eating behaviours and alcohol use amongst young people.

Methods

Study registration

The review will be carried out following established criteria for the good conduct and reporting of systematic reviews [50] and reporting will adhere to Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement guidelines [51]. The review will be structured according to the reporting guidelines for synthesis of qualitative studies (the ENTREQ statement) [52]. This protocol was structured according to the Preferred Reporting Items of Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Protocol (PRISMA-P) guidelines [53] (see Additional file 1) and is registered with the PROSPERO International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (Ref: CRD42017060624).

Search strategy

The search strategy has been split into five core concepts in accordance with the SPIDER tool [54] which is deemed an appropriate approach for qualitative evidence reviews (see Table 1). The concepts of ‘design’ and ‘research’ type will be combined to maximise yield of qualitative literature. A three-step search strategy will be

Table 1 Review concepts and associated search terms

SPIDER concept	Search terms
S—Sample: young people	Child OR children OR adolescent OR youth OR young people OR young person OR young adult OR kid OR teenager OR under-age OR student
PI—Phenomenon of interest: alcohol consumption OR unhealthy eating behaviours	Alcohol drinking OR alcoholic beverages OR alcoholic intoxication OR alcohol consumption OR alcohol misuse OR alcohol abuse OR alcohol use OR risky drinking OR excessive drinking OR problem drinking OR binge drinking OR hazardous drinking OR unsafe drinking OR unhealthy drinking OR drunk OR eating behaviour OR unhealthy eating OR unhealthy diet OR food choice OR food preferences OR food habits OR food intake OR feeding behaviour OR energy intake OR fast foods OR carbonated beverages OR obesity OR overweight OR overnutrition OR overeat OR over-eat OR excess weight OR body size OR body mass OR body weight OR diet OR nutrition OR underweight OR undereat OR under-eat OR undernutrition OR fruit OR vegetable OR portion OR serving OR junk food OR fast food OR processed food OR calorie-dense OR calories OR convenience food OR dietary fat OR dietary sugar OR dietary salt OR fizzy OR sugary OR snack OR takeaway OR takeout OR carry-out OR frozen OR ready-meal
D—Design: qualitative research	Interview OR grounded theory OR ethnography OR interpretative phenomenological analysis OR phenomenology OR focus group OR content analysis OR thematic analysis OR constant comparative OR participant observation OR narratives OR field notes
E—Evaluation: experience	perceive OR perception OR perspective OR view OR experience OR attitude OR belief OR opinion OR feel OR know OR understand
R—Research type: qualitative and mixed methods	Qualitative OR qualitative analysis OR qualitative research OR mixed methods

undertaken. A scoping search of MEDLINE and CINAHL will be used to identify keywords and phrases in the paper title and abstract and MeSH/thesaurus terms used to index relevant articles. A second search using identified keywords and thesaurus terms will subsequently be undertaken across all included databases. Thesaurus terms will be translated and truncated as appropriate across databases. The following databases will be searched: MEDLINE, Scopus, PsycINFO, Sociological Abstracts (via ProQuest social science premium collection), CINAHL, ERIC, IBSS (via ProQuest social science premium collection), ASSIA (via ProQuest social science premium collection), and Web of Science Core Collection.

A sample search strategy for MEDLINE is presented in Table 2. Finally, electronic searches will be supplemented with the following: reference checking of the bibliographies of included studies and key review articles; hand-searching of journals identified as providing the highest yield of references; searches of Google Scholar and topic relevant websites; reference lists already held by reviewers; contact with key researchers in the field.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria:

- Studies reporting primary data of any qualitative design, for example, ethnographic studies, studies that used a phenomenological or grounded theory approach, or participatory action research. Mixed method studies will be considered eligible if findings from qualitative study components are

reported in full and can be distinguished from other findings.

- Studies published in English only and from 2006 onwards. This date limit is intended to minimise outdated findings and reflects limited time/resources for the review. It is acknowledged that this decision carries with it a risk of bias.
- Studies that explore the views of young people on factors which shape their eating behaviours or alcohol consumption.
- Studies of individual or groups of young people aged 10–17 (inclusive). This will be determined using age range or mean age at interview. For longitudinal studies, this will be age at recruitment and/or first interview. If results are analysed separately for groups of different ages, and studies include younger children or participants aged 18 or more, only data relating to those aged 10–17 will be extracted. If this data cannot be distinguished from other findings, the study will be excluded. We are particularly interested in exploring the experiences of different socio-demographic groups for example, by age, gender, ethnicity, economic status, or sexual orientation.

Exclusion criteria:

- Unpublished data, abstracts, conference proceedings, and studies including no primary evaluation data (e.g. protocols, editorials, reviews).
- Studies that used self-report or researcher-administered surveys, including those which attempt to analyse data from open-ended questions, as the sole method of data collection, as it is felt that

Table 2 Sample MEDLINE search strategy

1. exp. Alcohol Drinking/
2. exp. alcoholic beverages/
3. alcoholic intoxication/
4. (alcohol* adj2 (abuse* or misuse* or use* or consum* or drink* or excess* or problem* or risk*))mp.
5. alcohol*.mp.
6. ((binge or problem* or risk* or excess*) adj2 drink*).mp.
7. ((hazardous or unsafe or unhealthy) adj2 drink*).mp.
8. drunk*.mp.
9. (intoxicat* adj4 (drink* or alcohol*)).mp.
10. (wine or beer or spirits).mp.
11. exp. overweight/
12. exp. overnutrition/or hyperphagia/
13. exp. "Body Weights and Measures"/
14. Food preferences/
15. *Feeding Behaviour/or exp. Food habits/
16. Energy Intake/
17. fast foods/or carbonated beverages/
18. (obes* or over?weight or over?nutrition).ti,ab.
19. (excess adj2 weight).ti,ab.
20. ((eat* or food* or feed*) adj2 (behavio?* or excessive* or choice? or pattern? or habit? or intake? or preference?)).ti,ab.
21. (body adj2 (mass or size or weight)).ti,ab.
22. (diet* or nutrition).ti,ab.
23. over?eat*.mp.
24. (under?weight or under?nutrition or under?eat).ti,ab.
25. (unhealth* adj2 (diet* or eating or food*)).mp.
26. ((vegetable* or fruit) adj2 (eat* or intake or consum* or portion* or serving? or frequenc* or number? or preference? or choice*)).mp.
27. (((junk or fast or unhealthy or choice? or processed) adj2 food*) or fastfood).mp.
28. (calorie-dense adj2 (food? or beverage? or drink?)).mp.
29. (convenien* adj2 (food* or meal*)).mp.
30. (excess* adj2 (fat* or salt* or sugar*)).mp.
31. (energy adj1 intake).mp.
32. (poor adj2 diet).mp.
33. snack*.mp.
34. calorie*.mp.
35. (((fizzy or sugary or carbonated) adj2 drink*) or soda or coca-cola or coke or cola or pop).mp.
36. (take?away or take?out or carry?out).mp.
37. (((frozen or ready or TV or television) adj2 meal?) or ((TV or television) adj2 dinner?)).mp.
38. ((portion or serving) adj2 size?).mp.
39. or/1-38
40. Young Adult/

Table 2 Sample MEDLINE search strategy (Continued)

41. (young adj2 (adult? or person?)).mp.
42. ((college* or university) adj2 student?).mp.
43. child*.mp.
44. under?age*.mp.
45. late-teen*.mp.
46. early-adult*.mp.
47. (adolescen* or youth* or undergraduate* or freshmen or fresher? or teen* or kid* student?).mp.
48. or/40-47
49. exp. Interview/or interview.mp.
50. 'grounded theory'.mp.
51. ethnography.mp.
52. exp. Qualitative Research/or 'interpretative phenomenological analysis'.mp.
53. phenomenology.mp.
54. exp. Focus Groups/or 'focus group'.mp.
55. 'content analysis'.mp.
56. 'thematic analysis'.mp.
57. 'constant comparative'.mp.
58. 'participant observation'.mp.
59. narrative*.mp.
60. 'field notes'.mp.
61. or/49-60
62. perceive.mp.
63. perception*.mp.
64. perspective*.mp.
65. view.mp.
66. experience.mp.
67. exp. Attitude/or attitude.mp.
68. belief*.mp.
69. opinion.mp.
70. feel*.mp.
71. know*.mp.
72. understand*.mp.
73. or/62-72
74. exp. Qualitative Research/or qualitative.mp.
75. 'qualitative analysis'.mp.
76. 'mixed method*.mp.
77. or/74-76
78. 39 and 48 and 61 and 73 and 77

*represents the PubMed symbol for truncation

survey data cannot explore the topic in sufficient depth.

- Qualitative research that has not ascertained the views young people themselves but has analysed texts, e.g. discourse analysis.

- Studies where the study population: (a) require specialist treatment for alcohol dependency or weight loss and gain (i.e. specialist weight management services (tier 3) and bariatric surgery or drugs (tier 4)); and (b) pregnant or breastfeeding adolescent women whose current eating pattern may be time-limited and not reflective of usual diet behaviours. Those in receipt of tier 1 or tier 2 weight management services or lifestyle interventions will be included in the review.

Data selection and extraction

The title and abstract of all records retrieved (minus duplicates) will be downloaded to Endnote X7 and independently screened by two researchers, with full text copies of potentially relevant papers retrieved for in-depth review against the inclusion criteria. Any uncertainties will be resolved by discussion and referral to a third party if necessary. Reasons for exclusion will be noted at the full text stage. A flow chart of the selection process, following PRISMA guidelines, will be produced. The JBI Qualitative Assessment and Review Instrument (QARI) [55] will be adapted to an Excel spreadsheet and will guide the extraction of information. Extracted data will include, but not be limited to, phenomena of interest, aims/objectives and methodological approach and analysis methods; conceptual or theoretical basis underlying the study, interviewee characteristics (e.g. sample size, average age, %male/female, education level), and findings of significance to the review question (i.e. influencing factors). Wherever possible, original quotes will be extracted. Data extraction will be carried out by one researcher and checked by another. Where publications lack details required for quality assessment or full data extraction, authors will be contacted to request further information.

Quality assessment

Initial assessment

Identified studies will be assessed by two independent reviewers for methodological validity using the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) Qualitative Research Checklist [56]. This appraisal tool was chosen as it can be applied to different types of qualitative design and its 10-item checklist allows rapid and robust evaluation in relation to domains such as appropriateness of study design, data collection techniques, and analysis methods used. Any disagreements that arise between the reviewers will be resolved through discussion or with a third reviewer.

Comprehensive assessment

At the second level of appraisal, we will use the GRADE-CERQual guidance [57] to identify which

findings are strongly supported or less well supported. No established methods or guidance currently exist on how to assess whether, and to what extent, dissemination bias might be present in the findings of qualitative evidence syntheses [58]. Therefore, we recognise this as a limitation of our assessment of the field. Two reviewers will independently review each study using guidance derived from GRADE-CERQual to reach consensus. In order to facilitate comparisons across the reviewed studies, a table presenting these findings will be presented.

Data synthesis

Participant quotations and text under the headings “findings” or “results” extracted from identified papers will be entered verbatim into Nvivo 8 software (QSR International, Melbourne, Australia), where data will be stored and coded. Qualitative synthesis will involve three core phases: line-by-line coding of findings, development of descriptive themes, and development of analytical themes [59, 60]. Following the principles of qualitative synthesis, and informed by meta-ethnography in particular, our approach will entail the systematic identification of shared concepts and themes mapped across studies included in the synthesis [61]. We will record key concepts and contextual details to understand the interpretations in every paper, and concepts will be explored for convergent or divergent cases across the studies, by a process referred to as reciprocal translation, similar to the constant comparative techniques used in primary qualitative research. Thus, our synthesis will interpret individual studies by identification of second-order constructs (interpretations offered by the original researchers) and third-order constructs (development of new interpretations beyond those offered in individual studies) by way of the development of a ‘model structure’ of shared influences upon both unhealthy eating behaviours and alcohol use amongst young people aged 10–17 [62]. This model structure will inform subsequent exploratory work and intervention co-design with young people. Meetings will be held to discuss disagreements at any stage of assessment. If unresolved, the opinion of another member of the project team will be sought.

Discussion

Formative qualitative research, and the synthesis of qualitative work, has a key role to play in intervention development, particularly in relation to exploration of content, design and modality as well as in determining acceptability and feasibility within target population groups [63, 64]. This review is situated within a broader mixed method programme of work. Review findings will first be used to inform in-depth qualitative work which seeks to investigate young people’s viewpoints regarding

the relationship between their eating behaviours and linked alcohol use, in order to identify influential factors which cut across both consumption behaviours. Data will subsequently inform the design of tailored, theory-driven multiple risk-behaviour interventions to reduce health and social inequalities due to excess weight gain and alcohol consumption, and thus cancer risk, amongst young people.

Additional file

Additional file 1: PRISMA-P Checklist. (DOCX 15 kb)

Abbreviations

ASSIA: Applied Social Sciences Index and Abstracts; BMI: Body mass index; CASP: Critical Appraisal Skills Programme; CINAHL: Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature; ENTREQ: Enhancing transparency in reporting the synthesis of qualitative research; EPP: Evidence for Policy and Practice Information; ERIC: Education Resources Information Center; JBI: Joanna Briggs Institute; LILACS: Latin American and Caribbean Health Sciences Literature; NAFLD: Non-alcohol fatty liver disease; NCMP: National Child Measurement Programme; NHS: National Health Service; NICE: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence; PRISMA: Preferred Reporting Items of Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses; PRISMA-P: Preferred Reporting Items of Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Protocol; QARI: Qualitative Assessment and Review Instrument

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Fiona Beyer for technical assistance in setting up the systematic review. We would also like to thank the Joanna Briggs Institute (Australia) for allowing us to use QARI.

Funding

This study was supported by the Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC) as part of a Fuse-funded pump prime small project grant (Research Centre Grant, UKCRC, Res 590-25-0004). The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the funders, the UKCRC or the Department of Health. The funders had no input in developing the protocol and in the decision to submit the article for publication.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable

Authors' contributions

SS, AA and EK conceived the study idea and devised the study methodology. FHB, EG, JR and LE participated in the design and coordination of the study. SS was primarily responsible for protocol writing and developed the search strategy. SS and JR will screen identified literature, conduct data extraction and analyse the review findings. All authors read the drafts, provided comments and agreed on the final version of the manuscript.

Authors' information

SS, FHB, LE, EG, EK, and AA are members of Fuse—the Centre for Translational Research in Public Health, a UK Clinical Research Collaboration (UKCRC) Public Health Research Centre of Excellence. SS, EK and AA are also supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR)'s School for Public Health Research (SPHR). EK is also a member of the NIHR School of Primary Care Research.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethical approval and consent to participate was not required for this review.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Institute of Health & Society, Baddiley-Clark Building, Newcastle University, Richardson Road, Newcastle upon Tyne NE2 4AX, UK. ²Health and Social Care Institute, 1.18 Constantine Building, Teesside University, Borough Road, Middlesbrough TS1 3BX, UK.

Received: 21 April 2017 Accepted: 22 August 2017

Published online: 02 September 2017

References

- World Health Organisation. Global status report on alcohol and health. Geneva: World Health Organisation; 2014.
- World Health Organisation. Global health risks. Mortality and burden of disease attributable to selected major risks. Geneva: World Health Organisation; 2009.
- Bagnardi V, Rota M, Botteri E, Tramacere I, Islami F, Fedirko V, Scotti L, Jenab M, Turati F, Pasquali E, et al. Alcohol consumption and site-specific cancer risk: a comprehensive dose-response meta-analysis. *Br J Cancer*. 2015;112:580–93.
- Arnold M, Pandeya N, Byrnes G, Renehan AG, Stevens GA, Ezzati M, Ferlay J, Jaime Miranda J, Romieu I, Dikshit R, et al. Global burden of cancer attributable to high body-mass index in 2012: a population-based study. *Lancet Oncol*. 2014;16:36–46.
- Roberto CA, Swinburn B, Hawkes C, Huang TTK, Costa SA, Ashe M, Zwicker L, Cawley JH, Brownell KD. Patchy progress on obesity prevention: emerging examples, entrenched barriers, and new thinking. *Lancet*. 2015;385:2400–9.
- Mokdad AH, Forouzanfar MH, Daoud F, Mokdad AA, El Bcheraoui C, Moradi-Lakeh M, Kyu HH, Barber RM, Wagner J, Cercy K, et al. Global burden of diseases, injuries, and risk factors for young people's health during 1990–2013: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2013. *Lancet*. 2016;387:2383–401.
- Leon DA, McCambridge J. Liver cirrhosis mortality rates in Britain from 1950 to 2002: an analysis of routine data. *Lancet*. 2006;367:52–6.
- Wang YC, McPherson K, Marsh T, Gortmaker SL, Brown M. Health and economic burden of the projected obesity trends in the USA and the UK. *Lancet*. 2011;378:815–25.
- Williams R, Aspinall R, Bellis M, Camps-Walsh G, Cramp M, Dhawan A, Ferguson J, Forton D, Foster G, Gilmore I, et al. Addressing liver disease in the UK: a blueprint for attaining excellence in health care and reducing premature mortality from lifestyle issues of excess consumption of alcohol, obesity and viral hepatitis. *Lancet*. 2014;384:1953–97.
- Jewell J, Sheron N. Trends in European liver death rates: implications for alcohol policy. *Clin Med*. 2010;10:259–63.
- Hart CL, Morrison DS, Batty GD, Mitchell RJ, Davey Smith G. Effect of body mass index and alcohol consumption on liver disease: analysis of data from two prospective cohort studies. *BMJ*. 2010;340:c1240.
- Pinto Pereira SM, van Veldhoven K, Li L, Power C. Combined early and adult life risk factor associations for mid-life obesity in a prospective birth cohort: assessing potential public health impact. *BMJ Open*. 2016;6:e011044.
- National Obesity Observatory: Obesity and alcohol: an overview. 2012.
- Kipping RR, Smith M, Heron J, Hickman M, Campbell R. Multiple risk behaviour in adolescence and socio-economic status: findings from a UK birth cohort. *Eur J Public Health*. 2014;25:44–9.
- Rossov I, Kuntsche E. Early onset of drinking and risk of heavy drinking in young adulthood - a 13-year prospective study. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res*. 2013;37:E297–304.
- Degenhardt L, O'Loughlin C, Swift W, Romaniuk H, Carlin J, Coffey C, Hall W, Patton G. The persistence of adolescent binge drinking into adulthood: findings from a 15-year prospective cohort study. *BMJ Open*. 2013;3:e003015.
- Craigie AM, Matthews JNS, Rugg-Gunn AJ, Lake AA, Mathers JC, Adamson AJ. Raised adolescent body mass index predicts the development of adiposity and a central distribution of body fat in adulthood: a longitudinal study. *Obesity Facts*. 2009;2:150–6.
- Yeomans MR. Short term effects of alcohol on appetite in humans. Effects of context and restrained eating. *Appetite*. 2010;55:565–73.
- Sayon-Orea C, Martinez-Gonzalez MA, Bes-Rastrollo M. Alcohol consumption and body weight: a systematic review. *Nutr Rev*. 2011;69:419–31.
- Shelton NJ, Knott CS. Association between alcohol calorie intake and overweight and obesity in English adults. *Am J Public Health*. 2014;104:629–31.

21. Farhat T, Iannotti RJ, Simons-Morton BG. Overweight, obesity, youth and health-risk behaviors. *Am J Prev Med.* 2010;38:258–67.
22. Pasch KE, Nelson MC, Lytle LA, Moe SG, Perry CL. Adoption of risk-related factors through early adolescence: associations with weight status and implications for causal mechanisms. *J Adolesc Health.* 2008;43:387–93.
23. Eisenberg MH, Fitz CC. "Drunkorexia": exploring the who and why of a disturbing trend in college students' eating and drinking behaviors. *J Am Coll Heal.* 2014;62:570–7.
24. Barry AE, Piazza-Gardner AK, Holton MK. Assessing the alcohol-BMI relationship in a US national sample of college students. *Health Educ J.* 2015;74:496–504.
25. Giles EL, Brennan M. Trading between healthy food, alcohol and physical activity behaviours. *BMC Public Health.* 2014;14:1231.
26. Stead M, McDermott L, MacKintosh AM, Adamson A. Why healthy eating is bad for young people's health: identity, belonging and food. *Soc Sci Med.* 2011;72:1131–9.
27. Wills WJ, Backett-Milburn K, Gregory S, Lawton J. 'If the food looks dodgy I Dinnae eat It': Teenagers' accounts of food and eating practices in socio-economically disadvantaged families. *Sociol Res Online.* 2008;13:15.
28. Scott S, Baker R, Shucksmith J, Kaner E. Autonomy, special offers and routines: a Q methodological study of industry-driven marketing influences on young People's drinking behaviour. *Addiction.* 2014;109:1833–44.
29. van Exel NJ, de Graaf G, Brouwer WB. "everyone dies, so you might as well have fun!" attitudes of Dutch youths about their health lifestyle. *Soc Sci Med.* 2006;63:2628–39.
30. Fry M. Seeking the pleasure zone: understanding young adult's intoxication culture. *Australas Mark J.* 2011;19:65–70.
31. Scott S, Shucksmith J, Baker R, Kaner E. 'hidden Habitus': a qualitative study of socio-ecological influences on drinking practices and social identity in mid-adolescence. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2017;14:611.
32. Tutenges SMHR. 'we got incredibly drunk...It was damned fun': drinking stories among Danish youth. *J Youth Stud.* 2009;12:355–70.
33. McCreanor T, Barnes H, Kaiwai H, Borell S, Gregory A. Creating intoxicogenic environments: marketing alcohol to young people in Aotearoa New Zealand. *Soc Sci Med.* 2008;67:938–46.
34. Swinburn B, Egger G. Preventative strategies against weight gain and obesity. *Obes Rev.* 2002;3:289–301.
35. Elliott C. 'Big Food' and 'gamified' products: promotion, packaging and the promise of fun. *Crit Public Health.* 2014;25:348–360.
36. Elliott C. Knowledge needs and the 'savvy' child: teenager perspectives on banning food marketing to children. *Crit Public Health.* 2017;27:430–42.
37. Tyrrell RL, Greenhalgh F, Hodgson S, Wills WJ, Mathers JC, Adamson AJ, Lake AA. Food environments of young people: linking individual behaviour to environmental context. *J Public Health.* 2016;39:95–104.
38. Tyrrell R, Townshend TG, Adamson AJ, Lake AA. 'I'm not trusted in the kitchen': food environments and food behaviours of young people attending school and college. *J Public Health.* 2015;38:289–99.
39. Watts AW, Lovato CY, Barr SI, Hanning RM, Masse LC. A qualitative study exploring how school and community environments shape the food choices of adolescents with overweight/obesity. *Appetite.* 2015;95:360–7.
40. Thompson C, Cummins S, Brown T, Kyle R. Contrasting approaches to 'doing' family meals: a qualitative study of how parents frame children's food preferences. *Crit Public Health.* 2016;26:322–32.
41. MacArthur G, Jacob N, Pound P, Hickman M, Campbell R. Among friends: a qualitative exploration of the role of peers in young people's alcohol use using Bourdieu's concepts of habitus, field and capital. *Sociol Health Illn.* 2016;39:30–46.
42. Jones SC, Andrews K, Berry N. Lost in translation: a focus group study of parents' and adolescents' interpretations of underage drinking and parental supply. *BMC Public Health.* 2016;16:1–10.
43. Wills WJ, Lawton J. Attitudes to weight and weight management in the early teenage years: a qualitative study of parental perceptions and views. *Health Expect.* 2014;18:775–783.
44. Fielding-Singh P, Wang J. Table talk: how mothers and adolescents across socioeconomic status discuss food. *Soc Sci Med.* 2017;187:49–57.
45. Clare P, Julie MP, Miranda C, Lyndsey W, Gia DA, Gayle L, Carole S, Andrew W, Richard A. Engaging homeless individuals in discussion about their food experiences to optimise wellbeing: a pilot study. *Health Educ J.* 2017;76:557–68.
46. Østergaard J, Andrade SB. Who pre-drinks before a night out and why? Socioeconomic status and motives behind young people's pre-drinking in the United Kingdom. *J Substance Use.* 2014;19:229–38.
47. Savell E, Fooks G, Gilmore AB. How does the alcohol industry attempt to influence marketing regulations? A systematic review. *Addiction.* 2015;111:18–32.
48. Shepherd J, Harden A, Rees R, Brunton G, Garcia J, Oliver S, Oakley A. Young people and healthy eating: a systematic review of research on barriers and facilitators. *Health Educ Res.* 2006;21:239–57.
49. Yap MBH, Cheong TWK, Zaravinos-Tsakos F, Lubman DI, Jorm AF. Modifiable parenting factors associated with adolescent alcohol misuse: A systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Addiction.* 2017;n/a-n/a.
50. Centre for Reviews and Dissemination. CRD's guidance for undertaking reviews in health care. York: University of York; 2009.
51. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med.* 2009;6:e1000097.
52. Tong A, Flemming K, McInnes E, Oliver S, Craig J. Enhancing transparency in reporting the synthesis of qualitative research: ENTREQ. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* 2012;12:181.
53. Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, Shekelle P, Stewart LA, PRISMA-P group. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic Reviews.* 2015;4:1.
54. Cooke A, Smith D, Booth A. Beyond PICO: the SPIDER tool for qualitative evidence synthesis. *Qual Health Res.* 2012;22:1435–43.
55. Joanna Briggs Institute. QARI User Manual. Adelaide: Joanna Briggs Institute; 2003.
56. CASP Checklists. http://media.wix.com/ugd/dded87_29c5b002d99342f788c6ac670e49f274.pdf. Accessed 20 Apr 2017.
57. Lewin S, Glenton C, Munthe-Kaas H, Carlsen B, Colvin CJ, Gülmezoglu M, Noyes J, Booth A, Garside R, Rashidian A. Using qualitative evidence in decision making for health and social interventions: an approach to assess confidence in findings from qualitative evidence syntheses (GRADE-CERQual). *PLoS Med.* 2015;12:e1001895.
58. Toews I, Booth A, Berg RC, Lewin S, Glenton C, Munthe-Kaas HM, Noyes J, Schroter S, Meerpohl JJ. Further exploration of dissemination bias in qualitative research required to facilitate assessment within qualitative evidence syntheses. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2017;S0895-4356;30570-4.
59. Morton RL, Tong A, Howard K, Snelling P, Webster AC. The views of patients and carers in treatment decision making for chronic kidney disease: systematic review and thematic synthesis of qualitative studies. *BMJ.* 2010;340:c112.
60. Thomas J, Harden A. Methods for the thematic synthesis of qualitative research in systematic reviews. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* 2008;8:45.
61. Smith LK, Pope C, Botha JL. Patients' help-seeking experiences and delay in cancer presentation: a qualitative synthesis. *Lancet.* 2005;366:825–31.
62. Lucas PJ, Baird J, Arai L, Law C, Roberts HM. Worked examples of alternative methods for the synthesis of qualitative and quantitative research in systematic reviews. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* 2007;7:4.
63. Jansen YJFM, Foets MME, de Bont AA. The contribution of qualitative research to the development of tailor-made community-based interventions in primary care: a review. *Eur J Pub Health.* 2010;20:220–6.
64. Bartholomew LK, Mullen PD. Five roles for using theory and evidence in the design and testing of behavior change interventions. *J Public Health Dent.* 2011;71:S20–33.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

