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**OTUD7A Regulates Neurodevelopmental Phenotypes in the 15q13.3 Microdeletion Syndrome**

Mohammed Uddin,1,2,3,12 Brianna K. Unda,4,12 Vickie Kwan,4 Nicholas T. Holzapfel,4 Sean H. White,4 Leon Chalili,4 Marc Woodbury-Smith,1,5 Karen S. Ho,6 Erin Harward,6 Nadeem Murtaza,4 Biren Dave,4 Giovanna Pellecchia,1,2 Lia D’Abate,1,2,7 Thomas Nalpathamkalam,1,2 Sylvia Lamoureux,1,2 John Wei,1,2 Marsha Speevak,8 James Stavropoulos,9 Kristin J. Hope,4 Brad W. Doble,4 Jacob Nielsen,11 E. Robert Wassman,6 Stephen W. Scherer,2,7,10,13,* and Karun K. Singh4,13,∗

Copy-number variations (CNVs) are strong risk factors for neurodevelopmental and psychiatric disorders. The 15q13.3 microdeletion syndrome region contains up to ten genes and is associated with numerous conditions, including autism spectrum disorder (ASD), epilepsy, schizophrenia, and intellectual disability; however, the mechanisms underlying the pathogenesis of 15q13.3 microdeletion syndrome remain unknown. We combined whole-genome sequencing, human brain gene expression (proteome and transcriptome), and a mouse model with a syntenic heterozygous deletion (Df(h15q13)/+ mice) and determined that the microdeletion results in abnormal development of cortical dendritic spines and dendrite outgrowth. Analysis of large-scale genomic, transcriptomic, and proteomic data identified OTUD7A as a critical gene for brain function. OTUD7A was found to localize to dendritic and spine compartments in cortical neurons, and its reduced levels in Df(h15q13)/+ cortical neurons contributed to the dendritic spine and dendrite outgrowth deficits. Our results reveal OTUD7A as a major regulatory gene for 15q13.3 microdeletion syndrome phenotypes that contribute to the disease mechanism through abnormal cortical neuron morphological development.

**Introduction**

Neurodevelopmental disorders include various conditions characterized by deficits or delays in typical developmental milestones that appear in childhood. Genetic studies indicate that copy-number variations (CNVs), comprising deletions or duplications of genomic DNA that may directly or indirectly affect the dosage of genes, can be significant risk factors for these disorders. Certain genomic contexts are hotspots for recombination- and replication-based mechanisms that result in microdeletions or microduplications. While 5%–20% of developmentally delayed individuals carry a rare large pathogenic CNV, the pathobiology is poorly understood. Furthermore, for most CNVs associated with neurodevelopmental disorders, the underlying genes that contribute to the clinical phenotypes remain unknown. The 22q11.2 and 16p11.2 microdeletions are two specific CNVs associated with schizophrenia (MIM: 181500), autism spectrum disorder (ASD) (MIM: 209850), respectively, in which substantial progress has been made into the understanding of disease pathophysiology.2–9 In both, modeling microdeletions in animal models has accelerated the pace at which the underlying biological pathogenic mechanism(s) have been identified and has provided a means to test potential “driver genes” underlying CNV-associated phenotypes.10–14 Importantly, these studies have led to potential drug therapies that have been tested in preclinical mouse models,5,7,15 highlighting the power of this approach.

The 15q13.3 1.53 Mb microdeletion syndrome (MIM: 612001) locus (chr15:30,910,306–32,445,407 [hg19]) that resides within breakpoints BP4-BP5 on human chromosome 15 is a recurrent CNV that results in a highly heterogeneous set of phenotypes including intellectual disability (50%–60%), autism spectrum disorder (10%–20%), epilepsy (~30%) (MIM: 607208), and schizophrenia (10%–20%).16–23 Among the more than 200 individuals bearing 15q13.3 BP4-BP5 deletions who have been described in the clinical literature, 80% exhibited ascertainment-independent phenotypic manifestations; this implies that one or more genes in the CNV may contribute to disease manifestation, including the incomplete penetrance and variable expressivity observed.24 Individuals are typically heterozygous for the 15q13.3 microdeletion, which encompasses seven protein-coding genes, one microRNA, and two putative pseudogenes (ARHGAP11B [MIM: 616310], LOC100288637, FA1N [MIM: 613534], MTMR10, TRPM1 [MIM: 603576], LOC283710, microRNA-211, KLF13
Both projects from the literature that had deletions encompassing the 15q13.3 microdeletion were generated (Df(h15q13)/+ mice) that contain a deletion of the syntenic murine chromosome region (mouse chromosome 7qC) corresponding to the human CNV. Phenotypic manifestations of this heterozygous mouse model include schizophrenia- and epilepsy-related endophenotypes, notably long-term spatial memory deficits, increased sensitivity to stress, and reductions in auditory-evoked gamma power (similar to schizophrenia patients).25 Many of these observed clinical phenotypes were replicated in another independent 15q13.3 heterozygous microdeletion mouse model.26

In contrast to the heterozygous deletion, the homozygous deletion is extremely rare and only eight case subjects have been reported in the literature.24,27,28 All reported case subjects that carry the homozygous deletion manifested severe cognitive and physiological impairments including severe DD/ID, hypotonia, seizures, and visual impairment.24 A recent study analyzed behavioral abnormalities of Df(h15q13)/− homozygous knock out (KO) mice29 in which both copies of the genes within the locus are deleted.27 While the majority of human case subjects are carriers of a heterozygous microdeletion, the homozygous KO mice displayed more pronounced phenotypes in seizure susceptibility, ASD behavior-related phenotypes, and auditory sensory processing, demonstrating a gene-dosage dependency. While the underlying neurophysiological abnormalities remain unknown, recent studies using resting-state fMRI have revealed that Df(h15q13)/+ mice display altered neuronal firing rates in the prefrontal cortex30 and abnormal brain hyperconnectivity patterns,31 however, the underlying molecular and physiological brain abnormalities contributing to the mouse behavioral, imaging, and electrophysiological phenotypes remain unknown.

The complexities inherent in the identification of the critical driver gene(s) in a given CNV are significant and require evidence from multiple sources. With regard to the 15q13.3 microdeletion, CHRNA7 (encoding a cholinergic receptor) has been proposed as a driver gene, in part because some patients have overlapping deletions that encompass CHRNA7.20 Furthermore, CHRNA7 agonists may improve cognition in humans with schizophrenia32 and abnormal fMRI-derived brain connectivity in Df(h15q13)/+ mice.31 However, Chroma7 KO mice display very mild defects in synaptic function and learning33–36 and have no consistent behavioral or neurophysiological phenotypes compared to Df(h15q13)/+ mice.37 Furthermore, among clinical case subjects, many of the deletions encompassing CHRNA7 also overlap the adjacent gene OTUD7A.20 One study reported 43 case subjects from the literature that had deletions encompassing both CHRNA7 and the first exon of OTUD7A.24 The Database of Genomic Variants (DGV) includes population control subjects that carry CHRNA7 deletions, bringing into question the penetrance of haplo-insufficiency of CHRNA7.38,39 Another possible candidate is FAN1, which encodes a DNA repair enzyme. Rare nonsynonymous variants have been found in this gene among individuals with ASD or schizophrenia.40 Consequently, other genes in the 15q13.3 microdeletion could contribute to disease pathogenesis.

Here, we used multiple in silico, in vivo, and in vitro strategies to dissect the cellular phenotypes and critical loci that contribute to the 15q13.3 microdeletion syndrome. By using the Df(h15q13)/+ heterozygous mouse model, RNA sequencing, signaling pathway analysis, and neuronal morphology analysis, we discovered that developing cortical excitatory neurons have deficiencies in dendrite and synapse growth (Figure S2). We concurrently identified candidate gene(s) within this syndromic region contributing to these phenotypes by applying developmental human brain expression (transcriptome and proteome) data analysis together with whole-genome and -exome sequencing data from individuals with neurodevelopmental disorders and ASD.31 These analyses identified a strong candidate gene, OTUD7A, within the 15q13.3 microdeletion syndrome region, which encodes a putative deubiquitinating enzyme that localizes to dendritic spine compartments and has a protein-protein co-expression network that includes synaptic and dendritic signaling pathways. We biologically validated OTUD7A and found that re-expressing OTUD7A WT into cortical neurons from Df(h15q13)/+ mice rescued the dendritic spine defects. However, a mutant OTUD7A harboring an ASD-linked de novo exonic mutation was unable to rescue these defects. Furthermore, we found that both OTUD7A and CHRNA7 contribute to the dendrite outgrowth defects. Our data identify OTUD7A as a candidate gene that contributes to abnormalities in cortical neuron dendritic and spine development and a critical gene in the phenotypic manifestation of the 15q13.3 microdeletion syndrome.

Material and Methods

RNA Sequencing and Differential Expression Analysis

mRNA was extracted from E16, P21, and adult (P63) mouse cortical brain tissue using Trizol LS reagent (ThermoFisher Scientific). Two WT and two Df(h15q13)/+ mice were used for each time point (12 mice total). All postnatal mice used for transcriptome analysis were male whereas sex was undetermined for embryonic mouse brain samples. Quality of total RNA samples was checked on an Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 RNA Nano chip following Agilent Technologies’ recommendation. We have measured the concentration by Qubit RNA HS Assay on a Qubit fluorometer (ThermoFisher). The library preparation for RNA was performed following the Illumina Stranded mRNA Library Preparation protocol. In brief, 500 ng of total RNA was used as the input material and enriched for poly(A) mRNA, fragmented into the 200- to 300-base range for 4 min at 94°C and converted to double stranded cDNA, end-repaired, and adenylated at the 3’ to create an overhang A to allow for ligation of Illumina adapters with an overhang T; library fragments were amplified under the following...
conditions: initial denaturation at 98°C for 10 s, followed by 10 cycles of 98°C for 10 s, 60°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s, and finally an extension step for 5 min at 72°C; at the amplification step, each sample was amplified with different barcoded adapters to allow for multiplex sequencing. To check the size fragment, 1 μL of the final RNA libraries was loaded on a Bioanalyzer 2100 DNA High Sensitivity chip (Agilent Technologies); RNA libraries were quantified by qPCR using the Kapa Library Quantification Illumina/ABI Prism Kit protocol (KAPA Biosystems). Libraries were pooled in equimolar quantities and paired-end sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform using a High Throughput Run Mode flowcell and the V4 sequencing chemistry following Illumina’s recommended protocol to generate paired-end reads of 126 bases in length.

We used TopHat2 to align the read and conducted expression analysis for each gene through reads per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads (tpkm) computation. To compute global differential expression (DE) analysis for each of the three time points—E16, P21, and adult (P63)—we conducted comprehensive DE analysis using a Bioconductor package named edgeR. Differential expression was computed against control expression for each of the three time points separately and a gene was considered differentially expressed for a time point only if p < 0.0001 and FDR < 0.05.

Microarray and Sequencing Data
Microarray data were obtained for 38,325 ASD-affected and developmental delay (DD)-affected case subjects from four large sources (Table S3). The first dataset is comprised of 1,026 samples diagnosed primarily with ASD and genotyped with high-resolution microarrays (Illumina 1M). The other three other datasets comprised case subjects with developmental delay. The first of these includes 9,322 samples recruited from USA by a private company (Lineagen Inc.) and the data were generated using high-resolution Affymetrix Cytoscan HD in conjunction with the Chas algorithm. The second dataset comprised 10,619 DD-affected case subjects from two major clinics in Ontario, Canada. For the first and second datasets, ethical clearance was obtained from The Hospital for Sick Children’s institutional ethical board. The third dataset is from a published dataset of 17,358 case subjects with DD in USA, primarily from a private diagnostic company (Lineagen Inc.) and the data were generated using Affymetrix GeneChip Human Exon 1.0 ST. The fourth dataset is comprised of 17,358 case subjects with DD in USA, primarily from a private diagnostic company (Lineagen Inc.) and the data were generated using Affymetrix GeneChip Human Exon 1.0 ST.

Geneset Enrichment Analysis and Visualization
We conducted a comprehensive geneset enrichment analysis on RNA-seq differentially expressed genes and for the first-degree neighbor proteins for OTUD7A that were extracted from the blue protein module. We manually curated 2,848 gene sets from the gene ontology (GO) (R package, v.2.8.0), pathways from the National Cancer Institute at the National Institutes of Health (NCI-NIH), Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) (May 30, 2013), and Reactome databases. Gene sets were considered significant after Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate of 0.05. To visualize network datasets, we used Cytoscape plugins using v.3.2.0.

Animals
Df(h15q13)/+ mice were generated by Taconic Artemis as described in Fejgin et al. Animals were bred, genotyped, and housed at the Central Animal Facility at McMaster University. All procedures received the approval of the Animal Research Ethics Board (AREB). Genotypes were identified during breeding by PCR of ear notches, and three WT females were bred with 1 Df(h15q13)/+ male per breeding cage. The use of only WT females for breeding was performed to minimize effects of potential differences in the embryonic environment and/or mothering of the Df(h15q13)/+ females compared to WT females. To obtain cortical cultures, WT females were timed-bred with Df(h15q13)/+ males.
and males were removed when a plug was observed, indicating copulation. At E16, mothers were sacrificed and litters were collected. Animals of appropriate genotype were included, and any animals with unclear genotypes were excluded from experiments.

**Cell Culture and Transfection**

Primary cortical neurons were cultured as follows. Cortices were dissected out of WT and Df(h15q13)/+ mouse embryonic brains at E16. Each brain was cultured individually. Dissociation was aided by incubation in 0.3 mg/mL Papain (Worthington Biochemical)/400 U/mL DNase I (Invitrogen) in 1× Hanks Buffered Saline Solution (HBSS) for 20 min at 37°C, followed by light trituration. Cells were seeded onto 0.1 mg/mL poly-D-lysine (BD Sciences)/3.3 μg/mL Laminin (Sigma)-coated coverslips (Matsunami) in 12-well plates in plating media containing Neurobasal medium, 10% foetal bovine serum, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, and 2 mM L-glutamine (Invitrogen). After 1.5 hr, media was changed to serum-free feeding media containing Neurobasal medium, 2% B27 supplement, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, and 2 mM L-glutamine. At DIV2-4, cultures were treated with 1 mM L-glutamine (Invitrogen). At DIV5, cultures were treated with 2 mM L-glutamine (Invitrogen). After 1.5 hr, media was changed to Neurobasal medium, 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, and 2 mM L-glutamine. At DIV7, media was replaced with blocking/permeabilization solution consisting of 10% Donkey Serum (Millipore) and 0.3% Triton X-100 (Fisher Scientific) in PBS for 1 hr at room temperature. Incubation in primary antibodies was performed at 4°C overnight. Cells were then washed in PBS, followed by incubation with a secondary antibodies in 50% blocking/permeabilization solution at room temperature with gentle agitation for 1.5 hr. Cells were then washed in PBS and were mounted on VistaVision glass microscope slides (VWR) using Prolong Gold anti-fade reagent (Life Technologies). Images were acquired using a Zeiss LSM700 confocal microscope.

**Antibodies and Constructs**

The following primary antibodies were used in this study. Mouse anti-FLAG (Sigma F3165; IF 1:2,000; western blotting 1:2,000), rabbit anti-β-actin (Cell Signaling 4970; western blotting 1:2,000), and rabbit anti-P53D95 (Cell Signaling Technologies D27E11; IF 1:200). All secondary antibodies (anti-rabbit cy5, anti-mouse cy3; Jackson ImmunoResearch; IF 1:500, anti-rabbit-HRP, anti-mouse-HRP; GE Life Sciences; IB 1:5,000) were raised in donkey.

pCAGG-Venus was provided by Dr. Zhigang Xie (Boston University, MA). The FLAG-OTUD7A WT was amplified from a pCMV6_FLAG-WT-OTUD7A construct (Origene, RC213015, GenBank: NM_130901) and cloned into the pcDNA3.3 vector between EcoRI and KpnI. pcDNA3.3_FLAG-OTUD7A p.Asn492_Lys494del plasmid was generated using In-Fusion cloning kit. FLAG-CHRMA7, FLAG-FAN1, and FLAG-KLF13 were amplified from a pCMV6_FLAG-CHRMA7 (Origene, RC221382, GenBank: NM_000746), pCMV6_FLAG-FAN1 (Origene, RC224249, GenBank: NM_014967), and pCMV6_FLAG-KLF13 (Origene, RC200805, GenBank: NM_015995) and cloned into the pcDNA3.3 vector using In-Fusion cloning kit.

**Morphological Analyses**

Images were processed and analyzed using ImageJ software (Sholl Analysis plugin). This plugin was used to make concentric circles increasing at a constant radius of 10 μm and to count the number of dendritic intersections. Neuron tracing was performed using the Sholl tool in ImageJ. Spines were defined as follows: stubby (L < 1 μm), mushroom (1 ≤ L ≤ 5 μm; HW ≤ 2 × NW), or thin (1 ≤ L ≤ 5 μm; WH ≤ 2 × NW). The proportion of each spine type was calculated by dividing the number of spines in each spine category (mushroom, thin, and stubby)
by the total number of spines within the dendritic segment. For analyses on Golgi-stained brains, 40 neurons from 4 brains per condition were used for sholl analysis and 40 dendritic segments from 4 mouse brains per condition were used for spine analysis. For IUE experiments, 21–23 neurons from 3 brains per condition were used for sholl analysis, and 30 dendritic segments from 3 brains per condition were used for spine analysis. For in vitro analyses, 21–50 neurons were used from 3–4 biological replications (mouse litters) for sholl analysis, and 20–36 dendritic segments from 3–5 biological replications (mouse litters) for spine analysis.

Quantitative PCR
To measure postnatal developmental RNA expression of OTUD7A in the mouse brain, we obtained whole-brain samples of P0, P7, P14, P21, P28, and P63 WT C57BL/6 mice. Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol LS Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific), followed by cDNA synthesis using the qScript cDNA synthesis kit according to manufacturer instructions (Quanta Biosciences). Primers and probes were designed and selected using the Universal Probe Library (UPL) Probefinder software for mouse (Roche). Quantitative PCR was performed using the Perfecta qPCR Supermix (Quanta Biosciences) and UPL probe #50 (OTUD7A) or UPL probe #52 (GAPDH). Data represented as levels of OTUD7A normalized to GAPDH levels.

Western Blotting
Western blotting was performed using standard protocols. Briefly, HEK293FT cells were lysed in lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 50 mM Tris-Cl, and complete mini protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). 20–30 µg of sample was loaded into a 10% Tris-Glycine gel and transferred to a PVDF membrane (Bio-Rad). Membranes were blocked for 1 hr in 3% milk in 1× TBST, incubated with primary antibody overnight at 4°C, then with secondary antibody (donkey anti-mouse or anti-rabbit HRP, GE Healthcare) for 1 hr at room temperature before exposure using a ChemiDoc MP system (Bio-Rad).

Statistical Analysis
Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. A minimum of three mice per condition or three mouse litters for in vitro culture experiments, was used for statistical analysis. Blinding was not performed. We used the Student’s t test, one-way ANOVA with post hoc Tukey’s test, and two-way ANOVA in GraphPad Prism 6 statistical software for statistical analyses. p values in the figure legends are from the specified tests, and p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Df(h15q13)/+ Mice Show Defects in Forebrain Development Including Abnormalities in Dendritic Spines and Dendrite Growth
To study the 15q13.3 microdeletion (Figure 1), we utilized the heterozygous BP4-BP5 mouse model (Df(h15q13)/+).25 We examined temporal gene expression (using RNA sequencing) in cortical brain tissue from Df(h15q13)/+ and WT mice at three stages: prenatal (embryonic day 16; E16), early postnatal (postnatal day 21; P21), and adult (P63) (Figures S1A and S1B and Table S1). We identified 66 genes with significant (p < 0.0001 and FDR < 0.05)
differential expression from the three developmental time points. *Mtmr10, Otu7a, Klf13, Fan1*, and *Chrna7* displayed significant differential expression (DE) in all periods (*Tppm1* is not expressed in the brain, and *mir211* was not detected because samples were not enriched for small RNAs during sample preparation) (Figure S1A), demonstrating that the heterozygosity of gene expression persists into adulthood. Interestingly, we found that differential gene expression was most pronounced (~2-fold) at postnatal time points, P21, and adulthood (when spine and synapse development is peaking). We conducted extensive gene enrichment analyses for all differentially expressed genes by using 2,848 gene sets with defined biological pathways in KEGG, GO, NCI-NIH, and Reactome databases. The most significant enrichment across all time points was observed for prenatal organ development (*p < 2.9 × 10^{-95}), significant after Benjamini-Hochberg correction* and forebrain development (*p < 6.8 × 10^{-5}) (Figure S1C and Table S2). The significant enrichment of the forebrain development pathway in the WT versus *Df(h15q13)/+* comparison suggests that cortical development is disrupted by the microdeletion.

We next focused on postnatal cortical (forebrain) development in *Df(h15q13)/+* mice because of our transcriptional data and previous studies that identified abnormalities in excitatory neuron development.55–58 Therefore, we measured dendritic spine morphology and dendrite growth *in vivo* on Golgi-Cox-stained WT and *Df(h15q13)/+* mouse brains. We analyzed cortical neurons in layers 2/3 of the prefrontal cortex (PFC) of male P28 *Df(h15q13)/+* and age/sex-matched WT mice. We found that in *Df(h15q13)/+* prefrontal cortex, there was a small but significant (*p < 0.01*) reduction in spine density and mature mushroom-shaped spines (Figures 2A and 2B). *Df(h15q13)/+* mice also displayed a significant (*p < 0.05*) reduction in spine length and spine neck length (Figure S2A). Dendritic arborization was measured using Sholl analysis39 and revealed that *Df(h15q13)/+* mice showed decreased arborization in layer 2/3 PFC neurons compared to WT mice (Figures 2C and 2D). Since these findings were obtained *in vivo*, it is difficult to determine whether they are cell autonomous. Therefore, we cultured cortical neurons from littermate *Df(h15q13)/+* and WT mice, transfected with a Venus fluorescent reporter, and analyzed neurons at 14 days *in vitro* (DIV14). *Df(h15q13)/+* neurons also showed a significant reduction in the density of dendritic spines and spine length (Figures 2E, 2F, and S2B), as well as a decrease in mature mushroom-type spines (*p < 0.05*) and increase in immature stubby-type spines (*p < 0.01*) (Figure 2G), replicating many of the *in vivo* phenotypes. In addition, there was a significant decrease in dendritic arborization in *Df(h15q13)/+* neurons compared to WT littermates (Figures 2H and 2I). The spine and dendrite phenotypes in *Df(h15q13)/+* observed *in vitro* were stronger than *in vivo*, suggesting some level of compensation, similar to previous reports.1,60

**OTUD7A Is a Candidate Driver Gene in the 15q13.3 Microdeletion**

We further assessed the pathogenicity of the 15q13.3 microdeletion by using large datasets to compile all available published and unpublished case subjects (Table S3). In addition, clinical microarray data from 38,325 case subjects with a range of neurodevelopmental disorders were examined to identify the frequency of 15q13.3 deletions (chr15: 30910306–32445407 [hg19], encapsulating BP4 and BP5). Stringent CNV calling parameters were applied (minimum of 5 probes, minimum of 2 algorithms, >30 kb in length) on the clinical microarray data, facilitating the discovery of 156 individuals with 15q13.3 microdeletions impacting the typical BP4-BP5. Among these microdeletions, 148 were detected in case subjects with broad developmental phenotypes and 8 in case subjects with a primary diagnosis of ASD (Figure S3A). In contrast, we identified only one BP4-BP5 deletion within a control population of 22,241 individuals (Figure S3A). The enrichment of 15q13.3 microdeletions within neurodevelopmental disorder-affected and ASD-affected case subjects (0.4% combined in NDD and ASD case subjects; 0.004% in control subjects) is highly significant (*p < 1.30 × 10^{-29}). Together, these data re-confirm that the 15q13.3 microdeletion is strongly associated with neurodevelopmental disorders.16,61

We then applied three approaches to narrow down the potential contributing gene(s) from the ten genes present in BP4-BP5. First, we determined whether there are smaller deletions within BP4-BP5 that are associated with neurodevelopmental disorders. We delineated the minimal region (530 kb in size) of overlap from our clinical microarray sample and found that only two genes (*CHRNA7* and *OTUD7A*) are associated with an atypical smaller CNV. This has been reported previously, but it is particularly noteworthy that at least 43 overlapping deletions encompassing *CHRNA7* also impacted *OTUD7A*20,24 (Figure 1). Furthermore, since 52 microdeletions encompassing *CHRNA7* are found within our control samples (compared to zero smaller deletions in controls within *OTUD7A*), this also implicates other genes within the CNV. In further support of this, we identified a 5-year-old female, previously unreported in the literature, with global developmental delay who possessed a genetic deletion that spanned BP4-BP5 including *OTUD7A* but not *CHRNA7* (Figure S3B). Similarly, a duplication breakpoint that does not involve *CHRNA7* has also been recently reported in a female patient with atypical Rett syndrome who is negative for an *MECP2* mutation.62 Taken together, these data suggest that genes other than *CHRNA7*, such as *OTUD7A*, may contribute to the 15q13.3 microdeletion syndrome.

We next investigated DNA sequence-level mutations in the ten genes within the microdeletion among individuals with a neurodevelopmental disorder but who did not have a 15q13.3 microdeletion. We focused on *de novo* mutations, given their established role in pathogenicity.41,51–53,63–66 We investigated whole-genome
sequencing data \( n = 84 \) ASD quad families, and exome-sequencing data \( n = 5,953 \) ASD trios and discovered 8 de novo mutations impacting regions in the BP4-BP5 interval. Three of these mutations impacted OTUD7A, one impacted MTMR10, one impacted TRPM1 (Table 1, case 2, GenBank: NM_001252024.1; c.2382C>G [p.Phe794Leu]), and the remainder were intergenic de novo mutations. Among the de novo OTUD7A mutations specifically, the first is an exonic de novo 9 bp non-frame-shift deletion (Table 1, case 3, GenBank: NM_130901.2; c.1474_1482del [p.Asn492_Lys494del]), in an ASD-affected proband and their affected sibling (Figures 3A, S3D, and S3E, and Table 1). The second and third are both intronic, one a de novo indel (2 bp deletion) observed

Figure 2. \( Df(h15q13)/+ \) Mice Have Defects in Dendritic Spine Development and Neuronal Morphology
(A) Golgi-stained dendritic spine images (left) and spine density analysis (right). \( Df(h15q13)/+ \) mice show a decrease in spine density in layer II/III PFC neurons. WT, \( n = 40 \) dendritic segments, 22 neurons; \( Df(h15q13)/+ , n = 40 \) dendritic segments, 26 neurons, 4 mice per condition, Student’s t test, \( **p < 0.01, t(78) = 2.846. \) Scale bar = 5 \( \mu m \).
(B) \( Df(h15q13)/+ \) mice show a decrease in PFC mushroom spines (left), WT, \( n = 40 \) dendritic segments, 22 neurons; \( Df(h15q13)/+ , n = 40 \) dendritic segments, 26 neurons, 4 mice per condition, Student’s t test, \( *p < 0.05, t(78) = 2.403, t(78) = 0.1312, t(78) = 1.673. \)
(C) Traces of P28 WT and \( Df(h15q13)/+ \) Golgi-stained layer II/III prefrontal cortical (PFC) neurons.
(D) Sholl analysis (left) and the total number of intersections (right). \( Df(h15q13)/+ \) mice show a decrease in dendrite growth in layer II/III PFC neurons. \( n = 40 \) neurons, 4 mice per condition, two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post hoc test, \( ***p < 0.001, F(9, 780) = 109.2, t(78) = 3.449. \)
(E) Dendritic spine images from WT and \( Df(h15q13)/+ \) cultured neurons (scale bar = 5 \( \mu m \)).
(F) Spine density measurements in cultured neurons. Spine density is decreased in \( Df(h15q13)/+ \) cultured cortical neurons. WT, \( n = 32 \) dendritic segments from 12 neurons; \( Df(h15q13)/+ , n = 32 \) dendritic segments from 15 neurons, 3 cultures, Student’s t test, \( *p < 0.05, t(62) = 2.311. \)
(G) Dendritic spine classification in WT and \( Df(h15q13)/+ \) cultured neurons. In \( Df(h15q13)/+ \) cultured cortical neurons, the proportion of mushroom-type spines is decreased (left) and the proportion of stubby type spines is increased (right). WT, \( n = 32 \) dendritic segments from 12 neurons; \( Df(h15q13)/+ , n = 32 \) dendritic segments from 15 neurons, 3 cultures, Student’s t test, \( *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, t(62) = 2.007, t(62) = 0.1383, t(62) = 2.875. \)
(H) DIV14 WT and \( Df(h15q13)/+ \) cultured cortical neurons expressing Venus (scale bar = 50 \( \mu m \)).
(I) Sholl analysis of cultured cortical neurons. Dendrite growth is decreased in \( Df(h15q13)/+ \) neurons. \( n = 50 \) neurons, 3 cultures, two-way ANOVA followed by Sidak post hoc test, \( ***p < 0.001, F(14, 1470) = 85.55. \) Error bars represent SEM.
in an ASD-affected proband and the other an intronic de novo single-nucleotide mutation in an ASD-affected proband (Table 1). One of the intergenic de novo mutations was identified in two ASD-affected siblings between OTUD7A and CHRNA7 (cases 5 and 6 in Table 1); genome-wide, no other pathogenic exonic mutations or CNVs were identified in either of these affected siblings. Interestingly, the intergenic mutation between OTUD7A and CHRNA7 occurs in the binding site of the transcription factor, enhancer of zeste 2 polycomb repressive complex subunit 2 (EZH2) (Figure S4). EZH2 (MIM: 601573) has previously been implicated in dendrite growth, which raises the hypothesis that EZH2 regulates OTUD7A expression; however, further investigation is required to investigate the intergenic mutations. In contrast, no de novo mutations within OTUD7A were identified from whole-genome sequencing of 250 Dutch trio control samples or exome sequencing of the unaffected siblings of ASD-affected probands (from a family dataset of 5,205 individuals). The identification of de novo mutations either within or near OTUD7A is further evidence in support of OTUD7A as a major critical gene in the 15q13.3 microdeletion syndrome.

In our final approach, we assessed the exons of human genes for relevancy in neurodevelopment according to their population-level deleterious mutational burden in concert with brain mRNA expression (from RNA-sequencing data), earmarking those exons with a low mutational burden and high mRNA expression only in the developing human brain as being “brain critical.” This analysis identified FAN1 and OTUD7A as genes bearing critical exons, with OTUD7A having a higher ratio of brain critical exons than FAN1 (Figure 3C). FAN1 was recently suggested to be a susceptibility gene in the 15q13.3 microdeletion based on the identification of an enrichment of small nucleotide variants (SNVs) in ASD and SCZ populations. However, in our analysis, we did not identify de novo mutations in FAN1 or overlapping deletions containing this gene and therefore did not pursue it further. To complement the critical exon analysis, we also examined the tolerance of loss-of-function (pLI) mutations of each of the 15q13.3 genes (a measure of the probability that a given gene is extremely intolerant of loss-of-function variation) from the exome aggregation consortium (ExAC) database. Comparison of the genes in the 15q13.3 microdeletion (no data were available for CHRNA7) revealed that OTUD7A possessed the most constrained gene scores (pLI = 0.97 and Z = 5.92) (Figure 3D), supporting its important evolutionary role in development.

To investigate the expression patterns of the genes within the BP4-BP5 deletion in the brain, we conducted qRT-PCR analysis of 8 genes (except KLF13 and miR-211; LOC100288637 was not detected) in 12 human tissues. For each gene, primers were designed targeting the exons displayed a brain-specific mRNA expression pattern compared to other tissues (Figures 3B and S3C). This is similar to the expression profile of OTUD7A in the GTeX database. Furthermore, the BrainSpan database for human developmental brain expression shows that many OTUD7A exons are expressed above the 75th percentile of the total brain expression dataset (Figure S3F). KLF13 is notable because it has higher brain expression than OTUD7A in these databases; however, upon further examination it is largely driven by one exon (Figure S3F), and its expression is not brain specific, which is evident in the critical-exon analysis.

We also determined the protein co-expression network for OTUD7A in the brain using recently published human tissue protein-level data. To identify a core set of proteins having an important role in brain development, we analyzed protein data from 24 human tissues (each pooled from 3 post-mortem samples) including 17 adult and 7 prenatal samples that were run through high-resolution Fourier transform mass spectrometers for fragmentation. Weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA) analysis was used to identify 23 unbiased and independent protein modules. After rigorous gene set enrichment analysis (see STAR Methods), one of the modules was chosen for further analysis, as it was brain-specific and significantly associated with neurodevelopment and comprised of 2,484 proteins. Strikingly,
Figure 3. Identification of OTUD7A as a Driver Gene of the 15q13.3 Microdeletion
(A) Schematic of the human OTUD7A protein showing the exonic 9 bp mutation (de novo p.Asn492_Lys494 deletion in the protein) found in an ASD proband and affected sibling (proband is case 3 in Table 1). The mutation is located in the nuclear localization sequence (NLS) of OTUD7A.
(B) OTUD7A mRNA expression relative to ACTB in various human tissues. OTUD7A is enriched in the brain, with highest expression in the frontal cortex.
(C) Ratio of brain critical exons in nine genes within the 15q13.3 microdeletion region. FAN1 and OTUD7A contain brain critical exons, with OTUD7A showing a higher ratio than that of FAN1.
(D) OTUD7A has the highest pLI (a score that indicates the probability that a gene is intolerant to a loss-of-function mutation) value compared to the other genes in the 15q13.3 critical region. The left y axis represents the number of observed LOF mutations within the ExAC population scale dataset and the right y axis shows the computed pLI score.
(E) OTUD7A mRNA expression in the mouse brain is low in early postnatal life, increases into adolescence, and remains stable into adulthood (n = 2 technical replicates).

(legend continued on next page)
WGCNA analysis identified OTUD7A as being the only gene within 15q13.3 microdeletion that was part of the brain-specific protein module. This is because the other 15q13.3 genes’ protein products did not have brain-specific expression. We identified 616 strongly connected (top 25th percentile) first-degree neighbor genes for OTUD7A within the brain-specific protein module. A comprehensive enrichment analysis was conducted, which determined that these OTUD7A neighboring genes are highly enriched for targets of FMR1 (Fragile X Mental Retardation 1), many of which are known to play a role at the synapse, as well as genes harboring ASD de novo mutations (Figure 3F). We performed a comprehensive gene set enrichment analysis to decipher the pathways and biological relevance of OTUD7A neighbor genes. The three most significant associations were observed with synapse (GO:0045520) (p < 1.1 x 10^{-82}, Benjamin-Hochberg corrected), synaptic component (GO:0044456) (p < 9.92 x 10^{-72}), and neuron projection (GO:0043005) (p < 9.96 x 10^{-72}) (Table S4). These co-expression networks align with the potential involvement of OTUD7A in the spine and dendrite abnormalities observed in Df(h15q13+)/+ mice. Furthermore, the collective data indicate that OTUD7A is the only gene in the 15q13.3 microdeletion that has brain-specific expression, contains critical exons, and is interconnected with a brain-specific synaptic signaling network linked to ASD.

Expression and Localization of OTUD7A in Neurons
We utilized WT and Df(h15q13+)/+ mice to investigate the biological function of Otud7a in the brain and to test our hypothesis that OTUD7A is a critical gene in the 15q13.3 microdeletion. We first analyzed the expression of Otud7a mRNA in the developing WT mouse brain and observed an expression profile similar to the developing human brain, with an increase in expression during early postnatal stages when dendrite and spine development are important (Figure 3E) and similar to the RNA-sequencing data (Figure S1A). To determine the localization of OTUD7A in neurons, we transfected a FLAG-tagged human OTUD7A (FLAG-OTUD7A WT) construct in cultured WT mouse cortical neurons and analyzed FLAG levels at DIV14, since no validated antibodies against OTUD7A are available. This experiment revealed that OTUD7A has a punctate localization pattern and is restricted to the soma and dendrites in Venus-transfected neurons (Venus is used for visualization) (Figure 3G). A very similar protein localization pattern was also independently reported by a companion study in this issue of AJHG.37 Furthermore, we detected a fraction of FLAG-OTUD7A puncta in dendritic spines that co-localized with PSD95, a postsynaptic marker of mature excitatory synapses (Figures 3G and 3H). These data reveal that Otud7a is expressed in the brain and can localize to dendritic and synaptic compartments in cortical neurons.

Rescue of Spine and Dendrite Phenotypes in Df(h15q13+)/+ Excitatory Neurons by OTUD7A
We hypothesized that the reduced expression of Otud7a in Df(h15q13+)/+ heterozygous neurons mediated the dendritic spine and dendrite growth phenotypes, and increasing OTUD7A expression in these neurons would rescue the deficit. To test this, we co-transfected Venus and FLAG-OTUD7A WT (or a control plasmid) into littermate WT or Df(h15q13+)/+ E16 mice using in utero electroporation to label layer 2/3 prefrontal cortical neurons. We examined dendritic spines and dendrite growth in brain slices at P22. We found that expression of OTUD7A WT in Df(h15q13+)/+ neurons completely rescued the deficit in spine density, the proportion of mushroom and stubby type spines, and spine length compared to WT conditions (Figures 4A–4E). We also found that expression of OTUD7A WT in Df(h15q13+)/+ neurons rescued the deficit in dendrite branching, similar to WT conditions (Figures 4F and 4G). We then tested whether the rescue by OTUD7A is recapitulated in vitro. We cultured cortical neurons from littermate WT and Df(h15q13+)/+ mice at E16 and co-transfected FLAG-OTUD7A WT and Venus at DIV7. We evaluated FLAG/Venus double-positive neurons at DIV14 to visualize and quantify dendrites and spines expressing OTUD7A WT. We found that expression of OTUD7A WT in cultured Df(h15q13+)/+ cortical neurons rescued the reduction in spine density, spine length, and the proportion of mushroom and stubby spines but had no effect on the proportion of thin spines or spine head width, neck width, or neck length (Figures 5A–5E, S5B, and S5C). OTUD7A also rescued dendritic branching compared to WT controls as measured by Sholl analysis and the total number of dendritic intersections (Figures 5F and 5G). Together, these data indicate that OTUD7A contributes to spine and dendrite deficits caused by the 15q13.3 microdeletion.

An ASD-Associated De Novo Mutation in OTUD7A Impairs Dendrite and Spine Growth
We next examined whether the ASD-linked de novo mutation in OTUD7A (p.Asn492_Lys494del; case 3, Table 1) has
Figure 4. Reduced Expression of OTUD7A Contributes to Spine and Dendrite Defects in D(h15q13)/+ Mice

(A) Representative images of Venus-expressing dendritic spines from P22 WT or D(h15q13)/+ neurons co-expressing Venus and pcDNA control or FLAG-OTUD7A WT (scale bar = 5 μm).

(B) Expression of OTUD7A WT rescues dendrite spine density defects in D(h15q13)/+ cortical neurons. WT + pcDNA control, n = 30 dendrite segments; [D(h15q13)/+] + pcDNA, n = 30 dendrite segments; D(h15q13)/+ + OTUD7A WT, n = 30 dendrite segments; 3 cultures, one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post hoc test, *p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001, F(2, 87) = 8.049.

(C and D) D(h15q13)/+ neurons show a decrease in mushroom spines and an increase of stubby spines compared to WT neurons. Expression of OTUD7A WT in D(h15q13)/+ neurons decreases the proportion of stubby spines compared to D(h15q13)/+ neurons. WT + pcDNA control, n = 30 dendrite segments; [D(h15q13)/+] + pcDNA control, n = 30 dendrite segments; [D(h15q13)/+] + OTUD7A WT, n = 30 dendrite segments; 3 cultures, one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post hoc test, *p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001, F(2, 87) = 4.249, F(2, 87) = 8.636.

(E) D(h15q13)/+ neurons showed a decrease in spine length compared to WT neurons, and expression of OTUD7A WT in D(h15q13)/+ neurons increased spine length. WT + pcDNA control, n = 586 spines; [D(h15q13)/+] + pcDNA control, n = 475 spines; [D(h15q13)/+] + OTUD7A WT, n = 664 spines; 3 cultures, one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post hoc test, *p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001, F(2, 1722) = 3.956.

(F) Representative images of littermate P22 WT and D(h15q13)/+ neurons expressing Venus and pcDNA control or OTUD7A WT (scale bar = 50 μm).

(G) Expression of OTUD7A WT rescues dendrite growth defects (number and total number of intersections) in D(h15q13)/+ cortical neurons. WT + pcDNA control, n = 28 neurons; [D(h15q13)/+] + pcDNA control, n = 24; [D(h15q13)/+] + OTUD7A WT, n = 28 neurons; 3 cultures, one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post hoc test, *p < 0.05, ****p < 0.0001, F(2, 61) = 13.53. Error bars represent SEM.

a functional effect on dendritic and spine morphology. We generated a mutant OTUD7A (encoding p.Asn492_Lys494del) in the same FLAG-tagged plasmid as OTUD7A WT and found no overt difference in protein levels or localization between the WT and the mutant form of OTUD7A (Figures S5A, S6L, and S6M). Furthermore, OTUD7A p.Asn492_Lys494del failed to rescue the defects in dendritic spine density, morphology, or spine length, spine neck length, spine head width, or neck width in D(h15q13)/+ neurons (Figures 5A–5E, S5C, and S5D). Compared to WT control neurons and OTUD7A WT, the expression of OTUD7A p.Asn492_Lys494del in D(h15q13)/+ cortical neurons failed to rescue dendritic arborization defects (Figures 5F and 5G). We also investigated whether OTUD7A p.Asn492_Lys494del has a dominant-negative effect when expressed in WT cortical neurons. We co-transfected Venus with OTUD7A WT or OTUD7A p.Asn492_Lys494del in cultured WT neurons and determined that expression of OTUD7A p.Asn492_Lys494del had a mild dominant-negative effect, significantly reducing dendritic spine length (Figures S6A and S6F) and arborization (Figures S6E) and S6K) compared to the pcDNA control but had no effect on spine density, spine type, or length (Figures S6A–S6L). These experiments reveal that a de novo mutation in OTUD7A is sufficient to impair its function during dendritic spine and dendrite development.

OTUD7A Is the Predominant Gene Regulating Dendrite Spine Development

Finally, given that other genes in the 15q13.3 CNV have been implicated (CHRNA7 and FAN1), we tested the...
Figure 5. An Autism Spectrum Disorder-Linked De Novo Mutation in OTUD7A Disrupts Dendritic Spine Development and Neuronal Morphology

(A) Dendritic spines from DIV14 WT and Df(h15q13)/+ cultured cortical neurons co-expressing Venus, and pcDNA control, FLAG-OTUD7A WT, or FLAG-OTUD7A p.Asn492_Lys494del (scale bar = 5 μm).

(B) In Df(h15q13)/+ neurons, expression of OTUD7A p.Asn492_Lys494del does not rescue the reduction of dendritic spine density. WT + pcDNA, n = 32 dendritic segments, 18 neurons; pcDNA control, n = 48 dendritic segments, 30 neurons; OTUD7A WT, n = 38 dendritic segments, 21 neurons; OTUD7A p.Asn492_Lys494del, n = 28 dendritic segments, 19 neurons, 5 cultures, one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post hoc test, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, F(3, 142) = 9.422.

(C) Expression of OTUD7A p.Asn492_Lys494del does not increase the reduced proportion of mushroom spines in Df(h15q13)/+ neurons. n = same as (B), one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post hoc test, **p < 0.01, ****p < 0.0001, F(3, 141) = 11.99.

(legend continued on next page)
function of OTUD7A compared to other 15q13.3 genes. In addition to CHRNA7 and FAN1, KLF13 was included in our analysis due to its high expression (Figure S3F). We created FLAG-tagged CHRNA7, KLF13, or FAN1 constructs to determine whether they could rescue the cellular phenotypes. Analysis of spine density and morphology showed that OTUD7A was the only gene tested that could rescue spine density, length, and the proportion of mushroom and stubby spines, whereas CHRNA7, KLF13, or FAN1 were unable to (Figures 6A–6E). For dendrite outgrowth, we found that KLF13 and FAN1 were unable to rescue the defect in dendrite growth, further suggesting that these genes have a minimal role the 15q13.3 microdeletion syndrome (Figures 6F and 6G). Interestingly, expression of CHRNA7 had a similar rescue effect as OTUD7A in dendrite outgrowth, suggesting that heterozygous loss of both genes contribute, possibly together, to the dendrite growth defects in Df(h15q13)/+ mice. These data indicate that OTUD7A is the only gene in the BP4-BP5 interval regulating dendritic developmental phenotypes. A companion study by Yin et al. shows that Otud7a KO mice recapitulate many phenotypes of the 15q13.3 microdeletion syndrome including defective spine-synapse development, whereas a previous study from this laboratory showed no consistent behavioral phenotypes in a Chna7 KO mouse model.37,71 Our study, along with the companion study, provides evidence that OTUD7A is a critical gene in the 15q13.3 microdeletion syndrome.

Discussion

Our data support an important and previously unidentified role for OTUD7A in the 15q13.3 microdeletion syndrome. Specifically, we identified that OTUD7A is a critical gene for brain development and an important contributing gene in the 15q13.3 microdeletion syndrome. We also biologically validated that Otud7a was the only gene in the interval that we tested that regulates the dendritic spine defects caused by the BP4-BP5 microdeletion in a validated mouse model. Our identification of OTUD7A as a critical component of brain protein-signaling networks that localizes to PSD95-positive spine synapses and modulates spine morphology and dendrite growth leads us to conclude that OTUD7A is a critical driver gene of the 15q13.3 microdeletion.

Our findings are overall consistent with a companion study by Yin et al. showing that Otud7a localizes to dendritic spines and that Otud7a KO mice have deficits in cortical neuron dendritic spine density and glutamatergic transmission.17 One difference is the lack of a dendrite outgrowth phenotype in Otud7a KO mice. However, given that Yin et al.77 demonstrated that expression of OTUD7A increased dendrite branching, this suggests that OTUD7A expression is able to stimulate dendrite development, which is consistent with our rescue findings. Moreover, we found that Otud7a and Chna7 both contribute to dendrite growth defects in Df(h15q13)/+ mice. Therefore, CHRNA7 and OTUD7A could regulate dendrite growth together in excitatory cortical neurons or alternatively, CHRNA7 and OTUD7A may regulate dendritic development at different times and/or through different cell types, since CHRNA7 is also expressed in inhibitory neurons.72 Since OTUD7A was the only gene able to rescue spine deficits in Df(h15q13)/+ neurons, this indicates a unique and important role for it at the synapse during development. Although we have observed a strong and cross-validated OTUD7A phenotype, our results indicate a multi-hit hypothesis where more than one gene contributes to the etiology of 15q13.3 microdeletion syndrome, which is in line with other CNVs. For example, DGCR8 and ZDHHC8 are proposed driver genes in the 22q11.2 microdeletion, UBE3A and MAGEL2 for the 15q11-13 microdeletion region,73,74 and GTF2I and FZD9 for the 7q11.23 region.75–77 For the 15q13.3 CNV, future experiments are needed using OTUD7A and CHRNA7 single and double KO cellular models to compare to the 15q13.3 microdeletion to determine the unique and shared contribution of each gene to disease pathogenesis.

It is also possible that while the heterozygous loss of the driver genes in the 15q13.3 region results in a core set of neurodevelopmental deficiencies, the heterogeneity of genetic background of the deletion carrier might contribute to the heterogeneous clinical presentation. Interestingly, such a mechanism has been shown to be at play in the 22q11.2 microdeletion syndrome,78 suggesting that it could be the case for the 15q13.3 microdeletion.

OTUD7A is part of a family of deubquitinases (DUBs) that are important for removing ubiquitin molecules from proteins that are targeted for degradation.79,80 While
we did not investigate the mechanistic action of OTUD7A, its localization to the postsynaptic density suggests that it could regulate key proteins involved in synapse maturation. Given the limited information on the role of OTUD7A, its protein-interaction network in neurons needs to be identified. Interestingly, a recent study identified that biallelic variants in OTUD6B, a family member, causes a novel syndrome characterized by intellectual
disability, dysmorphic features, and seizures, indicating that DUBs could play a broader role in NDDs. Important questions regarding OTUD7A remain, such as: what specific proteins does OTUD7A regulate and interact with at the synapse? Which stages of synapse development are impaired by a lack of OTUD7A and how does this impact synaptic physiology and the epilepsy phenotypes observed in this microdeletion? It should also be noted that the dysregulation of protein turnover and the ubiquitin pathway has been implicated in other neurodevelopmental disorder-related CNVs. For instance, at the 15q11–13 locus, UBE3A has been reported to ubiquitinate key synaptic proteins. Furthermore, defects in the ubiquitin pathway have also been observed in the 16p11.2 deletion and single-gene disorders such as fragile X syndrome (FXS) and tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC). Thus, if OTUD7A is involved in protein turnover, particularly at the synapse, this would add to growing evidence that this is a common pathological mechanism for neurodevelopmental disorders involving defective synaptic signaling.
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