Effects of antiplatelet therapy on stroke risk by brain imaging features of intracerebral haemorrhage and cerebral small vessel diseases: subgroup analyses of the RESTART randomised, open-label trial


Summary

Background Findings from the RESTART trial suggest that starting antiplatelet therapy might reduce the risk of recurrent symptomatic intracerebral haemorrhage compared with avoiding antiplatelet therapy. Brain imaging features of intracerebral haemorrhage and cerebral small vessel diseases (such as cerebral microbleeds) are associated with greater risks of recurrent intracerebral haemorrhage. We did subgroup analyses of the RESTART trial to explore whether these brain imaging features modify the effects of antiplatelet therapy.

Methods RESTART was a prospective, randomised, open-label, blinded-endpoint, parallel-group trial at 122 hospitals in the UK that assessed whether starting antiplatelet therapy might reduce the risk of recurrent symptomatic intracerebral haemorrhage compared with avoiding antiplatelet therapy. For this prespecified subgroup analysis, consultant neuroradiologists masked to treatment allocation reviewed brain CT or MRI scans performed before randomisation to confirm participant eligibility and rate features of the intracerebral haemorrhage and surrounding brain. We followed participants for primary (recurrent symptomatic intracerebral haemorrhage) and secondary (ischaemic stroke) outcomes for up to 5 years (reported elsewhere). For this report, we analysed eligible participants with intracerebral haemorrhage according to their treatment allocation in primary subgroup analyses of cerebral microbleeds on MRI and in exploratory subgroup analyses of other features on CT or MRI. The trial is registered with the ISRCTN registry, number ISRCTN71907627.

Findings Between May 22, 2013, and May 31, 2018, 537 participants were enrolled, of whom 525 (98%) had intracerebral haemorrhage: 507 (97%) were diagnosed on CT (252 assigned to start antiplatelet therapy and 255 assigned to avoid antiplatelet therapy, of whom one withdrew and was not analysed) and 254 (48%) underwent the required brain MRI protocol (122 in the start antiplatelet therapy group and 132 in the avoid antiplatelet therapy group). There were no clinically or statistically significant hazards of antiplatelet therapy on recurrent intracerebral haemorrhage in primary subgroup analyses of cerebral microbleed presence (2 or more) versus absence (0 or 1) (adjusted hazard ratio [HR] 0·30 [95% CI 0·08–1·13] vs 0·77 [0·13–4·61]; p_a=0·41), cerebral microbleed number 0–1 versus 2–4 versus 5 or more (HR 0·77 [0·13–4·62] vs 0·32 [0·03–3·66] vs 0·33 [0·07–1·60]; p_a=0·75), or cerebral microbleed strictly lobar versus other location (HR 0·52 [0·04–6·79] vs 0·37 [0·09–1·28]; p_a=0·85). There was no evidence of heterogeneity in the effects of antiplatelet therapy in any exploratory subgroup analyses (all p_a>0·05).

Interpretation Our findings exclude all but a very modest harmful effect of antiplatelet therapy on recurrent intracerebral haemorrhage in the presence of cerebral microbleeds. Further randomised trials are needed to replicate these findings and investigate them with greater precision.
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Research in context

Evidence before this study
Brain imaging features of intracerebral haemorrhage (such as lobar location) and brain imaging biomarkers of cerebral small vessel diseases (such as microbleeds or superficial siderosis) are associated with a higher risk of intracerebral haemorrhage recurrence. Consequently, some physicians withhold antiplatelet therapy from people with these imaging features. However, it is unclear whether the effects of antiplatelet therapy vary by these brain imaging features. We searched MEDLINE Ovid (from 1948), Embase Ovid (from 1980), and bibliographies of relevant publications on Feb 28, 2019, combining search terms for cerebral small vessel diseases, intracerebral haemorrhage, randomised controlled trials, antiplatelet therapy, and brain imaging in humans (appendix). There were no language restrictions. The SPS3 randomised controlled trial showed no heterogeneity by brain MRI features in the effects of aspirin and clopidogrel versus aspirin alone in 1278 adults after subcortical ischaemic stroke. In the IST and CAST randomised controlled trials, there was no heterogeneity by visible infarction on brain CT in the effects of aspirin versus control in 31 072 adults after acute ischaemic stroke. However, no published randomised trials have reported the effects of antiplatelet therapy after intracerebral haemorrhage by brain imaging features.

Added value of this study
To our knowledge, RESTART is the first randomised controlled trial to investigate the effects of starting versus avoiding antiplatelet therapy in adults with previous intracerebral haemorrhage that occurred while taking antithrombotic (antiplatelet or anticoagulant) therapy, grouped by their brain imaging features. We did not find clinically or statistically significant hazardous effects of antiplatelet therapy on recurrent intracerebral haemorrhage or ischaemic stroke in primary subgroup analyses of cerebral microbleed presence, nor in any exploratory subgroup analyses of intracerebral haemorrhage location, previous vascular lesions, atrophy, periventricular lucencies, white matter hyperintensities, superficial siderosis, or diagnostic criteria for cerebral amyloid angiopathy.

Implications of all the available evidence
Our results exclude all but a very modest harmful effect of antiplatelet therapy on the primary outcome of recurrent intracerebral haemorrhage in the presence of cerebral microbleeds. Our findings provide information about the safety of antiplatelet therapy in subgroups of adults with intracerebral haemorrhage, although the precision of these analyses was limited by small sample size. The directions of the effects we have found permit the inclusion of adults with a wide range of brain imaging features in ongoing trials (RESTART-Fr, NCT02966119; and STATICH, NCT03186729) and future randomised controlled trials of antiplatelet therapy after intracerebral haemorrhage, which are likely to require sample sizes of more than 2200 participants to detect statistically significant interactions with treatment effects.

Randomised controlled trials have not been done—but are needed—to investigate the effects of antiplatelet therapy after intracerebral haemorrhage according to brain imaging features of intracerebral haemorrhage or cerebral small vessel diseases. In the REstart or STop Antithrombotics Randomised Trial (RESTART), survivors of intracerebral haemorrhage that occurred while taking antithrombotic therapy who were randomly allocated to start antiplatelet therapy had fewer recurrences of symptomatic intracerebral haemorrhage over a median follow-up of 2·0 years than did those allocated to avoid antiplatelet therapy (12 [4%] of 268 vs 23 [9%] of 268, respectively; adjusted hazard ratio [HR] 0·51, 95% CI 0·25–1·03; p=0·060). In a prespecified primary subgroup analysis, there was no evidence of heterogeneity of the effects of antiplatelet therapy on the primary outcome by investigators’ categorisation of lobar versus non-lobar intracerebral haemorrhage location (pinteraction=0·0-23). To further explore effects according to features of the intracerebral haemorrhage and cerebral small vessel diseases, we collected brain imaging performed on all participants before randomisation, and did primary subgroup analyses of cerebral microbleeds on MRI and exploratory subgroup analyses of other brain imaging features.
Methods
Study design and participants
RESTART was an investigator-led, pragmatic, multicentre, prospective, randomised, open-label, blinded-endpoint, parallel-group trial in 122 hospitals in the UK. Participant eligibility, consent, data collection, monitoring, approvals, procedures, and statistical analysis principles are described in detail in the protocol, statistical analysis plan, and primary report of the trial.

Briefly, patients were eligible for enrolment if they were aged 18 years or older, had survived at least 24 h after spontaneous intracerebral haemorrhage, and were taking antithrombotic (antiplatelet or anticoagulant) therapy for the prevention of occlusive vascular disease at the onset of intracerebral haemorrhage, after which therapy was discontinued. Patients were ineligible if the intracerebral haemorrhage was attributable to preceding head injury, haemorrhagic transformation of an ischaemic stroke, or intracranial haemorrhage without intracerebral haemorrhage; or if they were still taking antithrombotic therapy at the time of consent (ie, after intracerebral haemorrhage). Patients, or a representative, provided written informed consent in inpatient or outpatient hospital settings. The Scotland A Research Ethics Committee approved the trial protocol.

Before randomisation, collaborators had to confirm that the brain imaging (usually CT, but sometimes MRI alone) that diagnosed the qualifying intracerebral haemorrhage was available and would be sent to the trial coordinating centre. Participants who had not already undergone brain MRI that complied with the trial’s imaging protocol, and who were able and willing to undergo brain MRI, provided informed consent for this to be performed. Details of the randomisation method and masking are described in the protocol and primary report of the trial.

Procedures
The intervention of starting antiplatelet therapy was restricted to the use of one or more of oral aspirin, dipyridamole, or clopidogrel, begun within 24 h of randomisation. The comparator was a policy of avoiding antiplatelet therapy (ie, no placebo group).

To be permitted to enrol participants in the MRI substudy, sites had to provide test imaging that passed quality assurance, and who were able and willing to undergo brain MRI, provided informed consent for this to be performed. Details of the randomisation method and masking are described in the protocol and primary report of the trial.

For the imaging protocol see http://www.restarttrial.org/documents/RESTART_MRI_protocol.pdf

Figure 1: Profile of imaging substudies within RESTART

For the imaging protocol see http://www.restarttrial.org/documents/RESTART_MRI_protocol.pdf
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Statistical analysis

In our protocol and statistical analysis plan, we prespecified that the MRI substudy would focus on primary subgroup analyses, testing whether there was heterogeneity in the effects of antiplatelet therapy on the trial’s primary outcome of recurrent intracerebral haemorrhage by the presence, number, or location of cerebral microbleeds. We collected other brain imaging features on MRI and CT for exploratory subgroup analyses of the effects of antiplatelet therapy on recurrent intracerebral haemorrhage or ischaemic stroke. We present the analyses of CT imaging features first because of their larger sample size, but focus our reporting on the primary subgroup analyses of cerebral microbleeds on brain MRI.

We intended to obtain diagnostic imaging studies for all participants and recruit approximately 75% of RESTART participants to the MRI substudy, although ultimately investigators recruited a smaller total number and proportion of all participants, diminishing the precision of our findings. In RESTART, brain imaging was not always done despite consent being obtained; was not always provided; was performed but might have contravened the required protocol; was performed, but might have been degraded by motion artefact; or was performed but demonstrated that the patient was ineligible for inclusion in RESTART (which precluded collection of ratings by the RESTART imaging panel). We quantified these exclusions, retaining participants in the imaging analyses if pre-randomisation brain imaging was obtained (and was compliant with the REST ART protocol in the case of MRI), was readable, and confirmed intracerebral haemorrhage. We recorded the timing of imaging (symptom onset to earliest imaging study and earliest imaging study to randomisation).

We focused descriptive analyses on imaging features of primary interest at a meeting between RA-SS, PMW, and JMW before database lock and unmasking the trial database. We chose not to analyse other features at this time (basal ganglia mineral deposits and enlarged perivascular spaces on MRI). We also agreed on pragmatic categorisations of some complex variables (eg, previous vascular lesions, periventricular lucencies, and atrophy) based on previous experience of simplifying the complex rating scales of these features for analysis.1,2,17,22,24,26,29

We prespecified that cerebral microbleed presence was two or more microbleeds (in view of inter-rater variation in the reporting of solitary microbleeds30,31) and that microbleed location would be grouped as strictly lobar versus other, for dichotomous analysis of the presence of cerebral microbleeds on MRI. We prespecified that for categorical analysis of cerebral microbleed number, the split would be 0 or 1 versus 2–4 versus 5 or more.21 We investigated whether cerebral microbleed presence and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Largest intracerebral haemorrhage location</th>
<th>Events/participants (%)</th>
<th>Adjusted hazard ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>pInteraction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lobar</td>
<td>9/100 (9.0%)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.37–2.16)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2/152 (1.3%)</td>
<td>2.11 (0.04–1.00)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous vascular lesions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>10/154 (6.5%)</td>
<td>0.66 (0.10–1.46)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>1/98 (1.0%)</td>
<td>0.18 (0.02–1.51)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periventricular lucencies score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–2</td>
<td>9/165 (5.5%)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.35–2.23)</td>
<td>0.089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3–4</td>
<td>2/87 (2.3%)</td>
<td>0.19 (0.04–0.86)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrophy score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–2</td>
<td>10/215 (4.7%)</td>
<td>0.56 (0.26–1.23)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3–4</td>
<td>1/37 (2.7%)</td>
<td>0.29 (0.03–2.62)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edinburgh CT-only criteria for acute intracerebral haemorrhages with lobar epicentres (n=499)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-lobar intracerebral haemorrhage</td>
<td>2/152 (1.3%)</td>
<td>0.21 (0.04–1.00)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower probability of cerebral amyloid angiopathy</td>
<td>6/83 (7.2%)</td>
<td>0.77 (0.27–2.17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High probability of cerebral amyloid angiopathy</td>
<td>2/14 (14.3%)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.14–5.20)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>11/252 (4.4%)</td>
<td>0.52 (0.25–1.07)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Prespecified exploratory subgroup analyses of the risk of first recurrent symptomatic intracerebral haemorrhage (the primary outcome) by brain CT features
burden (as a continuous variable) were associated, as expected, with the first recurrent intracerebral haemorrhage or ischaemic stroke in Cox proportional hazards regression models adjusted for the five covariates in the minimisation algorithm (qualifying intracerebral haemorrhage location, time since symptom onset, antiplatelet therapy preferred by the participant’s physician if allocated to start, participant age at randomisation, and predicted probability of being alive and independent at 6 months). We analysed heterogeneity of the effects of antiplatelet therapy on the first recurrent intracerebral haemorrhage between subgroups using a statistical test of interaction, by including an interaction term between treatment group and each imaging feature in Cox proportional hazards regression models adjusted for the five covariates in the minimisation algorithm. We applied the Firth correction to Cox proportional hazards models in which we observed monotone likelihoods and calculated HRs with 95% profile likelihood confidence limits. The unmasked trial statistician performed statistical analyses with SAS, version 9.4.

The trial is registered with the ISRCTN registry, number ISRCTN71907627.

Role of the funding source
The funder of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report. The corresponding author had full access to all data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

### Results
Between May 22, 2013, and May 31, 2018, 537 participants were enrolled in the RESTART trial and randomly assigned to start antiplatelet therapy (n=268) or to avoid antiplatelet therapy (n=269), of whom 12 were ineligible for the imaging subgroup analyses because their intracranial haemorrhage did not extend into the brain parenchyma (figure 1). 18 participants were diagnosed using MRI alone, leaving 507 in the brain CT substudy.
271 participants did not undergo per-protocol MRI, leaving 254 in the brain MRI substudy.

In the brain CT substudy, the median time from symptom onset to earliest CT was 0 days (IQR 0–1) and the median time from earliest CT to randomisation was 74 days (27–144). The age and sex distributions of participants included in the CT substudy were similar to those of participants in the whole trial (table 1).19 Most participants had a solitary intracerebral haemorrhage, 40% of which were lobar. After excluding eight participants whose first brain CT showed subacute intracerebral haemorrhage (which precluded accurate rating of brain imaging features of the haemorrhage2), 305 had non-lobar haemorrhage and the remaining 194 participants with CT of acute intracerebral haemorrhage with a lobar epicentre were rated for the probability of underlying cerebral amyloid angiopathy according to the Edinburgh diagnostic criteria:2 29 (6%) of 499 participants had a high probability (finger-like projections and subarachnoid extension) and 165 (33%) had a lower probability. Participants’ brains commonly showed previous vascular lesions (316 [62%] of 507), severe periventricular lucencies (195 [38%]), and moderate-to-severe atrophy (80 [16%]). There were small baseline imbalances in intraventricular extension, subarachnoid extension, and periventricular lucencies (table 1). One participant in the avoid antplatelet therapy group of the brain CT substudy withdrew from follow-up and was not included in the analyses.

Figure 3: Prespecified primary and exploratory subgroup analyses of the risk of first recurrent symptomatic intracerebral haemorrhage (the primary outcome) by brain MRI features
In prespecified exploratory subgroup analyses of CT features, we did not find strong evidence of statistically significant heterogeneity in the effects of antiplatelet therapy on recurrent intracerebral haemorrhage (figure 2) or ischaemic stroke (appendix) by intracerebral haemorrhage location, previous vascular lesions, periventricular lucencies, atrophy, or the probability of underlying cerebral amyloid angiopathy.

In the brain MRI substudy, the median time from symptom onset to MRI was 55 days (IQR 18–102) and the median time from MRI to randomisation was 2 days (0–18). The age and sex distributions of participants included in the MRI substudy were similar to those of participants in the whole trial (table 2).10 108 (43%) of 254 substudy participants had lobar intracerebral haemorrhage and 235 (93%) had a GRE T2* MRI sequence of sufficient quality to rate cerebral microbleeds: 93 (40%) of 235 had two or more microbleeds, 62 (26%) of 235 had five or more microbleeds, and 20 (22%) of 93 had strictly lobar microbleeds, such that 47 (20%) of 235 probably had cerebral amyloid angiopathy and 30 (13%) of 235 possibly had cerebral amyloid angiopathy according to the modified Boston criteria.1 Background brain characteristics on MRI (table 2) were very similar to characteristics on CT (table 1). There were small baseline imbalances in sex, intracerebral haemorrhage location and extension, atrophy, and cerebral microbleed strictly lobar location (table 2).

As was expected in this population,7,32 in the primary subgroup of 235 participants with cerebral microbleeds, their presence (2 or more versus 0 or 1) and burden (linear trend of 0 or 1, 2–4, and 5 or more) were associated with first recurrent intracerebral haemorrhage (adjusted HR 3·62 [95% CI 1·34–9·79] and 1·99 [1·20–3·31], respectively) and ischaemic stroke (HR 1·92 [0·83–4·46] and 1·62 [1·03–2·55], respectively; appendix).

We did not find clinically or statistically significant hazardous effects of antiplatelet therapy on recurrent intracerebral haemorrhage in any primary subgroup analyses of cerebral microbleed presence versus absence (HR 0·30 [95% CI 0·08–1·13] vs 0·77 [0·13–4·61]; P interaction=0·41), cerebral microbleed number 0–1 versus 2–4 versus 5 or more (HR 0·77 [0·13–4·62] vs 0·32 [0·03–3·66] vs 0·33 [0·07–1·60]; P interaction=0·75), or strictly lobar versus other location (HR 0·52 [0·04–6·79] vs 0·37 [0·09–1·28]; P interaction=0·85; figure 3).

In prespecified exploratory subgroup analyses of other MRI features, we did not find strong evidence of statistically significant heterogeneity in the effects of antiplatelet therapy on recurrent intracerebral haemorrhage (figure 3) or ischaemic stroke (appendix; all P interaction>0·05).

Discussion
In these subgroup analyses of the RESTART trial, the estimated effect of antiplatelet therapy in the subgroup with cerebral microbleeds (HR 0·30, 95% CI 0·08–1·13) excluded all but a very modest harmful effect of antiplatelet therapy on the primary outcome of recurrent intracerebral haemorrhage. Moreover, we did not find strong evidence of any significant heterogeneity of the effects of antiplatelet therapy on recurrent intracerebral haemorrhage or ischaemic stroke in exploratory subgroup analyses of other CT or MRI features of the intracerebral haemorrhage or cerebral small vessel diseases.

Although caution is needed in the interpretation of non-significant differences between small subgroups,14 we did not find strong evidence within the primary subgroup analyses of cerebral microbleeds that was consistent with the five-times greater risk of recurrent lobar intracerebral haemorrhage associated with aspirin use in people with cerebral microbleeds as seen in an observational study,7 which has hitherto influenced clinical practice.34 Furthermore, we did not find strong evidence of differences within exploratory subgroup analyses to suggest that superficial siderosis or diagnostic criteria for cerebral amyloid angiopathy might modify the risk of intracerebral haemorrhage with antiplatelet therapy.33 Although these brain imaging features are associated with higher absolute risks of intracerebral haemorrhage recurrence in observational studies,52 we did not find strong evidence that there was heterogeneity in the effects of antiplatelet therapy in these subgroups.

To our knowledge, RESTART is the first randomised trial comparing starting versus avoiding antiplatelet therapy after intracerebral haemorrhage to explore whether the effects of antiplatelet therapy vary by imaging features of intracerebral haemorrhage or cerebral small vessel diseases. The main strengths of the trial are described elsewhere.39 The additional strengths of the imaging substudies are that they relied on imaging acquired before randomisation (so appearances could not have been affected by allocated treatment), imaging was performed in everyday clinical practice, MRI was done according to a standardised protocol, imaging was collected centrally in DICOM format, and adjudicated by experienced neuroradiologists masked to treatment allocation and outcome using validated rating scales.

The overall characteristics of participants in the trial were similar to those of patients in observational hospital-based studies of antiplatelet therapy use after intracerebral haemorrhage in clinical practice.19 However, the external validity of our findings can be judged by participants’ imaging characteristics, which reflect the inclusion of survivors with haemorrhages that were smaller and had a lower prevalence of subarachnoid and intraventricular extension than those in all-inclusive population-based studies.2,36 Therefore, our findings are generalisable to adults who survived a median of 76 days after intracerebral haemorrhage, most of whom had good functional ability and few of whom had a low probability of good functional outcome at 6 months,9 in part because of the volumes of their intracerebral haemorrhages, which were smaller than those in population-based studies.2,36
The sample size resulted in some small baseline imbalances. The numbers of outcomes were not large enough to detect small or modest differences between subgroups, in particular those brain imaging features that have been proposed to modify the effects of antiplatelet therapy, such as cerebral microbleeds and superficial siderosis.\(^3\) However, the extent of heterogeneity in the effects of antiplatelet therapy after intracerebral haemorrhage by brain imaging features was unknown before the trial started, so we could not accurately estimate the sample sizes required to adequately power our subgroup analyses.

In clinical practice, physicians and patients might be reassured by our finding that excluded all but a very modest harmful effect of antiplatelet therapy on recurrent intracerebral haemorrhage in the presence of brain microbleeds. This finding might encourage changes to the current risk-averse approach of not using antiplatelet therapy after intracerebral haemorrhage, driven by findings from a small observational study.\(^3\)\(^,\)\(^8\)\(^,\)\(^33\) Moreover, there was no strong evidence of heterogeneity between subgroups, and the effect estimates in almost all subgroups were consistent with the trial’s overall finding that antiplatelet therapy might reduce the risk of recurrent intracerebral haemorrhage. Furthermore, despite the association between superficial siderosis and recurrent intracerebral haemorrhage,\(^3\) the effect of antiplatelet therapy on recurrent intracerebral haemorrhage might affect clinical equipoise and increase recruitment of people with this imaging feature in future randomised trials of antithrombotic therapies.

The directions and magnitudes of the effects we have found should help to inform the precision of subgroup analyses in imaging substudies in ongoing trials (RESTART-Fr; NCT02966119; and STATICH, NCT03186729) and future randomised controlled trials of antithrombotic therapy after intracerebral haemorrhage. These randomised trials are needed to investigate our findings with greater precision. It is a frequent misconception that risk factors for stroke recurrence in observational studies, such as cerebral microbleeds,\(^3\)\(^,\)\(^8\) are also modifiers of the effects of antithrombotic therapies, although this can only be investigated in randomised controlled trials with larger sample sizes.

Our findings provide the opportunity to estimate the minimum sample size that would be required to demonstrate a potentially statistically significant subgroup interaction with the effects of antiplatelet therapy in this population. If we assume that having more periventricular lucencies causes a four-times greater risk of recurrent intracerebral haemorrhage (HR 0·19 [95% CI 0·04–0·86] for 0–2 periventricular lucencies vs 0·89 [0·35–2·23] for 3–4; \(^I^2\) \(_{\text{within}}\)=0·089), then to detect such an interaction in a future parallel-group randomised trial, assuming similar event rates over 2 years of follow-up, with 90% power at the 5% significance level, a sample size of at least 2200 participants would be needed (or at least 3000 participants at the 1% significance level).

In summary, we excluded all but a very modest harmful effect of antiplatelet therapy on recurrent intracerebral haemorrhage in the presence of brain microbleeds and we did not find strong evidence of heterogeneity in the effects of antiplatelet therapy by other brain imaging features. Further randomised trials are needed to replicate these findings and investigate them with greater precision.
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