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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Inherited susceptibility to lung cancer risk in never-smokers is poorly understood. The major reason for this gap in knowledge is that this disease is relatively uncommon (except in Asians), making it difficult to assemble an adequate study sample. In this study we conducted a genome-wide association study on the largest, to date, set of European-descent never-smokers with lung cancer.

Methods: We conducted a two-phase (discovery and replication) genome-wide association study in never-smokers of European descent. We further augmented the sample by performing a meta-analysis with never-smokers from the recent OncoArray study, which resulted in a total of 3636 cases and 6295 controls. We also compare our findings with those in smokers with lung cancer.

Results: We detected three genome-wide statistically significant single nucleotide polymorphisms rs31490 (odds ratio [OR]: 0.769, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.722–0.820; p value \(10^{-16}\)), rs380286 (OR: 0.770, 95% CI: 0.723–0.820; p value \(10^{-16}\)), and rs4975616 (OR: 0.778, 95% CI: 0.730–0.829; p value \(10^{-14}\)). All three mapped to Chromosome 5 CLPTM1L-TERT region, previously shown to be associated with lung cancer risk in smokers and in never-smoker Asian women, and risk of other cancers including breast, ovarian, colorectal, and prostate.

Conclusions: We found that genetic susceptibility to lung cancer in never-smokers is associated to genetic variants with pan-cancer risk effects. The comparison with smokers shows that top variants previously shown to be associated with lung cancer risk only confer risk in the presence of tobacco exposure, underscoring the importance of gene-environment interactions in the etiology of this disease.

© 2019 International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
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Introduction

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer mortality worldwide, accounting for more than 1 million deaths each year.\(^1\) Although most lung cancer is preventable, because the majority of cases occur in tobacco smokers, approximately 10% of cases are seen in lifetime never-smokers.\(^2\) Although lung cancer is diagnosed in a minority of never-smokers, it still ranks as the seventh to ninth most common cause of cancer death worldwide.\(^2\)

In never-smokers, lung cancer has characteristics distinct from those associated with smoking, including different histology and mutation spectrum.\(^3\) The only well-established risk factors for lung cancer in never-smokers are exposure to radon,\(^4\) secondhand smoke, dust, asbestos, and, notably, family history of cancer, which has provided evidence for inherited susceptibility.\(^5-7\)

To date, genome-wide association studies (GWAS) on lung cancer have largely been focused on ever-smokers, and have identified 18 independent loci influencing risk.\(^7,8\) Whereas several GWAS studies in never-smokers have been conducted, these have primarily been based on Asian women.\(^9-12\) Several environmental risk factors for lung cancer, including cooking fumes and air pollution, are highly prevalent in Asian populations, raising the possibility of effect modification.\(^13\) Identifying lung cancer susceptibility alleles among never-smoking European populations has been limited to candidate gene analyses and small GWAS.\(^14-18\) Reported here are the results of a large GWAS of lung cancer in never-smokers of European descent, based on 3636 cases and 6295 controls.

Materials and Methods

Study Design and Samples

Never-smokers were defined as individuals who had smoked less than 100 cigarettes during their lifetime. The study had a discovery and a replication series, both from studies participating in the International Lung Cancer Consortium (ILCCO; http://ilcco.iarc.fr). The discovery series, after quality control (See Supplementary material), comprised 1287 cases and 1655 controls with European ancestry from seven centers (Supplementary Table 1). The replication series comprised 960 cases and 940 controls from 16 study centers, of which some centers (but not study subjects) also participated in the discovery phase (Supplementary Table 2). Comprehensive details of each series have been previously reported.\(^17,19-23\) To increase statistical power, data on never-smokers recently generated by the OncoArray lung cancer study from ILCCO were also leveraged.\(^20\) After excluding samples overlapping between the OncoArray and the discovery set, 1149 cases and 1144 controls from the discovery, 1527 cases and 4211 controls from the OncoArray, and 960 cases and 940 controls from the replication sets were included in the final analyses. Most of the lung cancer cases (76.7% in the discovery, 69.2% in the replication, and 63.1% in the OncoArray sets) had histologically confirmed adenocarcinoma, followed by squamous and small cell carcinoma (Supplementary Tables 1-3). Given that subtype-specific associations are likely to exist, adenocarcinomas were also analyzed separately. Table 1 presents the demographic characteristics of the final dataset.

Genotyping and Quality Control

Both cases and controls from the discovery set were genotyped using Illumina Infinium OmniExpress-24 v1.2 BeadChips, with the exception of cases and controls from Harvard School of Public Health (HSPH), genotyped on Illumina Human660W-Quad BeadChip. Genotyping of the replication series for 384 selected single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) was performed using Illumina GoldenGate technology. Genotyping quality control and SNP selection procedures are detailed in the Supplementary material. The OncoArray genotyping platform, the never-smoker samples to which it was applied, and genotyping and quality control procedures are described in the Supplementary material and have been previously characterized in detail.\(^20,24\)

Data Analysis

To harmonize data and address population stratification in the discovery set, the studies were grouped according to the genotyping array they used and the geographic origin of the subjects they enrolled. This resulted in two groups: United Kingdom studies and North American studies. Further, since the HSPH samples were genotyped on a different platform, these were analyzed separately. Thus, the following clusters were used: (1) HSPH, (2) United Kingdom, and (3) North America (see
Supplementary Table 4 for more detail). Three separate GWAS analyses were ran based on the three groups. We applied logistic regression analyses with case-control status as the outcome and the SNP genotype as a predictor to identify risk-associated SNPs in these three groups. Additive models, with 0 for the reference allele homozygotes, 1 for heterozygotes, and 2 for variant allele homozygotes, were used. Reference alleles were defined as in the hg19 reference genome. Age (continuous variable), sex, secondhand smoke exposure (SHS; from any venue at any period in a lifetime), education level, and study site within the group (if more than one site) were used as covariates. The definition of the education variables and more information on the SHS assessment are given in the Supplementary material. Missing values for SHS and education status were treated as a separate category. To offset potential effects of population stratification within clusters, SNP-based principal components analyses (PCAs) were performed and the corresponding first five principal components were included as covariates, even though the PCA of these three GWAS clusters do not suggest population stratification (Supplementary Fig. 1). An inverse variance fixed-effects meta-analysis was used to combine the results for the three group-based GWAS.

A brief description of the OncoArray never-smoker dataset is provided in the Supplementary material. To perform the joint analysis of the discovery and OncoArray sets, inverse variance meta-analysis was used, whereby studies were grouped into five clusters (Discovery-North America, Discovery-United Kingdom, OncoArray-North America, OncoArray-United Kingdom, and OncoArray-Continental Europe), as detailed in Supplementary Table 5. This joint analysis was adjusted for age, sex, study site within the group, and the first five principal components, but not SHS or education level, as they were not available in the OncoArray set.

Criteria for SNP selection and the quality control procedures in the replication phase are described in the Supplementary material.

Results

We focus on the joint analysis of the discovery and OncoArray sets as having the largest sample size (the results for the discovery set separately are presented in Supplementary Figure 2 showing the Q-Q plot that shows no indication of an inflation of type I error ($\lambda = 1.005$), and Supplementary Table 6 presenting the list of the top SNPs derived from the discovery set ($p < 1 \times 10^{-4}$)). Figure 1 presents the scatter plot of the $-\log_{10}P$ values against the chromosome position (the so-called Manhattan plot) for the meta-analysis of the discovery and the OncoArray samples. The analysis identified 71 genome-wide statistically significant SNPs ($p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$, the accepted genome-wide level of statistical significance), all of them mapping to the 5p15.33 CLPTM1 like (CLPTM1L)-telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT) region. Supplementary Table 7 presents the 229 top SNPs at $p$ value less than $10^{-5}$. There is also a peak on chromosome 9 in the cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 2A (CDKN2A) region, but none of the SNPs in this regions attained statistical significance at the GWAS level.

The PCA of the replication samples showed no differences by the case-control status for the first five principal components (Supplementary Fig. 3). Supplementary Table 8 presents the list of nominally statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) SNPs from the replication analysis. The most significant SNPs, rs380286 ($p = 3.88 \times 10^{-7}$), rs31490 ($p = 4.68 \times 10^{-7}$), and rs4975616 ($p = 2.50 \times 10^{-6}$) were located in the 5p15.33 (CLPTM1L-TERT) region (Table 2). These three

![Figure 1. Manhattan plot of the association analysis of lung cancer in European ancestry never-smokers performed jointly in the discovery set and the OncoArray samples. The x axis is chromosomal position, and the y axis is the statistical significance on a $-\log_{10}$ scale.](image-url)
SNPs were significant after the Bonferroni correction for 370 tests resulting in the \( p \) value of \( 1.35 \times 10^{-4} \) to declare significance (the false discovery rate [FDR] approach identified the same three SNPs as statistically significant) (Supplementary Table 8).

The 370 candidate SNPs selected for replication (see Supplementary material for the selection criteria) were analyzed using all three study population sets: the discovery, the replication, and the OncoArray (total 3636 cases and 6295 controls). The analysis identified three SNPs statistically significant at the genome-wide level: rs380286 (\( p = 1.6 \times 10^{-14} \)), rs31490 (\( p = 5.1 \times 10^{-14} \)), and rs4975616 (\( p = 5.8 \times 10^{-14} \)) (Table 2). These three SNPs are from the \textit{CLPTM1L}-TERT region and the association with the variant alleles was consistently negative (odds ratio \( < 1 \)). These SNPs belong to a wide linkage disequilibrium (LD) block corresponding to the LD region 2 marked by rs451360 as described in Wang et al.\(^{28}\)

The very high LD between the pairs of SNPs (0.925 for rs380286 and rs31490; 0.915 for rs380286 and rs4975616; and 0.955 for rs31490 and rs4975616) did not allow identifying the leading SNP among the three as there was very little variation within an SNP when the genotypes of the other two were fixed. The results of the joint analysis of the discovery and replication sets without the OncoArray samples are shown in Supplementary Table 9. In brief, the same three SNPs from the \textit{CLPTM1L}-TERT region were identified to be genome-wide statistically significant.

Analysis of only adenocarcinoma cases produced nearly identical results, with only the \textit{CLPTM1L}-TERT region SNPs showing statistical significance (Supplementary Tables 10 and 11).

Table 3 summarizes the comparisons between our study results and previous published findings reported in never-smokers from genome-wide and candidate gene/SNP association studies in both individuals of European descent and Asians. Our study confirmed SNPs located in the 5p15.33 (\textit{CLPTM1L}-TERT) region. The direction of the association is highly concordant among the studies for the SNPs in this region. The results for 3q28 (tumor protein p63 [TP63]) and 6q22.2 (ROS1-discoindin, CUB and LCCL domain containing 1 [DCBLD1]) regions are suggestive in our analysis (\( p \) values of \( \sim 10^{-4} \) for both these regions). The results from our study for the loci identified in the recently published largest-to-date lung cancer study that involved mostly smokers are shown in Supplementary Table 12.\(^{20}\)

A comparison of the regional association plots for the \textit{CLPTM1L}-TERT and 15q25 (cholinergic receptor nicotinic alpha 3 subunit [CHRNA3]) region in never-smokers and smokers was also performed (whereby the smokers’ data were obtained from the lung OncoArray project) (Figs. 2A and B). We found that the risk association profile plotted as the \(-\log_{10}P\) for the SNPs in the \textit{CLPTM1L}-TERT region in never-smokers tightly followed that in smokers (Fig. 2A). By contrast, the association profiles in the \textit{CHRNA3} region (implied in nicotine dependence) are strikingly different in never- and ever-smokers, with very high \(-\log_{10}P\) values in smokers and a flat profile in never-smokers (Fig. 2B). Analogous comparisons for two other regions, \textit{TP63} and \textit{CDKN2A}, are presented in Supplementary Figure 4.

The analyses of associations for the three most statistically significant SNPs from the \textit{CLPTM1L}-TERT region stratified by SHS exposure status are shown in Supplementary Table 13. There was no indication of SNP-SHS interaction effects or a SNP effect modification by the SHS exposure as the interaction term was not significant for any of the SNPs.

### Discussion

This is the largest lung cancer GWAS so far conducted in never-smokers of European descent. However, only one region (\textit{CLPTM1L}-TERT) strongly associated with lung cancer risk in this patient population was found. Our results for this region corroborate findings by
Table 3. Previous Findings From the Association Analyses of Lung Cancer in Never-Smokers, With a Comparison to This Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>RefSeq</th>
<th>Study Type</th>
<th>Pubmed ID</th>
<th>Histology</th>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>Discovery Cases</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>Replication Cases</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13q31.3</td>
<td>GPCS</td>
<td>rs2352028</td>
<td>GWAS</td>
<td>Li et al.</td>
<td>NSCLC</td>
<td>Mostly Eur. descent</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>5.90E-06</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5p15.33</td>
<td>CLPTM1L</td>
<td>rs4975616</td>
<td>Candidate</td>
<td>Wang et al.</td>
<td>NSCLC</td>
<td>Eur. descent</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>7.90E-04</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.04E-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5p15.33</td>
<td>CLPTM1L</td>
<td>rs2736100</td>
<td>GWAS</td>
<td>Hsiung et al.</td>
<td>Adeno</td>
<td>Asian women</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>2184</td>
<td>2515</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.40E-11</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.66E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10q25.2</td>
<td>VT11A</td>
<td>rs7086803</td>
<td>GWAS</td>
<td>Lan et al.</td>
<td>NSCLC</td>
<td>Asian women</td>
<td>5547</td>
<td>4492</td>
<td>1085</td>
<td>2877</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>5.10E-17</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6q22.2</td>
<td>ROS1-DCBLD1</td>
<td>rs9387478</td>
<td>GWAS</td>
<td>Li et al.</td>
<td>NSCLC</td>
<td>Mostly Eur. descent</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>9.00E-02</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6p21.32</td>
<td>HLA</td>
<td>rs2395185</td>
<td>GWAS</td>
<td>Li et al.</td>
<td>NSCLC</td>
<td>Mostly Eur. descent</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>5.90E-06</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5p15.33</td>
<td>CLPTM1L</td>
<td>rs2853677</td>
<td>GWAS</td>
<td>Hsiung et al.</td>
<td>Adeno</td>
<td>Asian women</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>2184</td>
<td>2515</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.40E-11</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.66E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10q25.2</td>
<td>VT11A</td>
<td>rs7086803</td>
<td>GWAS</td>
<td>Hsiung et al.</td>
<td>Adeno</td>
<td>Asian women</td>
<td>5547</td>
<td>4492</td>
<td>1085</td>
<td>2877</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>5.10E-17</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6p21.32</td>
<td>HLA</td>
<td>rs2395185</td>
<td>GWAS</td>
<td>Li et al.</td>
<td>NSCLC</td>
<td>Mostly Eur. descent</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>9.00E-02</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This study pertains to the results of the meta-analysis of the discovery and OncoArray sets, except for rs4975616, for which the result from the meta-analysis of the discovery, OncoArray, and replication sets is shown.

bNominal significance p values.

RefSeq, Reference sequence or single nucleotide polymorphism identification; GWAS, genome-wide association study; OR, odds ratio; Eur., European; Adeno, adenocarcinoma.
earlier studies of lung cancer in never-smokers (Table 3), showing consistent direction of effect. The 5p15.33 CLPTM1L-TERT region SNPs have also been reported to be associated with multiple cancers including lung cancer in smokers, breast cancer, glioma, nasopharyngeal cancer, and prostate cancer.\textsuperscript{16,29-32} TERT encodes the catalytic subunit of the telomerase reverse transcriptase, which takes part in adding nucleotide repeats to chromosome ends.\textsuperscript{33} Although active in early development and germ cells, this gene is not expressed in most adult tissues, resulting in a shortening of telomeres with each cell division. When telomeres become critically short, the cell can no longer divide. However, cancer cells can upregulate telomerase, which enables them to continue dividing.\textsuperscript{34} The CLPTM1L gene is reported to be overexpressed in lung and pancreatic cancer where it promotes growth and survival.\textsuperscript{35,36} Also, there is a locus within the CLPTM1L gene that serves as a binding site for ZNF148, which promotes expression of TERT.\textsuperscript{37} Functional annotation of the top-identified SNPs using Encyclopedia of DNA Elements (ENCOD\textsuperscript{e}) found that rs4975616 coincides with the binding site for three transcription factors: ELF1, ZEB1, and BCLAF1.\textsuperscript{38} Both TERT and CLPTM1L are among the many target genes for ELF1 and ZEB1; CLPTM1L (but not TERT) is among the target genes for BCLAF1. According to Ensemble regulatory database, SNP rs31490 is located in the region

![figure2.png](attachment:figure2.png)

**Figure 2.** Regional association plots for smokers (red line) and never smokers (blue line) in CLPTM1L-TERT region (A) and CHRNA3-5 region (B). The y axis corresponds to $-\log_{10}P$ for 650 SNPs in the CLPTM1L-TERT region and $-\log_{10}P$ for 535 SNPs in CHRNA3-5 region. To aid visual representation we selected the 10 closest single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) and computed average $-\log_{10}P$ values.
that acts as a promoter for CLPTM1L in the developing lung.\(^3^9\) In the Genotype-Tissue Expression all three SNPs: rs31490, rs380286, and rs4975616 are reported as expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) for TERT in esophagus and CLPTM1L in skin tissue.\(^3^0\)

Previously, a fine-mapping study has been conducted on this locus to deeply investigate its association with lung cancer risk.\(^4^1\) The study included a limited number of never-smokers and the novel loci identified did not show significant effect, specifically in never-smokers. However, the direction of the effect was largely consistent with that in smokers, in line with what our study reports (Fig. 2A).

For other SNPs, for example, those reported by Li et al.\(^1^7\) no association in our study was detected. However, the study by Li et al.\(^1^7\) used additional covariates (e.g., chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and lung cancer family history) to adjust for in their analyses. This may have made a comparison of their results with our study less straightforward because the data on these covariates were not available from the majority of the sites participating in our study. The SNPs rs10937405 for 3q28 and rs9387478 for 6q22.2, previously reported to be significant in Asian never-smoking women (Table 3), showed at best a suggestive association (\(p\) values of \(\approx\) 10\(^{-4}\) in both cases). These two regions have been shown also to be implicated in other cancer sites. SNPs in the TP63 region have been shown to be associated with lung adenocarcinoma in the U.K. population, acute lymphoblastic leukemia, bladder cancer, and pancreatic cancer.\(^8^,4^2-4^4\) SNPs in the ROS1-DCBLD1 region have been shown to be associated with colorectal cancer.\(^4^5\) This further suggests that SNPs/regions associated with lung cancer risk in never-smokers are not specific for this type of cancer but rather have pleiotropic effects.

Our analysis was designed to control for demographic variables (age and sex, as controls were slightly but statistically significantly younger [\(p < 0.001]\) and had a higher proportion of men than cases [\(p < 0.001\)]) as well as for known and potential risk factors, specifically, where possible, for education status and self-reported SHS exposure.\(^4^6\) To account for possible population stratification, the first five principal components and the study site were also adjusted. However, the information on radon exposure, asbestos, prior respiratory conditions, and diet was not available from most studies. As such, these established and putative risk factors were not accounted for in the analyses. A further limitation is the self-reported nature of the never-smoker status. Differential misreporting of the smoking status, for example, if a modest proportion of former or current smoker controls reported that they have never smoked, might lead to SNPs associated with smoking appearing as protective. Unfortunately, the great majority of the participating studies did not verify it by cotinine measurements. However, SNPs in CHRNA3-5 or cytochrome P450 family 2 subfamily A member 6 (CYP2A6) regions, known to be associated with smoking, did not show any effect in this study (Fig. 2B; Supplementary Table 11).\(^2^0\)

Latest GWAS of lung cancer in smokers have generated many more findings than did this study, which is not surprising given that the former are much larger. Most SNPs reported as statistically significant in smokers showed the same direction of effect in never-smokers (Supplementary Table 12). Gene-smoking interaction may be another factor contributing to the higher number of positive findings among smokers than never-smokers: some of the sequence variations that are neutral in the absence of tobacco smoking confer risk when smoking and the associated tissue and DNA damage are present.

High body mass index and alcohol exposure are common and may also explain a proportion of the lung cancer risk in never-smokers.\(^3^7,4^8\) It is possible that there are rare variants influencing risk that could not be detected by a GWAS that focuses on common variants. Additionally, gene-gene interactions that are beyond the scope of this study may in part explain variability in the incidence of lung cancer in never-smokers. Very rarely, individuals can carry inherited mutations in TP53 increasing lung cancer risk.\(^4^9\) The availability of results from our GWAS will allow additional exposures to be studied using Mendelian Randomization approaches (as exemplified in Wang et al.\(^5^0\)). Developing models that can identify never-smokers at highest risk for lung cancer development could improve early detection.
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