The fate of human Langerhans cells in hematopoietic stem cell transplantation

Matthew P. Collin,1 Derek N.J. Hart,2 Graham H. Jackson,1 Gordon Cook,3 James Cavet,1 Stephen Mackinnon,5 Peter G. Middleton,1 and Anne M. Dickinson1

1Haematological Sciences, University of Newcastle, Newcastle upon Tyne NE2 4HH, England, UK
2Mater Medical Research Institute, South Brisbane, Queensland 4101, Australia
3Department of Haematology, St. James’s University Hospital, Leeds LS9 7TF, England, UK
4Department of Haematology, Christie Hospital NHS Trust, Manchester M20 4BX, England, UK
5Department of Haematology, Royal Free and University College London Medical School, London NW3 2QG, England, UK

Langerhans cells (LC) and other antigen-presenting cells are believed to be critical in initiating graft versus host responses that influence the outcome of allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. However, their fate in humans is poorly understood. We have sought to define the effect of conditioning regimes and graft versus host disease (GVHD) on the survival of recipient LC and reconstitution of donor cells after transplant. Confocal microscopy of epidermal sheets shows that full intensity transplant (FIT) depletes LC more rapidly than reduced intensity transplant (RIT) at day 0, although the nadir is similar in both at 14–21 d. Recovery occurs rapidly within 40 d in the absence of acute GVHD, but is delayed beyond 100 d when GVHD is active. LC chimerism was determined in sex-mismatched transplants using a two-step Giemsa/fluorescence in situ hybridization assay on isolated cells. Acquisition of donor chimerism at 40 d is more rapid after FIT (97%) than RIT (36.5%), irrespective of blood myeloid engraftment. At 100 d, all transplants achieve at least 90% LC donor chimerism and over half achieve 100%. Complete donor chimerism is associated with prior acute cutaneous GVHD, suggesting a role for allogeneic T cells in promoting LC engraftment.
the relative contributions of conditioning therapy, cutaneous GVHD, and the treatment of GVHD are not clear (11–14). Targeted depletion of recipient APCs may be an attractive means to reduce acute GVHD, but better understanding of the effect of current conditioning regimens is required before this can be developed therapeutically.

Previous analysis of LC chimerism in humans is limited. In situ quinacrine Y-body analysis combined with OKT4 immunohistochemistry has demonstrated donor LC in a single female patient (15) and a subsequent study on a small cohort of male patients showed persistence of recipient LC in some patients (16). It is known that LC can proliferate locally in humans from EM studies (17) and the long-term maintenance of donor LC after human limb transplantation (18). With modern reduced intensity transplant (RIT), recipient LC survival after HSCT may be prolonged. This may delay the onset of acute GVHD after RIT (19) and increase the toxicity of early donor lymphocyte infusion (DLI) (20, 21). More generally, the transition from recipient to donor APCs has been thought to underlie the progression of clinical GVHD from acute to chronic (22, 23). This is an attractive theory, but has little experimental support in humans.

In this report, we describe in detail the effect of contemporary full and reduced intensity conditioning on human LC, their posttransplant reconstitution and chimerism. This provides new insights into their role in GVHD and potential as therapeutic targets.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The impact of conditioning on LC and potential of therapeutic depletion

Skin biopsies were obtained pretransplant and on day 0 to assess the effect of RIT and FIT regimens on LC density. Intact epidermal sheets were examined by confocal microscopy as shown in Fig. 1 A. The natural arrangement of the LC network in the epidermal plane is readily appreciated from a collapsed Z-stack image. Enumeration was precise (10.3% median variation between two 40× fields) and sensitive (minimum 5 LC per mm²). RIT had very little effect on LC density as illustrated by Fig. 1 A; overall, there was a 9% downward trend from 644 to 588 LC/mm² (P = 0.061; Fig. 1 B). FIT induced a 55% fall in LC from a median of 654 to 296 LC/mm² (P = 0.001; Fig. 1 B), a significant drop but far from complete ablation of the population. Patients undergoing FIT were younger: median age was 34 (range: 20–54) compared with RIT, for which the median age was 43 (range: 21–57; P = 0.020). Both had slightly reduced pretransplant counts compared with normal controls (754 LC/mm²), but this difference was not significant. There was no difference in day 0 counts with respect to alemtuzumab in conditioning regimens (not depicted), consistent with the observation that LC do not express CD52 (24, 25).

The hypothesis that recipient LC on the day of transplantation is a necessary condition, but other APCs such as dermal DCs may also play a role in sensitizing donor lymphocytes in the draining lymph nodes. Although LC are depleted in GVHD (11–14), this reflects the eff erent phase of GVHD (see next paragraph) and cannot be used as evidence of their role in GVHD induction.

Most LC survive RIT conditioning and, although cytokine activation may be diminished in RIT compared with FIT (1), the greater survival of recipient APCs may offset this benefit. Indeed, some non T cell–depleted RIT regimens have a delayed yet high cumulative incidence of acute GVHD, approaching that of conventional transplants (19, 26).

The prospect of attenuating acute GVHD by depleting recipient APCs was first suggested >20 yr ago (16). Our data imply that there is scope to accelerate or enhance LC depletion by novel conditioning therapies, such as UV light or monoclonal antibodies to DCs (5, 25). Others have argued...
that complete ablation of recipient APCs might abolish graft versus leukemia (GVL) effects (27), but a selective benefit might be gained by regional therapy of a GVHD target organ such as the skin.

**LC recovery and the effect of GVHD**

During recovery, LC density declined to a similar nadir during 14–21 d in both types of transplant (Fig. 2 A). Although precise resolution of this phase is hampered by access to specimens, it suggests that the earlier difference between RIT and FIT seen at day 0 is partly kinetic (the response to RIT may be delayed with respect to FIT owing to the late scheduling of melphalan in RIT). Conditioning has a protracted effect throughout 14–21 d, suggesting that the tissue response to injury and dynamics of resident APC populations is relatively slow or that the absence of myeloid precursors during the hypoplastic phase further promotes LC depletion (24).

After 28 d, there was no statistical difference between RIT and FIT patients; so, the data were reanalyzed according to cutaneous GVHD at the time of biopsy (Fig. 2 B). Patients without GVHD recovered pretransplant median LC density by 40 d (537 LC/mm² compared with 649 LC/mm² pre-transplant; \( P = 0.107 \)), whereas those with GVHD remained significantly depressed at both 40 d (156 LC/mm²; \( P = 0.018 \)) and 100 d (404 LC/mm²; \( P = 0.006 \)). This suggests that the effect of conditioning, in which migration of one or more DC types primes the eff erent arm of GVHD, is a distinct process to the depletion of LC that occurs later as a result of GVHD effector mechanisms or corticosteroid therapy. In earlier studies, the high rate of early acute GVHD or "engraftment syndrome" at days 14–21 may have obscured this distinction (11–14).

**Analysis of LC chimerism**

Congenic markers are not universally applicable to human transplants; therefore, genotype analysis must be used. In situ methods were avoided, following the observation that extensive activation of LC membrane occurs in the posttransplant period (Fig. 3 A). An alternate method of single cell genotyping was preferred in which cells migrating from epidermal sheets in vitro were subjected to two-step Giemsa/fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH). The principal constituents of migratory cell preparations obtained in this way are keratinocytes and CD1a-positive LC with \(<1\%\) T cells or macrophages (Fig. 3 B). LC can be accurately identified by Giemsa staining alone (Fig. 3 C); this was confirmed in preparatory experiments by comparison with fluorescent CD1a or DR staining (not depicted). Approximately 20% of LC were recovered by migration. Although in vitro culture can exert selective effects, there is no a priori reason to suspect that either donor or recipient cells will be favored.

Many patients at 100 d after transplant had full donor LC chimerism as illustrated in Fig. 4 A. At 40 d after transplant, it was possible to detect mixtures of male and female cells as shown in Fig. 4 B. At 1 yr, a minority of patients had occasional recipient cells. Fig. 4 C shows an example of 1 of 12 recipient cells detected in 1,746 interphase nuclei examined, a sensitivity of \(<1\%\) for recipient LC.

**LC engraftment**

A cohort of 32 patients (14 FIT and 18 RIT) was examined at 40 d, 100 d, and 1 yr after transplant (Fig. 5 A). At 40 d, the recovery after FIT was predominantly donor (median: 97% donor; range: 89–100%) compared with significant persistence of recipient cells after RIT (median: 36.5% donor; range: 0–85%; \( P = 0.004 \)). However, by 100 d, the majority of LC in all transplants were donor in origin with a median score of 100% (range: 93–100%) after FIT and 97.5% (range: 90–100%) after RIT (\( P = 0.133 \)). At 1 yr, both groups achieved median scores of 100% (overall range: 97–100%). Assuming recipient APCs are required to prime donor T cells for acute GVHD (2, 5) and cellular GVL responses (27), these events must occur within the first 100 d of fludarabine-melphalan RIT and probably within the first 40 d of conventional FIT.

The delay in donor engraftment of LC in RIT is in contrast with rapid blood myeloid engraftment in all transplants by day 30 (Fig. 5 B). As expected, there was a trend for higher
LC engraftment at day 100 in patients with complete myeloid chimerism ($P = 0.080$; Fig. 5 C). However, three patients with a delayed lapse of blood myeloid chimerism at 60–100 d (associated with declining T cell engraftment) did not suffer a similar reversal of LC engraftment, although none achieved 100% chimerism. This suggests there is a posttransplant window for LC engraftment (which may be regimen-dependent) beyond which LC are able to maintain relative independence from the blood myeloid compartment.

The role of allogeneic T cells
Donor T cells promote LC engraftment in murine transplantation, under conditions of strict T cell depletion and MHC class II incompatibility (5). This effect was not evident in the human setting of in vivo T cell depletion and geno-identical transplant either in the whole cohort ($P = 0.759$; Fig. 5 C) or the RIT ($n = 16$) or sibling RIT subgroups ($n = 12$; not depicted). However, a significant correlation between prior cutaneous GVHD and LC engraftment was observed ($P = 0.002$; Fig. 5 C). The induction of cutaneous GVHD, which was associated with donor T cell inoculum in the mouse, is a potential unifying mechanism for many variables that increase alloreactivity and enhance LC engraftment (5). Although we could not resolve a direct effect of donor T cells, the correlation of GVHD with donor chimerism confirms that alloreactivity is relevant to LC engraftment in humans. Two implications of this argument are that the priming phase of GVHD will be self-limiting and that the strategy of delayed T cell add-back (28) will be compromised unless adjunctive treatment, such as UV light, is given to ensure complete LC chimerism (5).
Clinical outcomes

Our results show that transplant regimens in clinical use promote high levels of LC engraftment by day 100. There was no difference in event-free survival between patients who achieved 100% donor chimerism and those who did not, supporting the concept that GVHD and GVL are distinct but overlapping processes (Fig. S1, available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20051787/DC1). RIT patients have lower LC donor chimerism at day 40, but often first experience acute GVHD between 60–100 d during immunosuppression withdrawal. We have argued that GVHD or alloreactivity is important in promoting LC engraftment and predict that this is increasingly important as the intensity of conditioning is reduced. To test this, it would be informative to examine LC chimerism in minimal conditioning RIT regimens (29) in which acute GVHD manifestations are even further retarded (19).

The observation of high donor chimerism at 100 d is consistent with the hypothesis that the transition of acute to chronic GVHD at 100 d is related to indirect antigen presentation by donor APCs (22, 23). However, it now seems unlikely that the increased toxicity of early DLI is related to persistence of recipient APC (20, 21), unless cells that have migrated have extended longevity in lymphoid tissue. An alternative explanation worthy of consideration is that active tolerance mechanisms evolve to render DLI increasingly safe with time.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients and biopsies. Consecutive patients who gave consent for study between April 2003 and June 2005 were recruited from four centers in the United Kingdom: Newcastle; Leeds; Christie Hospital, Manchester; and The Royal Free Hospital, London. 4-5-mm skin biopsies were performed at routine day 100 bone marrow aspiration from the posterior iliac crest. Additional 2- or 4-mm biopsies from the posterior iliac crest were obtained from patients transplanted at Newcastle at varying intervals. 184 skin biopsies were obtained from 76 patients. 48 patients received RIT, the majority with fludarabine, melphalan, and alemtuzumab (35) or fludarabine and melphalan (12). 28 patients received FIT, the majority with a total body irradiation regimen (16) or busulfan and cyclophosphamide (11) with or without alemtuzumab. All unrelated transplants, either RIT or FIT, received a regimen containing alemtuzumab. There were 44 HLA-identical siblings and 32 HLA-matched unrelated donors. GVHD prophylaxis consisted of pulsed methotrexate and cyclosporine or cyclosporine alone if a patient had received alemtuzumab. All patients were in remission or first chronic phase at transplantation. The median interval between prior therapy and transplant was 3 mo (range: 1–10 mo); three patients treated within 3 mo of transplant had received systemic corticosteroids. Full details of the patients used for LC chimerism analysis are included in Table S1 (available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20051787/DC1). Normal control skin was obtained from patients undergoing plastic surgery. All procedures were performed with informed consent and ethical approval obtained from Newcastle and North Tyneside Local Research Ethics Committee, Leeds Health Authority Local Research Ethics Committee, South Manchester Local Research Ethics Committee, and Royal Free Hospital and Medical School Ethics Committee. Clinical chimerism analysis on bone marrow, whole blood, myeloid (CD15+), and T cell (CD3+) fractions was performed according to local protocols using magnetic bead fractionation and X/Y FISH or PCR of short tandem repeats. GVHD was assessed clinically at the time of biopsy or from case notes. Cutaneous GVHD stages 1–2 was treated with topical betamethasone valerate 0.122%; cutaneous GVHD stages 3–4 or any acute GVHD grades II–IV was treated initially with 2 mg/kg intravenous methylprednisolone and then oral prednisolone 1 mg/kg tapered according to response.

Immunofluorescence microscopy. Skin was trimmed of excess dermis and incubated at 37°C for 60–90 min in RPMI 1640 (Invitrogen) with 1 mg/ml dispase (Invitrogen). Epidermal sheets were separated, fixed in acetone for 15 min, and rehydrated in PBS (Cambrex) for 15 min. Anti-CD1a monoclonal NA 1/34 (DakoCytomation) was used at 1/10 dilution for 2 h at room temperature or overnight at 4°C. Specimens were mounted in
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