Alkali metal complexes of a phosphine–borane-stabilised carbanion: influence of co-ligands on structure†‡
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The adducts \[ \{[(Me_3Si)\_2P(BH_3)]=K(L)\}_n \] (1 \( n = \infty \)), \( L = \text{thf} \), \( n = 0.5 \), \( m = \infty \) (2a); \( L = \text{tmeda} \) (2b), \( \text{pmdeta} \) (2c), \( n = 1 \), \( m = 2 \) may be synthesised by treatment of solvent-free \[ \{[(Me_3Si)\_2P(BH_3)]=M(pmdeta)\}_n \] with the corresponding Lewis base (\( \text{tmeda} = N,N',N'\text{-tetramethylethylenediamine}; \text{pmdeta} = N,N',N'\text{-pentamethyldiethylenetriamine} \)). X-Ray crystallography reveals that, whereas 2 crystallises with a complex 2-dimensional sheet structure, 2a crystallises as a ribbon-type one-dimensional polymer and both 2b and 2c crystallise as dimers. The corresponding complex with 12-crown-4, \[ \{[(Me_3Si)\_2P(BH_3)]=K(12\text{-crown-4})\}_2 \] crystallises as a separated ion pair. The complexes \[ \{[(Me_3Si)\_2P(BH_3)]=M(\text{pmdeta})\}_n \] (\( M = \text{Na}, n = 1 \) (6); \( M = \text{Rb}, n = 2 \) (7)) may be synthesised by treatment of \[ \{[(Me_3Si)\_2P(BH_3)]=M(pmdeta)\}_2 \] with pmdea. Whereas 6 crystallises as a discrete monomer, compound 7 crystallises as a dimer. Compounds 2, 2a–2d, 6, 7 and the corresponding caesium derivative \[ \{[(Me_3Si)\_2P(BH_3)]=Cs(pmdeta)\}_2 \] provide an opportunity to consider the influence of the ionic radius of the metal and the nature of the co-ligand on the structures of alkali metal complexes of a phosphine–borane-stabilised carbanion.

Introduction

\( \alpha \)-Metalated phosphine–borane adducts are key intermediates in the synthesis of a wide variety of both chiral and achiral phosphine ligands.\(^1\) For example, Evans and co-workers have demonstrated that the copper-mediated coupling of \( \alpha \)-metalated phosphine–boranes in the presence of the chiral diamine (-)-sparteine stereoselectively yields chiral diphosphine ligands such as DI-PAMP (Scheme 1).\(^2\) In light of this, it is perhaps surprising that little is known about the structures of these compounds, either in the solid state or in solution. This is particularly remarkable given that the structures of these species are likely to have a significant influence on their reactivities and selectivities.
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Scheme 1

In this regard, we have previously shown that the unusual dicarbanion complex \[ \{[(Me_3Si)\_2P(BH_3)]=C\text{CH}_2\text{Li}(\text{pmdeta})\}_2 \] (1) exhibits contacts between the lithium ions and the BH\(_3\) groups but not between the lithium ions and the carbanion centres \( \text{pmdeta} = N,N',N'\text{-pentamethyldiethylenetriamine} \).\(^3\) Protonation of the (-)-sparteine analogue of 1, viz. \[ \{[(Me_3Si)\_2P(BH_3)]=C\text{CH}_2\text{Li}(-\text{-sparteine})\}_2 \] (1a), exhibits no evidence for stereoselectivity under a variety of reaction conditions. This clearly implies that 1 and 1a adopt structures in solution in which the lithium ions are remote from the carbanion centres; thus, the coordination environment of the lithium ions does not affect the stereochemical outcome of the reaction.

In order to gain further insight into these compounds, and to obtain a better understanding of the factors which affect the structures and reactions of these species, we have recently initiated a detailed study of the coordination behaviour of phosphine–borane-stabilised carbanions. During this study we have shown that phosphine–borane-stabilised carbanions adopt a wide variety of coordination modes in their complexes with alkali and alkaline earth metal ions, including (i) a chelating mode (I), (ii) a variety of bridging modes (II–V), and (iii) a terminal BH\(_3\)-donor mode (VI).\(^4\) In addition, Kobayashi and co-workers have reported a phosphine–borane-stabilised carbanion complex of lithium in which there is a C–Li contact but no B–H····Li contacts,\(^5\) whereas Schmidbaur et al. have reported that the compound \[ \text{Li(tmeda)}_2\] [CH\{PMe\_2(BH\_3)\}_2] crystallises as a separated ion pair.\(^6\)

Clearly, the structure adopted by such a compound will depend on the interplay of several competing factors such as the electronic and steric properties of the substituents at the phosphorus and carbanion centres and the nature of the metal cation. In this regard,
it is well known that co-ligands such as tmeda and pmdeta often effect the de-aggregation of organo-alkali metal complexes and that such co-ligands may strongly influence ligand binding modes \[\text{tmeda} = N,N,N',N'\text{-tetramethylethylenediamine}\]. However, until now, the effect of co-ligands on the coordination behaviour of phosphine–borane-stabilised carbanions has not been considered. We now report the synthesis and structural characterisation of a series of alkali metal complexes of a representative phosphine–borane-stabilised carbanion, in which either the metal ion or the co-ligand is systematically varied.

**Results and discussion**

We have shown previously that the potassium salt \([\{(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_2\{\text{Me}_2\text{P}(\text{BH}_3)\}\}\text{C}\text{K}\] \(2\)) crystallises solvent-free from cold toluene in the presence of a small amount of THF as a rather complex two-dimensional sheet polymer in which the potassium cations are coordinated by both the carbanion centre and the borane hydrogen atoms of the ligand (Fig. 1). Surprisingly, crystallisation of \(2\) from methylcyclohexane in the presence of a small amount of THF yields the adduct \([\{(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_2\{\text{Me}_2\text{P}(\text{BH}_3)\}\}\text{C}\text{K}(\text{THF})_{0.5}\] \(2a\)) as colourless blocks. The THF co-ligands in \(2a\) are only very weakly held and are rapidly lost under vacuum. No evidence for THF was observed in the NMR spectra or elemental analyses of samples of \(2a\) which had been exposed to vacuum for 5 min; the \(^1H\), \(^{13}C\{^1H\}, \(^{11}B\{^1H\}\) and \(^{31}P\{^1H\}\) NMR spectra of \(2a\) are essentially identical to those of \(2\).

The structure of \(2a\) is shown in Fig. 2, along with selected bond lengths and angles. Compound \(2a\) crystallises as a ribbon-type polymer containing two distinct ligand environments and two distinct potassium environments. \(K(1)\) is coordinated by an \(\eta^1\text{-BH}_3\) group of ligand 1, by an \(\eta^1\text{-BH}_3\) group of ligand 2 and by the carbanion centre of a symmetry equivalent of ligand 1; the \(\text{BH}_3\) groups of ligand 2 form \(\mu_2,\eta^1\eta^1\) bridges between \(K(1)\) and \(K(2)\). These same two potassium ions are also bridged by the \(\text{BH}_3\) group of ligand 1 in a \(\mu_2,\eta^1\eta^1\)-manner and by a molecule of THF; \(K(2)\) is further coordinated by the carbanion centre of a symmetry equivalent of ligand 2. Thus, ligand 1 bridges \(K(1)\) and \(K(1A)/K(2A)\) via its carbanion centre and its \(\text{BH}_3\) group, whereas ligand 2 bridges \(K(2)\) and \(K(1B)/K(2B)\) via its carbanion centre and its \(\text{BH}_3\) group; the phosphine–borane-stabilised carbanion ligands in \(2a\) thus adopt binding mode IV (see above). The coordination spheres of \(K(1)\) and \(K(2)\) are each completed by two short, agostic-type \(K\cdots\text{Me–Si}\) contacts.

Treatment of \(2\) with one equivalent of tmeda, followed by crystallisation from cold toluene, yields colourless blocks of the adduct \([\{(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_2\{\text{Me}_2\text{P}(\text{BH}_3)\}\}\text{C}\text{K}(\text{tmeda})\] \(2b\)). X-Ray crystallography reveals that \(2b\) crystallises as discrete centrosymmetric dimers; the molecular structure of \(2b\) is shown in Fig. 3, along with selected theoretical and experimental data.
The ability of the BH3 group in the [(Me3Si)2
\text{tmeda}] co-ligand. These structural differences are clearly related to the two adjacent carbanion centres and the two nitrogen atoms of the polymer in which each potassium cation is coordinated solely by the tmeda adduct.

Surprisingly, coordination of the tridentate ligand pmdeta does not lead to further deaggregation beyond a dimer; the coordination number of the potassium ions in 2b merely increases by one in 2c to accommodate the extra donor atom of the co-ligand.

Treatment of 2 with one equivalent of the tridentate ligand pmdeta followed by crystallisation from cold toluene yields the complex [(Me3Si)2(Me2P(BH3))][K(pmdeta)]. Compound 2c crystallises as discrete dimers with crystallographic C2 symmetry; each potassium cation is coordinated by the carbanion centre and an \eta^2-BH3 group of one ligand and by an \eta^1-BH3 group of the second ligand in the dimer. Thus, each ligand both chelates one potassium cation and bridges the two symmetry equivalent potassium cations in the dimer via \eta^1-\eta^2-BH3 interactions. The coordination sphere of each potassium ion is completed by the three nitrogen atoms of the pmdeta co-ligands and by two short K - Me contacts, one to a SiMe3 group and one to a methyl group of the pmdeta co-ligand.

The [(Me3Si)2(Me2P(BH3))]− ligand is isoelectronic with the well known [(Me3Si)2C]− carbanion and so it is instructive to compare the structures of 2 and 2b with those of the isoelectronic analogues [(Me3Si)2C][K]4 (3) and [(Me3Si)2C][K(tmeda)] (4). Whereas 2 crystallises with a complex two-dimensional sheet structure, 3 crystallises as an infinite one-dimensional polymer consisting of alternating potassium cations and planar carbanions, in which each potassium cation is further coordinated by six short, agostic-type K - Me contacts, three to each adjacent carbanion. Formation of the tmeda adduct 2b results in deaggregation from the sheet structure of 2 to a BH3-bridged dimer; in contrast, the tmeda adduct 2 crystallises as a zigzag polymer in which each potassium cation is coordinated solely by two adjacent carbanion centres and the two nitrogen atoms of the tmeda co-ligand. These structural differences are clearly related to the ability of the BH3 group in the [(Me3Si)2(Me2P(BH3))]− ligand to coordinate to the alkali metal cations.
In contrast, treatment of 2 with 12-crown-4 yields the separated ion pair \([\text{Me}_3\text{Si}]_2\text{C}[\text{K}(12\text{-crown}-4)]\)\(_2\) (2d) after crystallisation from cold diethyl ether, independent of the ratio of 2 to the crown ether. The crystallographic results for 2d, obtained from a small crystal of poor quality, are of low precision, with disorder of one crown ligand, and so a detailed analysis of bond lengths and angles is not appropriate; however, the gross structural features are clear (Fig. 5). The potassium cation in 2d is coordinated by the oxygen atoms of two molecules of 12-crown-4 in an approximately square antiprismatic geometry. The carbanion centre is essentially planar [sum of angles at C(1) = 358.2°] and there are no short contacts between the phosphine–borane-stabilised carbanion and the potassium ion.

There is remarkably little difference in the K–C distances in compounds 2, 2a and 2b [3.018(5)/3.001(5), 2.998(2)/2.974(2), and 3.107(3) Å, respectively]; however, the K–C distance in 2c [3.440(3) Å] is significantly longer, possibly due to the increased coordination number of the potassium ion and the increased steric compression in this compound. The K–C distances in 2a and 2b lie in the typical range for such contacts; for comparison, the K–C distances in \([\{\text{Me}_3\text{Si}\}_{2}\text{C}]\text{K}\)\(_n\) are 3.090(11) and 3.104(1) Å,\(^\text{11}\) whereas the K–C distances in \([\{(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_2\text{CH}]\text{K}\)\(_n\) (OMeBu')\(_n\)] are 2.987 and 3.012 Å.\(^\text{11}\) In all four compounds in which there is a distinct K–C contact (2, 2a, 2b and 2c) the carbanion centres are distinctly pyramidal; the sum of angles within the PCSi\(_2\) skeleton varies only very slightly, falling between 347.5 (2b) and 354.2° (2c).

We have previously reported the synthesis and solid state structure of the caesium complex \([\{\text{Me}_3\text{Si}\}_{2}\text{C}]\text{Cs}(\text{pmdeta})\)\(_2\), (5)\(^\text{5}\) and the synthesis and structural characterisation of the corresponding potassium dimer (2c) is described above. During the course of the present study we have also isolated the sodium and rubidium analogues of 2c and 5, enabling a detailed investigation into how cation size affects structural preference. Thus, we have prepared the homologous series of compounds \([\{(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_2\text{CH}]\text{K}(\text{Me}_2\text{P(BH}_3\text{)})\text{C}]\text{M}(\text{pmdeta})\)\(_n\), [M = Na (6), n = 1; M = K (2c), Rb (7), Cs (5), n = 2]; we were unable to isolate the corresponding lithium derivative as single crystals due to its extremely high solubility, even in the very poor solvent hexamethyldisiloxane.

Compound 6 is isolated from cold toluene as colourless blocks suitable for X-ray crystallography; the molecular structure of 6 is shown in Fig. 6, along with selected bond lengths and angles. Compound 6 crystallises as discrete monomers in which the sodium ion is coordinated by the carbanion centre and an \(\eta^1\)-BH\(_3\) group of the phosphine–borane-stabilised carbanion to give a pseudo-four-membered chelate ring. The coordination sphere of the sodium ion is completed by the three nitrogen atoms of the pmdeta co-ligand; there are no short contacts between the sodium ion and methyl groups from either ligand.

The Na–C distance of 2.7700(17) Å is rather long for this type of contact, which typically falls in the range 2.48–2.55 Å.\(^\text{14}\) For example, the Na–C distance in the THF adduct \([\{(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_2\text{CH}]\text{Na}(\text{THF})\cdot 0.5\text{PhMe}]\)\(_n\) is 2.640(3) Å;\(^\text{5}\) itself rather long, whereas the Na–C distance in \([\{(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_2\text{CH}]\text{Na}\)\(_n\) is 2.555(10) Å.\(^\text{14}\) The Na–N distances in 6 are typical of this type of contact.

In contrast to the monomeric compound 6, the heavier alkali metal homologues 2c, 7, and 5 are dimeric in the solid state. Crystals of 7 suitable for X-ray crystallography were obtained from cold toluene; the molecular structure of 7 is shown in Fig. 7, along with selected bond lengths and angles. In view of the significant differences in ionic radii between the metals K, Rb, and Cs [1.51, 1.61, 1.74 Å, respectively, for eight-coordination],\(^\text{16}\) there is remarkably little structural variation between these compounds. The gross structural features of 2c, 5, and 7 are very similar and consist of \(\mu\)-BH\(_3\)-bridged \(C_2\)-symmetric dimers for 2c and 7 and centrosymmetric dimers for 5 in which the phosphine–borane-stabilised carbanions adopt binding mode III. Compounds 7 and 2c are isomorphous and essentially isostuctural; the potassium centre in 2c is coordinated by the carbanion centre, one \(\eta^1\)- and one \(\eta^2\)-BH\(_3\) group, the three nitrogen atoms of the pmdeta co-ligand and two short K···Me contacts, one to an SiMe\(_3\) group and one to the pmdeta co-ligand. The rubidium ion in 7 is coordinated by the phosphine–borane-stabilised carbanion and by the pmdeta co-ligand in the same manner as the potassium ion in 2c; however, due to the increased ionic radius of rubidium compared to potassium, there are additional short contacts between the rubidium ion and three further methyl groups from the pmdeta ligand and one methyl substituent from the PMe\(_3\) group, giving the rubidium ion a coordination number of 13. The coordination sphere of the caesium ion in 5 consists of the carbanion centre, one \(\eta^1\)- and one \(\eta^2\)-BH\(_3\) contact, the three nitrogen atoms of the pmdeta co-ligand and six short Me···Cs contacts. Thus, the increased ionic radius...
affected by the presence of Lewis base donors such as THF; tmeda or the chiral diamine (−)-sparteine.

In contrast, variation of the ionic radius of the metal centre itself has relatively little effect on solid state structure; whilst the sodium complex is monomeric in the solid state, the potassium, rubidium and caesium complexes crystallise as $\mu_2$-BH$_3$-bridged centrosymmetric dimers.

### Experimental

All manipulations were carried out using standard Schlenk techniques under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen. Methylyclohexane, toluene, light petroleum (bp 40–60 °C), THF and diethyl ether were distilled under nitrogen from potassium or sodium/potassium alloy. THF was stored over activated 4A molecular sieves; all other solvents were stored over a potassium film. Deuterated toluene was distilled from potassium and was deoxygenated by three freeze–pump–thaw cycles and stored over activated 4A molecular sieves. tmeda and pmdeta were distilled under nitrogen from CaH$_2$; 12-crown-4 was dried over activated 4A molecular sieves. The compounds MeNa$_7$ (Me$_3$Si)$_2$CHPMe$_2$(BH$_3$)$_2$ and [(Me$_3$Si)$_2$P(MeP(BH$_3$))](C$_7$H$_8$)$_2$ were prepared by previously published procedures. All other compounds were used as supplied; Bu$^+$Li was supplied by Aldrich as a 2.5 M solution in hexane.

$^1$H, $^{11}$B$^\text{[\text{11}]}$C$^\text{[\text{11}]}$H, and $^{31}$P$^\text{[\text{31}]}$P$^\text{[\text{31}]}$H spectra were recorded on a Jeol Lambda500 spectrometer operating at 500.16, 160.35, 125.65, and 202.35 MHz, respectively; $^1$H, and $^{13}$C chemical shifts are quoted in ppm relative to tetramethylsilane, $^{11}$B and $^{31}$P chemical shifts are quoted in ppm relative to external BF$_3$(OEt$_2$) and 85% H$_2$PO$_4$, respectively. Elemental analyses were obtained by the Elemental Analysis Service of London Metropolitan University.

$$
\text{[(Me}_3\text{Si)}_2\text{(MeP(BH}_3\text{))}\text{]C}[\text{K(1.05 THF)}]_2 \text{ (2a)}
$$

A solution of [[(Me$_3$Si)$_2$P(MeP(BH$_3$))](C$_7$H$_8$)$_2$] (0.90 g, 3.29 mmol) in methylyclohexane (10 ml) containing two to three drops of THF was cooled to 5 °C for several hours. Colourless blocks of 2a suitable for X-ray crystallography deposited. The THF co-ligands are only weakly held and are readily lost under vacuum; therefore, elemental analyses and NMR spectra for 2a are essentially identical to those of unsolvated 2. Isolated yield of crystalline 2a 0.41 g, 41%. $^1$H$^\text{[\text{11}]}$B$^\text{[\text{11}]}$P P$^\text{[\text{31}]}$H NMR (d$_\text{d}$-toluene, 25 °C): $\delta$ 0.30 (s, 18H, SiMe$_3$), 0.53 (d, $J_{PH} = 14.4$ Hz, 3H, BH$_3$), 1.37 (d, $J_{PH} = 9.5$ Hz, 6H, PMe$_2$), 1.32 (C$_7$H$_8$) NMR (d$_\text{d}$-toluene, 25 °C): $\delta$ 8.58 (SiMe$_3$), 23.18 (d, $J_{PC} = 35.1$ Hz, PMe$_2$). $^1$B$^\text{[\text{11}]}$H$^\text{[\text{11}]}$NMR (d$_\text{d}$-toluene, 25 °C): $\delta$ 27.2 (d, $J_{PB} = 99.4$ Hz). $^3$P$^\text{[\text{31}]}$H NMR (d$_\text{d}$-toluene, 25 °C): $\delta$ 5.9 (q, $J_{PB} = 99.4$ Hz).

$$
\text{[(Me}_3\text{Si)}_2\text{(MeP(BH}_3\text{))}\text{]C}[\text{K(tmeda)}]_2 \text{ (2b)}
$$

To a solution of [[(Me$_3$Si)$_2$P(MeP(BH$_3$))](C$_7$H$_8$)$_2$] (0.28 g, 1.40 mmol) in toluene (20 ml) was added tmeda (0.21 ml, 1.40 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred for 30 min, filtered and the filtrate was cooled to −30 °C. After several hours colourless block crystals of 2b suitable for X-ray crystallography deposited. Isolated yield 0.33 g, 61%. Anal. calc. for C$_{37}$H$_{39}$KBK$_2$P$_3$Si (388.58): C 46.37, H 11.15, N 7.21%. Found: C 46.03, H 10.98, N 7.01%. $^1$H$^\text{[\text{11}]}$B$^\text{[\text{11}]}$P$^\text{[\text{31}]}$H NMR (d$_\text{d}$-toluene, 25 °C); $\delta$ 0.30 (s, 18H, SiMe$_3$), 0.65 (d, $J_{PH} = 14.1$ Hz, 3H, BH$_3$), 1.35 (d, $J_{PH} = 9.5$ Hz, 6H, PMe$_2$).
To a solution of [(Me3Si)2{Me3P(BH3)}]{C}K(pmdeta) (2) (0.26 g, 0.96 mmol) in toluene (10 ml) was added pmdea (0.20 ml, 0.96 mmol). The resulting solution was cooled to −30 °C for several hours to yield colourless blocks of 2c suitable for X-ray crystallography. Isolated yield 0.20 g, 47%. Anal. calc. for C9H14BKN2P3Si4: C 36.9, H 8.7, N 11.7, B 15.4, P 14.8%. Found: C 36.6, H 8.6, N 11.6, B 15.3, P 14.8%. 1H NMR (d8-toluene, 25 °C): δ = 0.34 (s, 18H, SiMe3), 1.09 (d, JPH = 7.2 Hz, 6H, PMe2), 1.88 (m, 8H, NCH2), 1.96 (s, 3H, NMe), 1.10 (d, JPH = 6.5 Hz, 6H, PMe2), 3.64 (s, 32H, 12-crown-4). 13C{1H} NMR (d8-toluene, 25 °C): δ = −27.1 (d, JPH = 9.6 Hz). 31P{1H} NMR (d8-toluene, 25 °C): δ = −3.9 (q, JPH = 96.2 Hz).

Table 1 Crystallographic data for 2a, 2b, 2c, 2d, 6 and 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>2a</th>
<th>2b</th>
<th>2c</th>
<th>2d</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formula</td>
<td>C12H16B4K3OP8Si2</td>
<td>C12H16B4K3N4P8Si2</td>
<td>C12H16B4K3N4P8Si2</td>
<td>C12H16B4K3OP8Si2</td>
<td>C12H16B4K3OP8Si2</td>
<td>C12H16B4K3OP8Si2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M (g/mol)</td>
<td>616.8</td>
<td>883.1</td>
<td>883.1</td>
<td>624.8</td>
<td>429.6</td>
<td>984.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size/mm</td>
<td>0.54 × 0.34 × 0.30</td>
<td>0.50 × 0.40 × 0.20</td>
<td>0.45 × 0.30 × 0.20</td>
<td>0.10 × 0.08 × 0.05</td>
<td>0.50 × 0.50 × 0.40</td>
<td>0.45 × 0.40 × 0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal syst.</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
<td>Orthorhombic</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P1</td>
<td>P1</td>
<td>C2/c</td>
<td>P221/c</td>
<td>C2/c</td>
<td>C2/c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a/Å</td>
<td>12.614(2)</td>
<td>8.7353(6)</td>
<td>21.817(6)</td>
<td>15.475(9)</td>
<td>18.411(11)</td>
<td>102.034(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b/Å</td>
<td>13.311(11)</td>
<td>11.3832(8)</td>
<td>29.024(7)</td>
<td>15.339(17)</td>
<td>20.149(2)</td>
<td>99.899(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c/Å</td>
<td>14.5257(16)</td>
<td>13.5668(10)</td>
<td>29.024(7)</td>
<td>15.339(17)</td>
<td>20.149(2)</td>
<td>102.034(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α/°</td>
<td>115.522(6)</td>
<td>110.74(1)</td>
<td>110.74(1)</td>
<td>109.74(1)</td>
<td>109.74(1)</td>
<td>109.74(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β/°</td>
<td>113.770(11)</td>
<td>78.918(1)</td>
<td>78.918(1)</td>
<td>78.918(1)</td>
<td>78.918(1)</td>
<td>78.918(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ/°</td>
<td>93.432(8)</td>
<td>93.432(8)</td>
<td>93.432(8)</td>
<td>93.432(8)</td>
<td>93.432(8)</td>
<td>93.432(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V/Å³</td>
<td>1934.94(9)</td>
<td>1249.90(15)</td>
<td>7577(3)</td>
<td>3569(4)</td>
<td>3569(4)</td>
<td>5851(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ/µm⁻¹</td>
<td>0.465</td>
<td>0.374</td>
<td>0.330</td>
<td>0.299</td>
<td>0.207</td>
<td>1.837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trans. coeff. %</td>
<td>0.787–0.873</td>
<td>0.835–0.929</td>
<td>0.866–0.937</td>
<td>0.971–0.985</td>
<td>0.907–0.922</td>
<td>0.463–0.556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflns. measured</td>
<td>24649</td>
<td>9061</td>
<td>64754</td>
<td>21325</td>
<td>24703</td>
<td>44061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique reflns.</td>
<td>7550</td>
<td>4351</td>
<td>5038</td>
<td>5086</td>
<td>6788</td>
<td>5129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rs</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rwp</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R (on Fo, Fc&gt;2σ)</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.143</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodness of fit</td>
<td>1.132</td>
<td>1.152</td>
<td>1.086</td>
<td>1.078</td>
<td>1.068</td>
<td>1.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min, max electron density/eÅ⁻³</td>
<td>0.35, −0.45</td>
<td>0.86, −0.57</td>
<td>0.78, −0.36</td>
<td>0.72, −0.52</td>
<td>0.36, −0.23</td>
<td>1.36, −0.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The structures were solved by direct methods and were refined semi-empirically for absorption, based on symmetry-equivalent and repeated reflections. Intensities were corrected for absorption, based on symmetry-equivalent and repeated reflections. The structures were solved by direct methods and were refined semi-empirically for absorption, based on symmetry-equivalent and repeated reflections.

Crystal structure determinations of 2a–d, 6 and 7

Measurements for 2a–c, 6 and 7 were made at 150 K on a Nonius KappaCCD or Bruker SMART CCD diffractometer using graphite-monochromated MoKα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å), whereas measurements for 2d were made at 120 K on a Bruker APEX2 diffractometer using silicon-monochromated synchrotron radiation (λ = 0.6905 Å). For all compounds cell parameters were refined from the observed positions of all strong reflections in each data set. Intensities were corrected semi-empirically for absorption, based on symmetry-equivalent and repeated reflections. The structures were solved by direct methods and were refined on F² values for all unique data. Table 1 gives further details. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically, except for very narrow disordered components, one methyl group of 2b, and one complete 12-crown-4 ligand of 2d. The dimeric molecules of 2b, 2c, and 7 all lie on crystallographic inversion centres. The crystal of 2d was an inversion twin. Programs were Bruker APEX2 (control) and SAINT (integration), Nonius COLLECT and associated programs, and SIR97 and SHELXTL for structure solution, refinement, and molecular graphics. CCDC reference numbers 649161–649166.

For crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/b708279g

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Royal Society for support, the EPSRC for funding the UK National Crystallographic Service, and the CCLRC for access to synchrotron facilities.

References


